



European Medicines Agency
Pre-authorisation Evaluation of Medicines for Human Use

London, 21 September 2006
EMA/CHMP/BWP/298390/2005

COMMITTEE FOR MEDICINAL PRODUCTS FOR HUMAN USE (CHMP)
--

GUIDELINE ON VALIDATION OF IMMUNOASSAY FOR THE DETECTION OF HEPATITIS B VIRUS SURFACE ANTIGEN (HBsAg) IN PLASMA POOLS
--

DRAFT AGREED BY BIOLOGICS WORKING PARTY	June 2005
ADOPTION BY CHMP FOR RELEASE FOR CONSULTATION	September 2005
END OF CONSULTATION (DEADLINE FOR COMMENTS)	December 2005
AGREED BY BIOLOGICS WORKING PARTY	September 2006
ADOPTION BY CHMP	September 2006
DATE FOR COMING INTO EFFECT	1 April 2007

KEYWORDS	HBsAg
-----------------	-------

GUIDELINE ON VALIDATION OF IMMUNOASSAY FOR THE DETECTION OF HEPATITIS B VIRUS SURFACE ANTIGEN (HBSAG) IN PLASMA POOLS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	SCOPE.....	3
2.	SELECTION OF THE TEST KIT(S)	4
3.	VALIDATION	4
4.	QUALITY ASSURANCE	5
5.	CONFIRMATION STRATEGIES	6
6.	IMPLEMENTATION OF THIS GUIDELINE	6

VALIDATION OF IMMUNOASSAY FOR THE DETECTION OF HEPATITIS B VIRUS SURFACE ANTIGEN (HBsAg) IN PLASMA POOLS

Introduction

Immunoassays for the detection of hepatitis B virus surface antigen (HBsAg) are qualitative tests for the presence of HBsAg in pooled plasma for fractionation. The validation requirements are laid down in the following documents:

- The test is considered to be a qualitative limit test for the control of impurities. Therefore, according to the "Note for guidance on validation of analytical procedures: definitions and terminology (CPMP/ICH/381/95)", published in "The rules governing medicinal products in the European Union", ICH topic Q2A, the two characteristics regarded as the most important for validation of the analytical procedure are specificity and the detection limit. However, the note for guidance adds "those validation characteristics are regarded as the most important, (...) but occasional exceptions should be dealt with on a case-by-case basis" and requires that robustness needs to be considered.
- The Ph. Eur. Monograph 01/2005:0853 "Human plasma for fractionation" requires the use of HBsAg test methods of suitable sensitivity and specificity for plasma pool testing.
- The "Note for guidance on plasma derived medicinal products" (CPMP/BWP/269/95, 3.2.2) specifies that the sensitivity of the test in relation to pool size has to be stated. The intention of the test is defined to be a safeguard against errors in testing or pooling.
- The Ph. Eur. chapter 2.7.1 "Immunochemical methods" requires the use of international reference material. Furthermore, the chapter suggests the use of commercial assay kits.
- The GMP Guide (Volume 4, Chapter 6, 6.21) as well as ISO 17025 (4.6.2) require critical reagents to be under control.

In accordance with these guidelines, the validation characteristics are described as:

- Specificity is the ability to unequivocally assess HBsAg in the presence of other components which may be expected to be present.
- The detection limit of an individual analytical procedure is the lowest amount of analyte in a sample, which can be detected but not necessarily quantitated as an exact value. In the context of plasma pool testing for HBsAg, the detection limit should be expressed in IU/ml with reference to the International Standard.
- The robustness of an analytical procedure is a measure of its capacity to remain unaffected by small but deliberate variations in method parameters and provides an indication of its reliability during normal performance.

Validation Guidelines

1. SCOPE

HBsAg assay kits, for single donations purposes, marketed in Europe are CE-marked devices and are classified in Annex II A of the Directive 98/79/EC on in vitro diagnostic medical devices. The kits are therefore subject to the Common Technical Specifications (CTS, 2002/364/EC).

HBsAg assay kits are validated for use in single donation testing either through CE-marking in the EU or through non-EU regulation. The use of such assays for the testing of pooled plasma for fractionation is a change of the intended use and this application is not covered by the validation undertaken by the test kit manufacturer. The application for testing plasma pools for fractionation therefore has to be accompanied by appropriate validation.

If non-CE marked test kits are used for plasma pool testing purposes, equivalent quality to a CE-marked test kit for individual donation testing should be proven in addition to the validation for pool testing described in this guideline.

The CTS defines minimal requirements for diagnostic and analytical sensitivity for assay kits.

Furthermore, the CTS requires that specificity should be demonstrated in a broad variety of patient samples. However, this approach to validation is not necessarily relevant for plasma pool testing purposes, because those patient samples which may give aberrant responses (e.g., those from patients with autoimmune diseases or having cross-reactive infections) will normally have been excluded by the donor selection process. In addition, non-specific interfering factors will be diluted in the plasma pool.

Plasma pool serology is not capable of detecting all contaminated single donations that may have escaped single donation screening. Patients with occult or asymptomatic Hepatitis B infection show low antigen levels that will escape detection after dilution in a manufacturing pool. Moreover, in general plasma pools may contain antibodies to HBsAg (predominantly from vaccinees), that may result in the formation of HBsAg/anti-HBs complexes which may affect the detection limit.

Plasma pool serology should therefore not be considered as a test to ensure viral safety, but as a measure to detect serious GMP failures.

This document describes methods to select and validate commercial qualitative immunoassay test kits for assessing contamination of plasma pools with HBsAg based on the above-mentioned documents.

2. SELECTION OF THE TEST KIT(S)

Commercial kits used for the analytical procedure are validated by the manufacturer for single donation testing only. Selection of a test kit for plasma pool testing should be based on a high analytical sensitivity.

In most cases, the manufacturers' instructions for use of reagents are adequate for the performance of the test procedure on plasma pools.

Any modification of the manufacturer's instructions should be included in the validation of use of a kit for testing plasma pools.

Evaluation criteria of the manufacturer may be adapted to plasma pool testing according to validation data relevant to plasma pools (see 3.1. Specificity and determination of a cut-off limit for pool samples, and 4. Quality assurance).

3. VALIDATION

3.1 Specificity and determination of a cut-off limit for pool samples

For commercial kits the cut-off value established by the manufacturer is a compromise between sensitivity and specificity based on results from single donation testing. Many test kit manufacturers also define a 'grey-zone' cut-off which will identify samples which give a response above background but below the cut-off. It is recommended that such samples are re-tested as if they were reactive.

On the basis of previous experience in testing plasma pools, the use of a lower cut-off for pool samples should be considered as non-specific factors present in single donations are diluted in a fractionation pool. The use of such a cut-off will increase the analytical sensitivity of assays and facilitate the detection of a single positive donation in a plasma pool. The grey-zone value recommended by the kit manufacturer may be suitable. Alternatively, a limit could be established by considering the signal distribution of negative pools, e.g. as mean response to cut-off ratios of negative pool samples + 3 standard deviations and routinely expressed as % of single donation cut-off (see 3.2.1). In no case should the pool cut-off be higher than the single donation cut-off.

For all practical applications in the context of this Guideline, if a grey-zone limit is used as the cut-off value for pool samples, this limit is used to identify initially and repeatedly reactive plasma pools (see 5., confirmation strategies).

3.2 Robustness

Robustness of the analytical procedure has to be evaluated, as all methods using biological and biochemical reagents may be subject of considerable batch-to-batch variation of the reagents used and may be influenced by changes in ambient conditions. Special attention has to be focussed on handling and storage of samples before testing.

3.2.1 Inter-assay and intra-assay

Qualitative immunoassays primarily produce a quantitative signal that is compared to the calculated cut-off in an independent step. Reactive plasma pool samples are likely to give low signals due to high dilution in the pool. Batch-to-batch variability of the test kit reagents (including controls) may have a significant influence on results and should be under control, as is foreseen by the GMP guide (chapter 6, 6.21) and ISO 17025 (4.6.2).

Robustness of the method should be demonstrated for a panel of representative negative pool samples (e.g. routine pool samples which have tested negative by both the manufacturer and an OMCL), a low positive sample (e.g. the low positive control, see 4.3) and a freshly prepared titration series of a standard calibrated in IU in a typical plasma pool.

The study should cover:

- Inter-assay variability in 6 independent assays (including variable ambient conditions, equipment if available, preferably using more than one test kit lot if available)
- Intra-assay variability with at least 6 determinations of a low positive control in 1 run

Intra-assay variability can be expressed as %CV (Relative Standard Deviation, RSD) of the signal of the low positive sample in relation to the cut-off of the individual assay (S/CO, sample to cut-off ratio).

3.2.2 Impact of sample preparation

HBsAg may be masked by complex formation with antibodies to HBsAg (present in any European or US pool, predominantly from vaccinees) in a manner dependent on time, temperature and anti-HBs concentration. Formation of immune complexes in pooled plasma at fractionation temperature is a rather slow process (approx. 3-4 days for 50% signal loss), so efforts should be taken to minimise the time from sampling to freezing, and thawing to testing in order to avoid false negative results. For the same reason, freshly thawed samples should be used for re-testing/confirmation.

3.3 Detection limit

The detection limit with the pool cut-off established according to 3.1 should be determined using reference material calibrated in IU and diluted in an anti-HBs free matrix representative of a plasma pool (e.g., single plasma donation(s) or a pool of 10 single donations). It is expected that this will be considerably lower than the minimum requirement defined by the CTS.

The impact of the anti-HBs containing matrix may be assessed by data comparing the results of a titration of an HBsAg positive sample in anti-HBs positive and anti-HBs negative dilution matrix (see section 3.2.1). Where possible, this should simulate the “worst case scenario” in respect to the time that a typical pool of donations is mixed prior to sampling as well as with respect to anti-HBs concentration, temperature and agitation.

4. QUALITY ASSURANCE

4.1 Standard Operating Procedures for plasma pool testing

The test procedures must be described in detail in the form of standard operating procedures (SOPs). These should cover at least the following operations:

- Storage conditions for samples
- Preparation of samples (e.g. freezing/thawing steps, mixing)

- Description of the equipment and the test kit used
- Incubation procedures (including tolerance limits for time and temperature, e.g. according to the test kit manufacturers specifications/instrument settings)
- Detailed formulae for calculation and interpretation of results
- Validity criteria for the individual assay
- Retesting procedures
- Reference to confirmation procedures, if applicable

4.2 Test kit controls

The test kit manufacturer's controls should always be included in every assay to ensure correct functioning of reagents according to the manufacturers specifications. Validity criteria for modified testing conditions should be defined and documented.

4.3 Test kit independent controls

The positive controls in many commercial test kits are highly reactive and therefore do not reflect the low level of reactivity likely to be found in contaminated pool samples. In addition, as for all biological reagents, these controls are subject of batch-to-batch variation. Therefore it is strongly advised to include an independent low positive control (in the dynamic range of the assay, e.g. 2-3 times the single donation cut-off) in every test used for on-going data monitoring.

4.4 Proficiency testing

Regular participation in an appropriate proficiency testing scheme which include diluted samples with low reactivity to assess the analytical sensitivity of kits is encouraged.

5. CONFIRMATION STRATEGIES

A validated confirmation strategy for initially reactive results should be in place. A pool is considered negative if a fresh aliquot of the initially reactive sample gives a negative result when retested in duplicate. Repeat reactive samples have to be considered positive unless proven otherwise with a validated serological method using different antibodies (alternative assay, neutralization with neutralization reagent).

For HBsAg testing, a neutralization test should always be used on repeat reactive samples. The neutralization test has to be validated for pool plasma samples, considering the neutralization effect of antibodies already present in the pool (self-neutralization) in comparison to the neutralized sample (incubated with additional anti-HBs).

As HBsAg may be present in donors with low or undetectable nucleic acid plasma levels, NAT should not be considered as a confirmation assay as a negative NAT results does not invalidate a positive serological result. On the other hand, positive NAT results do confirm the serological detection of contaminations.

6. IMPLEMENTATION OF THIS GUIDELINE

This guideline has been developed to respond to inadequacies in the validation of plasma pool testing for HBsAg observed during evaluation of dossiers. Marketing Authorisation Holders and Plasma Master File Holders should review the validation of their pool testing methods in the light of this guidance. If the key aspects described in the guideline have already been covered by existing validation, no further validation is needed. If this is not the case, pool testing should be validated in accordance with this guideline and reported in the next annual update of the documentation on the plasma starting material.