



Quality by Design QbD

FDA Study on State of Adoption



Contents

- State of Adoption
- Challenges
- Business Case
- Next Steps



Survey Study Conducted

- What is adoption across the industry?
- What is the business case for QbD?
- What are the barriers to adoption of QbD?
- How can QbD adoption be accelerated?



From

- Familiarity with QbD and little understanding
- Limited experimentation
- Inconsistent regulatory framework
- Skepticism and doubt regarding technical limitation



To

- Maturity regarding QbD understanding
- Increased acceptance among more companies
- More experience and regulator demands
- More recognition of non-regulatory benefits
- Continued doubt regarding QbD application to Generics and Biologics



Enthusiasm

- Clear value --- hard to quantify
- Should be a standard practice
- It is the same as saying “Good Science”
- Want to apply it everywhere in the organization
- Take time to understand it and work with it for a while and you’ll be passionate about it



Business Case

- Half believe the payback is multi-year
- About one tenth believe it is quick enough to see in one year
- About one third are uncertain
- The remainder see no case



Comments

- No additional effort to get benefit
 - Different but not more
- Benefits
 - Speed
 - Quality
 - Cost
- Skeptics
 - Not sure it can change safety or efficacy
 - Without harmonization there is no value



Segments Found

- Fully Implemented
- Rollout
- Pilot
- None



Fully Implemented

- Completely convinced about impact of QbD and have seen benefits
- Use QbD in every development program
- Systematic comprehensive review and redesign of in-line products



Rollout

- Convinced about impact of QbD
- Use QbD regularly but not universally
- Lifecycle management use
- Integrated platform use



Pilot

- Trying QbD but still on the fence about the value
- Application of QbD to a small subset of projects
- Limited or no platform utilization



None

- Skeptical about value
- Use Conventional development
 - No QbD approach
- No platform utilization



Numbers by Company

<u>Group</u>	<u>Novice</u>	<u>Pilot</u>	<u>Rollout</u>	<u>Full</u>
New Drug	22%	33%	22%	22%
Generic	40%	20%	40%	
Biologic	17%	67%	17%	



Challenges Within Company

- Internal misalignment
- Lack of belief in business case
- Lack of technology to execute
- Lack of alignment with outside parties



Challenges Within FDA

- Inconsistency across FDA
- Lack of tangible guidance
- Regulators not always prepared
- Regulatory benefits seem weak
- International misalignment
- Current business practice does not help implementation of QbD



New Drug Company

- R&D is driven by new products not QbD
- Reviewer inconsistency is big problem
- Lack of Harmonization



Generic Companies

- Pipeline driven by new products not QbD
- Lack of belief in business case
 - First to file is more important than QbD



Biologics Companies

- Lack of technology
 - Don't understand molecular attributes well enough to drive QbD



Business Case

- Dismissed Cost
 - QbD leads to marginal increase in cost while implementing
 - Cost goes away quickly
 - Long run savings starts quickly



Business Case

- Dismissed long development time
 - Generally expected to be several days of planning for clinical phase
 - No effect on critical path
 - Reduces time to tech transfer and scale-up



Source of Value

- Lower cost of goods from supply chain reliability
 - Reduced risk of regulatory citation
- Lower development cost through reliable tech transfer and scale up
 - QbD used in product development
 - Better launch and product design
- Lower staffing cost through more reliable manufacturing
 - Cycle time, yield and QA



Enabling Factors

- Operating Model
 - Alignment from R&D through operations across processes, incentives and platforms
 - Willingness to remove QC steps
 - Culture focused on entire company
- Enablers from FDA
 - Delivery of promised regulatory benefits
 - Incentives for reviewer
 - Stronger, clearer guidance and advice



Before Starting

- Leadership Alignment
- Alignment between R&D and operations
- Move talent to the right places
- Lay out policy framework
 - These are the tools for QbD decisions
- Culture aligned for continual improvement



Supporting Mechanisms

- QbD development processes
- QbD built into regular regulatory CMC
- Stage-gate process for CMC program
- Incentive alignment with QbD
- Talent acquisition alignment with QbD
- Participation with industry and regulatory groups
- Training for capability
- Standardization of equipment platforms



Three Processes

- Technical
 - Standards
 - Participation with groups
- Management System
 - Leadership with Alignment
- Culture and Capabilities
 - Talent acquisition
 - Capability training



Next Steps

- How to best address consistency across FDA
- Change Management
- Meetings between FDA and Applicants
- Harmonization