

1 2	MHRA GxP Data Integrity Definitions and Guidance for Industry					
3 4	Draft version for consultation July 2016					
5						
6						
7 8 9	Background					
10 11 12 13	The way in which regulatory data is generated has continued to evolve in line with the introduction and ongoing development of supporting technologies, supply chains and ways of working. Systems to support these ways of working can range from manual processes with paper records to the use of					
14 15 16	computerised systems. However the main purpose of the regulatory requirements remains the same; having confidence in the quality and the integrity of the data generated and being able to reconstruct activities remains a fundamental requirement.					
17 18						
19	Introduction:					
20						
21 22 23	This document provides guidance on the data integrity expectations that should be considered by organisations involved in all aspects of the chemical <sup>1</sup> and pharmaceutical development lifecycle.					
24 25 26 27	This guidance should be read in conjunction with the applicable regulations and the general guidance specific to each GxP. Where GxP-specific references are made within this document (e.g. ICH Q9), consideration of the principles of these documents may provide guidance and further information.					
27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34	Arrangements in place within an organisation with respect to people, systems and facilities should be designed, operated and where appropriate adapted to support a working environment and organisational culture that ensures data is complete consistent and accurate in all its forms, i.e. paper and electronic. The effort and resource applied to assure the validity and integrity of the data should be commensurate with the risk and impact of a data integrity failure to the patient or environment. When taken collectively these arrangements fulfil the concept of data governance.					
35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42	Organisations are not expected to implement a forensic approach to data checking on a routine basis, but instead design and operate a fully documented system that provides an acceptable state of control based on the data integrity risk with supporting rationale. In addition to routine data review, the wider data governance system should ensure that periodic audits are capable of detecting opportunities for data integrity failures within the company's system, e.g. routine data review should consider the integrity of an individual data set, whereas the periodic system review might verify the effectiveness of existing control measures and consider the possibility of unauthorised activity.					
42 43 44 45 46 47	It should be noted that data integrity requirements apply equally to manual (paper) and electronic data. Organisations should be aware that reverting from automated / computerised to manual / paper-based systems will not in itself remove the need for appropriate data integrity controls. Where data integrity weaknesses are identified, either as a result of audit or regulatory inspection, companies with multiple sites should ensure that appropriate corrective and preventive actions are implemented					
	<sup>1</sup> Chemical lifecycle relating to GLP studies regulated by MHRA					
	MHRA GxP Data Integrity Definitions and Guidance for Industry: Draft for consultation July 2016					





across the organisation. Appropriate notification to regulatory authorities should be made where
applicable.

51 Although not included in this guidance, the impact of organisational culture and senior management 52 behaviour on the success of data governance measures should not be underestimated. 53

#### 54 **Establishing data criticality and inherent integrity risk:**

56 The degree of effort and resource applied to the organisational and technical control of data lifecycle 57 elements should be commensurate with its criticality in terms of impact to quality attributes.

59 Data may be generated by (i) manual means - a paper-based record of a manual observation, or (ii) 60 electronic means - in terms of equipment, a spectrum of simple machines through to complex highly 61 configurable computerised systems.

63 When manually recorded data requires stringent oversight, consideration should be given to risk-64 reducing supervisory measures. Examples include contemporaneous second person verification of 65 data entry, or cross checks of related information sources (e.g. equipment log books). 66

The inherent risks to data integrity relating to equipment and computerised systems may differ depending upon the degree to which data (or the system generating or using the data) can be configured, and therefore potentially manipulated (see figure 1).

70

55

58

62

- 71 72
- 73
- 74
- 75 76
- 77





#### 78 Figure 1: Table to illustrate the spectrum of simple machine (left) to complex computerised

#### 79 system (right), and relevance of printouts as 'original data'

80 81

System complexity	Simple system					Complex system
	pH meter	Filter integrity			Interactive	Enterprise
		test			response	resource
					technology	planner
		UV spec	HPLC systems	LC-MS-MS	LIMS	
	Balance	FTIR		Pharmacovigilanc e database		Bespoke systems
		ECG	Electronic	e database	Clinical	Systems
		machines	trial master file		database	
		Spreadsheet			Statistical analysis tools	
	Min/Max thermometers	Data loggers	Building management system		×	
Software	No software	Simple software				Complex software
Printouts	Printouts may represent original data		Printouts not representative of original data			

82 83

With reference to figure 1, simple systems (such as pH meters and balances) may only require calibration, whereas complex systems require 'validation for intended purpose'. Validation effort increases from left to right in the diagram. However, it is common for companies to overlook systems of apparent lower complexity. Within these systems it may be possible to manipulate data or repeat testing to achieve a desired outcome with limited opportunity for detection (e.g. stand-alone systems with a user configurable output such as ECG machines, FTIR, UV spectrophotometers).

90

Different data has varying importance to quality, safety and efficacy decisions. Data criticality may be
 determined by considering the type of decision influenced by the data. Data risk reflects its
 vulnerability to unauthorised deletion or amendment, and the opportunity for detection during routine
 review.

95

Reduced effort and/or frequency of control measures may be justified for data that has a lesser
 impact to product and patient, if those data are obtained from a process that does not provide the
 opportunity for amendment without specialist software/knowledge

99

Data risk is typically increased by complex, inconsistent processes, with open ended and subjective
 outcomes compared to simple tasks that are consistent, well defined and objective.

102 Automation, or the use of a 'validated system' (e.g. e-CRF; analytical equipment) may not be low risk 103 in terms of data integrity if the validated system is considered in isolation of the relevant business



process (trial subject data entry, analytical sample preparation). Where there is human intervention,
 particularly influencing how or what data is recorded or reported, there may be increased risk from
 poor organisational controls or data verification due to overreliance on the system's validated state.

107 Companies should balance data risk with other quality and compliance priorities. Prioritisation of

108 actions, including acceptance of an appropriate level of residual risk should be documented,

109 communicated to senior management, and kept under review. In situations where long-term

remediation actions are identified, risk reducing short-term measures should be implemented to

111 provide acceptable data governance in the interim.

112
113 Designing systems to assure data quality and integrity
114

Systems and processes should be designed in a way that encourages compliance with the principles
 of data integrity. Consideration should be given to ease of access, usability and location whilst
 ensuring appropriate control of the activity guided by the criticality of the data. Examples include:

- Access to appropriately controlled / synchronised clocks for recording timed events.
  - Accessibility of records at locations where activities take place so that ad hoc data recording and later transcription to official records is not necessary
  - 'Free access' to blank paper proformae for raw/source data recording should be controlled where this is appropriate. Reconciliation may be necessary to prevent recreation of a record.
- User access rights that prevent (or audit trail) unauthorised data amendments
   Automated data capture or printers attached to equipment such as balances
  - Automated data capture or printers attached to equipment such as balances
- Control of physical parameters (time, space, equipment) that permit performance of tasks and recording of data as required.
- Access to raw data for staff performing data checking activities.
- 129

132

133

134

118 119

120

121

122

123

The use of scribes to record activity on behalf of another operator should be considered 'exceptional',and only take place where:

- The act of contemporaneous recording compromises the product or activity e.g. documenting line interventions by sterile operators.
- To accommodate cultural or staff literacy/language limitations, for instance where an activity is performed by an operator, but witnessed and recorded by a Supervisor or Officer.
- 135 136 137

In both situations, the supervisory recording should be contemporaneous with the task being performed, and should identify both the person performing the task and the person completing the record. The person performing the task should countersign the record wherever possible, although it is accepted that this countersigning step will be retrospective. The process for supervisory (scribe) documentation completion should be described in an approved procedure, which should also specify the activities to which the process applies.

- 144 145
- 146



147	0							
148 149	In the following partian, definitions are given in italic taxt							
149	In the following section, definitions are given in italic text.							
150	1. Data							
	I. Dala							
152	Facto and statistics collected together for reference or analysis							
153	Facts and statistics collected together for reference or analysis							
154	Data shauld hav							
155	Data should be:							
156	A study to be to the memory operation the date							
157	A - attributable to the person generating the data							
158	L – legible and permanent							
159	C – contemporaneous							
160	O – original record (or true copy)							
161	A - accurate							
162								
163	Data governance measures should also ensure that data is compete, consistent and enduring							
164	throughout the lifecycle							
165								
166								
167	2. Raw data (GCP: synonymous with 'source data')							
168								
169	Original records, retained in the format in which they were originally generated (i.e. paper or							
170	electronic), or as a 'true copy'. Raw data must be contemporaneously and accurately recorded by							
171	permanent means.							
172								
173	The definition of 'original records' currently varies across regulatory documents. By its nature, paper							
174	copies of raw data generated electronically cannot be considered as 'raw data'.							
175								
176	Raw data must permit the full reconstruction of the activities resulting in the generation of the data. In							
177	the case of basic electronic equipment which does not store electronic data, or provides only a printed							
178	data output (e.g. balance or pH meter), the printout constitutes the raw data.							
179								
180	In the following definitions, the term 'data' includes raw data.							
181								
182								
183	3. Metadata:							
184								
185	Metadata is data that describe the attributes of other data, and provide context and meaning.							
186	Typically, these are data that describe the structure, data elements, inter-relationships and other							
187	characteristics of data. It also permits data to be attributable to an individual (or if automatically							
188	generated, to the original data source).							
189								
190	Metadata forms an integral part of the original record. Without metadata, the data has no meaning.							
191								
192	See also 'flat files'							
193								
194								
195								
	MHRA GxP Data Integrity Definitions and Guidance for Industry: Draft for consultation July 2016							

ducts



	Medicines & Healthcare products Regulatory Agency	Regulating Medicines and Medical Devices				
196 197	Example (i) <b>3.5</b>					
197 198 199	metadata, giving context and meaning, (italic text) are:					
200 201 202	sodium chloride batch 1234, <b>3.5</b> mg. J Smith 01/07/14					
202 203 204	Example (ii) <b>3.5</b>					
205 206	metadata, giving context and meaning, (italic text) are:					
207 208 209 210	Trial subject A123, sample ref X789 taken 30/06/14 at 1456hrs. INR, <b>3.5</b> mg. Analyst: J Smith 01/07/14					
211 212	4. Data Integrity					
213 214 215	The extent to which all data are complete, consistent and accura	ate throughout the data lifecycle.				
216 217 218	<ul> <li>Data integrity arrangements must ensure that the accuracy, completeness, content and meaning</li> <li>data is retained throughout the data lifecycle.</li> </ul>					
219 220 221 222	5. Data Governance					
223 224 225 226	The sum total of arrangements to ensure that data, irrespective of the format in which it is generated is recorded, processed, retained and used to ensure a complete, consistent and accurate record throughout the data lifecycle.					
220 227 228 229 230	Data governance should address data ownership throughout the operation and monitoring of processes / systems in order to com including control over intentional and unintentional changes to in	ply with the principles of data integrity				
231 232 233	Data Governance systems should include staff training in the im and the creation of a working environment that enables visibility results.					
234 235 236 237 238 239 240	Senior management is responsible for the implementation of sys potential risk to data integrity, and for identifying the residual risk such as the principles of ICH Q9. Contract Givers should ensure and accessibility are included in a contract/technical agreement. perform a data governance review as part of their vendor assura	k, using risk management techniques that data ownership, governance The Contract Giver should also				
241	Routine data review should evaluate the integrity of an individua	I data set compliance with				

- uld evaluate the integrity of an individual data set, compliance with established organisational and technical measures and any data risk indicators (e.g. data 242
- amendment). Periodic review of data governance measures (for example audit) should assess 243



effectiveness of established organisational and technical measures, and also consider the possibility
 of unauthorised activity.

Data governance systems should also ensure that data are readily available and directly accessibleon request from national competent authorities.

#### 251 6. Data Lifecycle

All phases in the life of the data (including raw data) from initial generation and recording through processing (including analysis, transformation or migration), use, data retention, archive / retrieval and destruction.

The procedures for destruction of data should consider data criticality and where applicable legislative retention requirements. Archival arrangements should be in place for long term retention of relevant data in compliance with legislation.

#### 263 7. Data transfer / migration

265 Data transfer is the process of transferring data and metadata between storage media types or 266 computer systems. Data migration changes the format of data to make it usable or visible on an 267 alternative computerised system.

269 Data transfer/migration should be designed and validated to ensure that data integrity principles are 270 maintained.

#### 271

249 250

252

260 261 262

264

268

#### 272

#### 273 8. Data Processing 274

A sequence of operations performed on data in order to extract, present or obtain information in a defined format. Examples might include: statistical analysis of individual patient data to present trends or conversion of a raw electronic signal to a chromatogram and subsequently a calculated numerical result

There should be adequate traceability of any user defined parameters used within data processing activities. Audit trails and retained records should allow reconstruction of all data processing activities regardless of whether the output of that processing is subsequently reported or otherwise used. If data processing has been repeated with progressive modification of processing parameters this should be visible to ensure that the processing parameters are not being manipulated to achieve a more desirable end point.

- 286
- 287 288
- 289

#### 290

#### 291 9. Recording data:

292





293 Companies should have an appropriate level of process understanding and technical knowledge of 294 systems used for data recording, including their capabilities, limitations and vulnerabilities. 295 296 The selected method should ensure that data of appropriate accuracy, completeness, content and 297 meaning is collected and retained for its intended use. Where the capability of the electronic system permits dynamic storage it is not appropriate for low-resolution or static (printed / manual) data to be 298 299 collected in preference to high resolution or dynamic (electronic) data. 300 301 10. Excluding Data: 302 303 Data may only be excluded where it can be demonstrated through sound science that the data is 304 305 anomalous or non-representative. In all cases, this justification should be documented and considered during data review and reporting. All data (even if excluded) should be retained with the original data 306 307 set, and be available for review in a format that allows the validity of the decision to exclude the data 308 to be confirmed. 309 310

## 311 **11.** Original record / True Copy(also referred to as 'certified copy' or 'verified copy'):

#### 313 **11.1. Original record:**

314

318

Data as the file or format in which it was originally generated, preserving the integrity (accuracy, completeness, content and meaning) of the record, e.g. original paper record of manual observation, or electronic raw data file from a computerised system

Data may be static (e.g. a 'fixed' record such as paper or pdf) or dynamic (e.g. an electronic record which the user/reviewer can interact with). An analogy being a group of still images (photographs – the static 'paper copy' example) may not provide the full content and meaning of the same event as a recorded moving image (video – the dynamic 'electronic record' example).

Example 1: An electronic monitoring system records temperatures every 5 minutes, providing the
 ability to interrogate data to investigate excursions (magnitude and duration). This ability is
 compromised when working from a summary graph.

Example 2: Chromatography systems provide dynamic electronic records in database format with the ability to track, trend, and query data. This allows the reviewer (with proper access permissions) to interact with the data (e.g. view hidden fields, and expand the baseline) to view the integration more clearly. Once printed or converted to static file format (e.g. .pdfs), chromatography records lose the interaction capability.

#### 334 **11.2. True Copy:**

A copy of original information that been verified as an exact (accurate and complete) copy having all of the same attributes and information as the original. The copy may be verified by dated signature or by a validated electronic signature. A true copy may be retained in a different electronic file format to the original record, if required, but must retain the equivalent static/dynamic nature of the original record.

341

335





Original records and true copies must preserve the integrity (accuracy, completeness, content and meaning) of the record. True copies of original records may be retained in place of the original record (e.g. scan of a paper record), provided that a documented system is in place to verify and record the integrity of the copy. Companies should consider any risk associated with the destruction of original records.

348 It should be possible to create a true copy of electronic data, including relevant metadata, for the 349 purposes of review, backup and archival. Accurate and complete copies for certification should 350 include the meaning of the data (e.g. date formats, context, layout, electronic signature and 351 authorisations), as well as the full audit trail. Consideration should be given to the dynamic 352 functionality of a 'true copy' throughout the retention period (see 'archive').

353

347

Where certified copies are made, the process for certification should be described, including the process for ensuring that the copy is complete and accurate and for identifying the certifying party and their authority for making that copy. The process of making a true copy of electronic data should be validated.

Data must be retained in a dynamic form where this is critical to its integrity or later verification. It is 359 360 conceivable for some data generated by electronic means to be retained in an acceptable paper or pdf format, where it can be justified that a static record maintains the integrity of the original data. 361 362 However, the data retention process must be shown to include verified copies of all raw data, 363 metadata, relevant audit trail and result files, any variable software/system configuration settings 364 specific to each record, and all data processing runs (including methods and audit trails) necessary 365 for reconstruction of a given raw data set. It would also require a documented means to verify that 366 the printed records were an accurate representation. This approach is likely to be onerous in its 367 administration to enable a GxP compliant record.

368 369

371

376

380

#### 370 **12. Computer system transactions:**

A computer system transaction is a single operation or sequence of operations performed as a single logical 'unit of work'. The operation(s) that make up a transaction may not be saved as a permanent record on durable storage until the user commits the transaction through a deliberate act (e.g. pressing a save button), or until the system forces the saving of data.

The metadata (i.e., user name, date, and time) is not captured in the system audit trail until the user saves the transaction to durable storage. In computerised systems, an electronic signature may be required in order for the record to be saved and become permanent.

Computer systems should be designed to ensure that the execution of critical steps are recorded contemporaneously by the user and are not combined into a single computer system transaction with other operations. A critical step is a parameter that must be within an appropriate limit, range, or distribution to ensure the safety of the subject or quality of the product or data.

385

Computerised systems should enforce saving immediately after critical data entry. Data entry prior to
 saving to permanent memory with audit trail (server, database) is considered to be temporary
 memory. These data are at risk of amendment or deletion without audit trail visibility. The length of
 time that data is held in temporary memory should be minimised.



391 Critical steps should be documented with process controls that consider system design (prevention). together with monitoring and review processes (surveillance). Surveillance activities should alert to 392 393 failures that are not addressed by the process design. 394 395 Example: 396 Computerised system may be configured to prevent data manipulation (prevention). This does not 397 restrict a person from repeating the process by manipulating data to achieve a desired result. Periodic 398 reviews (surveillance) for undisclosed data may reduce risk from repeated events. 399 400 401 13. Audit Trail 402 403 Audit trails are metadata that are a record of critical information (for example the change or deletion of 404 relevant data) that permit the reconstruction of activities. 405 406 Where computerised systems are used to capture, process, report, store and archive raw data 407 electronically, system design should always provide for the retention of audit trails to show all 408 changes to the data while retaining previous and original data. It should be possible to associate all 409 changes to data with the persons making those changes, and changes should be time stamped and a 410 reason given. The items included in the audit trail should be those of relevance to permit 411 reconstruction of the process or activity. 412 413 Audit trails should be switched on. Users (with the exception of system administrator) should not have 414 the ability to amend or switch off the audit trail. 415 The relevance of data retained in audit trails should be considered by the company to permit robust 416 417 data review / verification. It is not necessary for audit trail review to include every system activity (e.g. 418 user log on/off, keystrokes etc.) and may be achieved by review of appropriately designed and 419 validated system reports. 420 421 Where relevant audit trail functionality does not exist (e.g. within legacy systems and spreadsheets) 422 an equivalent level of control may be achieved for example by the use of log books, protecting each 423 version and change control. 424 Routine data review should include a documented audit trail review. When designing a system for 425 review of audit trails, this may be limited to those with GxP relevance (e.g. relating to data creation, 426 processing, modification and deletion etc). Audit trails may be reviewed as a list of relevant data, or by 427 428 a 'exception reporting' process. An exception report is a validated search tool that identifies and 429 documents predetermined 'abnormal' data or actions, which requires further attention or investigation 430 by the data reviewer. 431 QA should have sufficient knowledge and system access to review relevant audit trails, raw data and 432 metadata as part of audits to ensure on-going compliance with the company's data governance policy 433 and regulatory requirements. See also 'data governance'. 434 435 If no audit trailed system exists a paper based audit trail to demonstrate changes to data will be 436 permitted until a fully audit trailed (integrated system or independent audit software using a validated 437 interface) system becomes available. These hybrid systems are acceptable, where they achieve 438 equivalence to integrated audit trail, such as described in Chapter 4 of the GMP Guide. If such



439 equivalence cannot be demonstrated, it is expected that GMP facilities should upgrade to an audit trailed system by the end of 2017 (reference: Art 23 of Directive 2001/83/EC). 440 441 442 443 14. Electronic signatures 444 445 The use of electronic signatures should be compliant with the requirements of international standards 446 such as Directive 1999/93/EC (requirements relevant to 'advanced electronic signature'). 447 Where a paper or pdf copy of an electronically signed document is produced the metadata associated 448 449 with an electronic signature should be maintained together with the associated document. 450 451 An inserted image of a signature alone. or a footnote indicating that the document has been 452 electronically signed (where this has been entered by a means other than the validated electronic 453 signature process) is not sufficient. 454 455 456 15. Data Review 457 There should be a procedure that describes the process for the review and approval of data. Data 458 459 review should also include a review of relevant metadata, including audit trails. 460 461 Review should be based upon original data or a true copy. Summary reports of data are often supplied between companies (contract givers and acceptors). However, it must be acknowledged that 462 summary reports are limited, in that critical supporting data and metadata are often not included. 463 464 465 Prior to acceptance of summary reports, a risk-based evaluation of the contract acceptor's quality system including compliance with data integrity principles should be established. 466 467 Where data review is not conducted by the company that generated the data, the responsibilities for 468 data review must be documented and agreed by both parties. 469 470 Data review should be documented. 471 472 473 A procedure should describe the actions to be taken if data review identifies an error or omission. This procedure should enable data corrections or clarifications to be made in a GxP compliant manner. 474 475 providing visibility of the original record, and audit trailed traceability of the correction, using ALCOA principles (see 'data' definition). 476 477 478 479 16. Computerised system user access / system administrator roles 480 481 Full use should be made of access controls to ensure that people have access only to functionality that is appropriate for their job role, and that actions are attributable to a specific individual. 482 483 Companies must be able to demonstrate the access levels granted to individual staff members and 484 ensure that historical information regarding user access level is available. Controls should be applied at both the operating system and application levels. 485 486





487 Shared logins or generic user access should not be used. Where the computerised system design
488 supports individual user access, this function must be used. This may require the purchase of
489 additional licences.

490

491 It is acknowledged that some computerised systems support only a single user login or limited numbers of user logins. Where no suitable alternative computerised system is available, equivalent 492 493 control may be provided by third party software, or a paper based method of providing traceability 494 (with version control). The suitability of alternative systems should be justified and documented. 495 Increased data review is likely to be required for hybrid systems because they are vulnerable to non-496 attributable data changes. It is expected that companies should be implementing systems which 497 comply with current regulatory expectations. It is expected that GMP facilities should upgrade to system with individual login and audit trails by the end of 2017 (reference: Art 23 of Directive 498 499 2001/83/EC).

500

501 System administrator access should be restricted to the minimum number of people possible taking 502 account of the size and nature of the company. The generic system administrator account should not 503 be available for use. Personnel with system administrator access should log in with unique credentials 504 that allow actions in the audit trail(s) to be attributed to a specific individual.

505

506 System Administrator rights (permitting activities such as data deletion, database amendment or 507 system configuration changes) should not be assigned to individuals with a direct interest in the data 508 (data generation, data review or approval). Where this is unavoidable in the company structure, a 509 similar level of control may be achieved by the use of dual user accounts with different privileges. All 510 changes performed under system administrator access should be visible to, and approved within, the 511 quality system.

512 513 The individual should log in using the account with the appropriate access rights for the given task 514 e.g. a laboratory manager performing data checking should not log in as system administrator where 515 a more appropriate level of access exists for that task.

Individuals may require changes in their access rights depending on the status of clinical trial data.
For example, once data management processes are complete the data is 'locked' by removing editing access rights. This should be able to be demonstrated within the system.

#### 521 522 **17. Data retention**

523
524 Data retention may be classified as either archive (protected data for long term storage) or backup
525 (dynamic data for the purposes of disaster recovery).

526

527 Data and document retention arrangements should ensure the protection of records from deliberate or 528 inadvertent alteration or loss. Secure controls must be in place to ensure the data integrity of the 529 record throughout the retention period, and validated where appropriate. See also data transfer / 530 migration.

- 531
  532 Data (or a true copy thereof) generated in paper format may be retained for example by scanning,
  533 provided that there is a process in place to ensure that the copy is certified.
- 534 535 **171 A**
- 535 **17.1. Archive**





537 A designated secure area or facility (e.g. cabinet, room, building or computerised system) for the long 538 term, permanent retention of complete data and relevant metadata in its final form for the purposes of 539 reconstruction of the process or activity. 540

541 Archive records may be the original data or a 'true copy', and should be protected such that they 542 cannot be altered or deleted without detection.

543

548

556

558

563

536

The archive arrangements must be designed to permit recovery and readability of the data and metadata throughout the required retention period. In the case of electronic data archival, this process should be validated, and in the case of legacy systems the ability to review data periodically verified (i.e. to confirm the continued support of legacy computerised systems).

549 When legacy systems can no longer be supported, consideration should be given to maintaining the 550 software for data accessibility purposes as long as reasonably practicable. This may be achieved by 551 maintaining software in a virtual environment (e.g. Cloud or SaaS). Migration to an alternative file 552 format which retains the 'true copy' attributes of the data may be necessary with increasing age of the 553 legacy data. The migration file format should be selected taking into account the balance of risk 554 between long term accessibility versus possibility of reduced dynamic data functionality (e.g. data 555 interrogation, trending, re-processing etc).

#### 557 17.2. Backup

559 A copy of current (editable) data, metadata and system configuration settings (variable settings which 560 relate to a record or analytical run) maintained for the purpose of disaster recovery. 561

562 Backup and recovery processes should be validated and periodically tested.

#### 564 565 **18. File structure**

#### 566

## 567 **18.1. Flat files:** 568

569 A 'flat file' is an individual record which may not carry any additional metadata with it, other than that 570 included in the file itself

571
572 Flat files may carry basic metadata relating to file creation and date of last amendment, but may not
573 audit trail the type and sequence of amendments. When creating flat file reports from electronic data,
574 the metadata and audit trails relating to the generation of the raw data may be lost, unless these are
575 retained as a 'true copy'.

576

577 Consideration also needs to be given to the 'dynamic' nature of the data, where appropriate (see 'true copy' definition).

579

580 There is an inherently greater data integrity risk with flat files when compared to data contained within 581 a relational database in that they are easier to manipulate and delete as a single file. 582

#### 583 18.2. Relational database:

584





585 A relational database stores different components of associated data and metadata in different 586 places. Each individual record is created and retrieved by compiling the data and metadata for review 587 using a database reporting tool. 588 589 This file structure is inherently more secure, as the data is held in a large file format which preserves the relationship between data and metadata. 590 591 592 This is more resilient to attempts to selectively delete, amend or recreate data and the metadata trail 593 of actions, compared to a flat file system. 594 Retrieval of information from a relational database requires a database reporting tool, or the original 595 596 application which created the record. 597 Access rights for database entry or amendment should be controlled, and consistent with the 598 599 requirements for computerised system user access / system administrator roles. 600 601 602 19. Validation - for intended purpose (See also GMP Annex 15 and GAMP 5) 603 604 Computerised systems should comply with regulatory requirements and associated guidance and be 605 validated for their intended purpose. This requires an understanding of the computerised system's 606 607 function within a process. For this reason, the acceptance of vendor-supplied validation data in 608 isolation of system configuration and intended use is not acceptable. In isolation from the intended 609 process or end user IT infrastructure, vendor testing is likely to be limited to functional verification 610 only, and may not fulfil the requirements for performance qualification. 611 612 For example - A custom report generated from a relational database, used as a system audit trail. 613 Functional verification demonstrates that the required information is consistently and completely 614 presented. Validation for intended purpose ensures that the steps for generating the custom report 615 accurately reflect those described in the data checking SOP, and that the report output is consistent 616 with the procedural steps for performing the subsequent review. 617 618 619 20. Cloud providers and virtual service / platforms (also referred to as software as a service SaaS / platform as a service (PaaS) / infrastructure as a service (laaS)) 620 621 Where 'cloud' or 'virtual' services are used, particular attention should be paid to understanding the service provided, ownership, retrieval, retention and security of data. 622 The physical location where the data is held, including impact of any laws applicable to that 623 geographic location should be considered. The responsibilities of the contract giver and acceptor 624 should be defined in a technical agreement or contract. This should ensure timely access to data 625 626 (including metadata and audit trails) to the data owner and national competent authorities upon request. Contracts with providers should define responsibilities for archiving and continued readability 627 628 of the data throughout the retention period (see archive). Appropriate arrangements must exist for the restoration of the software/system as per its original interactive validated state, including validation 629 630 and change control information to permit this restoration. 631 632 Business continuity arrangements should be included in the contract, and tested.