
Metered Dose Inhaler (MDI) and Dry Powder Inhaler (DPI) Products - Quality Considerations Guidance for Industry

DRAFT GUIDANCE

This guidance document is being distributed for comment purposes only.

Comments and suggestions regarding this draft document should be submitted within 60 days of publication in the *Federal Register* of the notice announcing the availability of the draft guidance. Submit electronic comments to <https://www.regulations.gov>. Submit written comments to the Division of Dockets Staff (HFA-305), Food and Drug Administration, 5630 Fishers Lane, rm. 1061, Rockville, MD 20852. All comments should be identified with the docket number listed in the notice of availability that publishes in the *Federal Register*.

For questions regarding this draft document contact (CDER) Richard Lostritto 301-796-1697.

**U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
Food and Drug Administration
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER)**

**April 2018
Pharmaceutical Quality/CMC**

Revision 1

Metered Dose Inhaler (MDI) and Dry Powder Inhaler (DPI) Products - Quality Considerations Guidance for Industry

*Additional copies are available from:
Office of Communications, Division of Drug Information
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research
Food and Drug Administration
10001 New Hampshire Ave., Hillandale Bldg., 4th Floor
Silver Spring, MD 20993-0002
Phone: 855-543-3784 or 301-796-3400; Fax: 301-431-6353
Email: druginfo@fda.hhs.gov
<https://www.fda.gov/Drugs/GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/Guidances/default.htm>*

**U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
Food and Drug Administration
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER)**

**April 2018
Pharmaceutical Quality/CMC**

Revision 1

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	BACKGROUND	2
	A. General	2
	B. Regulatory Status	3
III.	MDI AND DPI PRODUCT DEVELOPMENT	4
	A. Quality Target Product Profile (QTPP)	4
	B. Critical Quality Attributes (CQAs)	4
	1. <i>MDI and DPI Products</i>	4
	2. <i>Drug Substance</i>	5
	3. <i>Excipients</i>	6
	4. <i>Container Closure System (Including the Device Constituent Part) for MDIs</i>	6
	5. <i>Container Closure System (Including the Device Constituent Part) for DPIs</i>	7
	C. Product and Process Development	7
	1. <i>Product Development</i>	8
	2. <i>Process Development</i>	10
	D. Development of Control Strategy	12
	1. <i>Controls for Incoming Materials</i>	12
	2. <i>In-process Measurements and Monitoring</i>	13
	3. <i>Release Testing of the MDI and DPI Product</i>	14
IV.	INFORMATION TO BE SUBMITTED IN AN APPLICATION	15
	A. Information on the Drug Substance	15
	B. Description and Composition (P1)	16
	1. <i>MDIs</i>	16
	2. <i>DPIs</i>	17
	C. Pharmaceutical Development (P2)	17
	D. Manufacture (P3)	18
	E. Control of Excipients (P4)	19
	F. Control of MDI and DPI Product (P5)	22
	1. <i>Description</i>	23
	2. <i>Valve Delivery</i>	24
	3. <i>Delivered Dosage Uniformity (DDU)</i>	24
	4. <i>Uniformity of Dosage Units</i>	24
	5. <i>Aerodynamic Particle Size Distribution (APSD)</i>	25
	6. <i>Spray Pattern</i>	25
	7. <i>Foreign Particulates</i>	26
	8. <i>Microbial Limits</i>	26
	G. Reference Standards or Materials (P6)	26
	H. Container and Closure System (P7)	26

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

I.	Stability (P8)	28
J.	Labeling	29
	1. <i>MDIs</i>	30
	2. <i>DPIs</i>	34
V.	APPENDIX	38
	A. Tables	38
	B. MDI and DPI Product Characterization Studies (P2)	39
	1. <i>General Considerations for Significant Change</i>	40
	2. <i>Recommendations for Specific Characterization Studies</i>	40
	C. Approaches to Evaluating Delivered Dose Uniformity (DDU)	43
	1. <i>Parametric Tolerance Interval Testing (PTIT)</i>	43
	2. <i>Counting Test</i>	45



Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

1 **Metered Dose Inhaler (MDI) and Dry Powder**
2 **Inhaler (DPI) Products – Quality Considerations**
3 **Guidance for Industry¹**
4

5
6 This draft guidance, when finalized, will represent the current thinking of the Food and Drug
7 Administration (FDA or Agency) on this topic. It does not establish any rights for any person and is not
8 binding on FDA or the public. You can use an alternative approach if it satisfies the requirements of the
9 applicable statutes and regulations. To discuss an alternative approach, contact the FDA staff responsible
10 for this guidance as listed on the title page.
11

12
13
14 **I. INTRODUCTION**
15

16 The purpose of this guidance is to provide recommendations to industry on the development and
17 manufacture of inhalation aerosols (also known as metered dose inhalers (or MDIs)) and
18 inhalation powders (also known as dry powder inhalers (or DPIs)). The recommendations in this
19 guidance can apply to MDI and DPI products intended for local or systemic effect.
20

21 This guidance describes points to consider to ensure product quality and performance for MDIs
22 and DPIs. It describes chemistry, manufacturing, and controls (CMC) information recommended
23 for inclusion in new drug applications (NDAs) and abbreviated new drug applications (ANDAs);
24 however, the principles are applicable to products used during clinical trials, and over the
25 product lifecycle as well. It also provides recommendations on certain aspects of labeling for
26 NDA and ANDA MDI and DPI products.
27

28 This guidance does not discuss aqueous-based nasal spray drug products and inhalation solution,
29 suspension, and spray drug products, or the manufacture of drug substances. However, some of
30 the principles of this guidance may be applicable to nasal delivery products. Also, this guidance
31 does not discuss considerations for when an MDI or DPI includes electronic components,
32 software, or novel inhaler components that might affect the performance or reliability of the
33 product. The applicant should refer to the applicable requirements and recommendations outlined
34 in the appropriate regulations and guidances, respectively, from the Center for Devices and
35 Radiological Health (CDRH).
36

37 FDA previously published a draft guidance on this topic on November 13, 1998.² The present
38 guidance is a revision of the previous draft, updated to reflect current standards and requirements

¹ This guidance has been prepared by the Office of Pharmaceutical Quality in the Center for Drug Evaluation and Research, in collaboration with the Center for Devices and Radiological Health, at the Food and Drug Administration.

² We update guidances periodically. To make sure you have the most recent version of a guidance, check the FDA Drugs guidance web page at <https://www.fda.gov/Drugs/GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/Guidances/default.htm>.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

39 to enhance understanding of appropriate development approaches for these products consistent
40 with the quality by design (QbD) paradigm.

41
42 In general, FDA’s guidance documents do not establish legally enforceable responsibilities.
43 Instead, guidances describe the Agency’s current thinking on a topic and should be viewed only
44 as recommendations, unless specific regulatory or statutory requirements are cited. The use of
45 the word *should* in Agency guidances means that something is suggested or recommended, but
46 not required.

47
48

II. BACKGROUND

49
50

A. General

51
52

53 MDIs and DPIs are products that deliver one or more drug substances to the site of action
54 through the inhalation route. Both types of products are used to treat lung diseases characterized
55 by obstruction of airflow and shortness of breath, including asthma and chronic obstructive
56 pulmonary disease (COPD), as well as respiratory infections and cystic fibrosis. The inhalation
57 route offers further potential for systemic drug delivery.

58

59 MDI products consist of a drug formulation (the drug constituent part) and a container closure
60 system. An MDI drug formulation contains the drug substance(s), either dissolved or suspended,
61 in a (1) propellant, (2) mixture of propellants, or (3) mixture of solvents, propellants, and/or
62 other excipients. An MDI container closure system consists of the device constituent part (i.e.,
63 the canister, the actuator, the metering valve), and any additional features (e.g., integrated spacer,
64 integrated dose counter), as well as protective secondary packaging (e.g., an overwrap). MDI
65 products use energy stored in a liquefied gas propellant under pressure for generating aerosols
66 suitable for pulmonary drug delivery.

67

68 DPI products also consist of a drug formulation (the drug constituent part) and a container
69 closure system. However, the designs of DPI products differ considerably from those for MDI
70 products. A DPI drug formulation contains the drug substance and excipients including a drug
71 carrier (e.g., lactose). A DPI container closure system consists of the device constituent part and
72 any protective secondary packaging (e.g., an overwrap). Current designs of DPI products
73 include pre-metered and device-metered DPIs, either of which can be driven by a patient’s
74 inspiration alone (passive) or with power-assistance of some type (active) for production of drug
75 particles intended for inhalation.

76

77 *Pre-metered DPIs* contain previously measured amounts of drug formulation in
78 individual containers (e.g., capsules, blisters, cartridges, dosing discs) that are inserted
79 into the device constituent part during manufacturing or by the patient before use. The
80 pre-metered dose can be inhaled directly or it can be transferred to a chamber before
81 being inhaled by the patient.

82

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

83 *Device-metered DPIs* have an internal reservoir containing sufficient quantity of
84 formulation for multiple doses that are metered by the device constituent part during use
85 by the patient.

86
87 The performance of MDI and DPI products depends on many key aspects of the drug
88 formulation, container closure system (including the device constituent part), manufacturing, and
89 patient handling. Product and process understanding is therefore critical to: (1) the development
90 and manufacture of these products, (2) the maintenance of product quality and performance
91 through the expiration date under patient use conditions, and (3) the maintenance of product
92 quality and performance over the product life cycle, including continual improvement.

B. Regulatory Status

94
95
96 MDIs and DPIs are combination products (see 21 CFR 3.2(e)).³ As drug-device combination
97 products, they are subject to the current good manufacturing practice (CGMP) requirements for
98 drugs and devices (see 21 CFR part 4).⁴ Further information about the CGMP requirements for
99 combination products is available in the FDA guidance for industry and FDA staff *Current Good*
100 *Manufacturing Practice Requirements for Combination Products*, including an explanation of a
101 streamlined approach for demonstrating compliance with both drug and device CGMP
102 requirements.

103
104 In particular, design controls (21 CFR 820.30) apply to any combination product that includes a
105 device constituent part that is subject to them, including all MDIs and DPIs.⁵ Essentially, design
106 control activities confirm that there are no negative interactions between constituent parts, and
107 assure that their combined use results in a combination product that is safe and effective and
108 performs as expected. Guidance for industry on pharmaceutical development addresses product
109 design and development procedures, reflecting quality by design principles.⁶ While quality by
110 design and design controls share similar characteristics and goals, the device Quality System
111 regulation (21 CFR 820) includes specific requirements for design development that
112 manufacturers must satisfy.⁷

113
114 It may be possible to leverage many aspects of pharmaceutical development as described in ICH
115 Q8(R2) to achieve compliance with design controls. For example, the Quality Target Product

³ A combination product is composed of two or more of the three types of medical products (i.e., drug, device, and biological product), that are either physically, chemically, or otherwise combined into a “single-entity;” “co-packaged” together; or under certain circumstances distributed separately to be used together as a “cross-labeled” combination product. See 21 CFR 3.2(e).

⁴ See 21 CFR part 4 available at <https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfcfr/cfrsearch.cfm?cfrpart=4>.

⁵ For single-entity and co-packaged combination products, design control requirements apply to the development of the combination product as a whole. For cross-labeled combination product, design control requirements apply only to the device constituent part but should ensure the safety and effectiveness of the device when used with the other constituent part(s) of the combination product.

⁶ See FDA guidance for industry *Q8(R2) Pharmaceutical Development*, ICH.

⁷ For example, requirements under 21 CFR 820 for design control, purchasing controls, management responsibility and corrective and preventive action must be met. See *Current Good Manufacturing Requirements for Combination Products* at: <https://www.fda.gov/RegulatoryInformation/Guidances/ucm126198.htm> for additional information regarding options for complying with the requirements of 21 CFR 820 for a combination product.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

116 Profile (QTPP) (see section III.A. below) is similar to “design inputs” (21 CFR 820.30(c)),
117 which ensure that design requirements are appropriate to address the intended use of the product.
118 Further, studies conducted to verify that the critical quality attributes (CQAs) are met in the
119 finished product may also address requirements for design “verification” and “validation” (21
120 CFR 820.30(f), (g)), which ensure that the product’s “design outputs” (21 CFR 820.30(d)) result
121 in a product that safely and effectively meets user needs and achieves its intended effects.⁸
122

123 MDI and DPI applicants must ensure that their development and manufacturing procedures and
124 documentation satisfy all regulatory requirements applicable to their combination product,
125 including for design control (some of which may be satisfied by following Q8(R2) as previously
126 noted). This guidance offers recommendations for how to pursue product development and
127 manufacture in a compliant manner, generally using concepts and terminology familiar to drug
128 sponsors and manufacturers to do so.
129

130

III. MDI and DPI PRODUCT DEVELOPMENT

132

A. Quality Target Product Profile (QTPP)

134

135 Prior to the development of an MDI or DPI, the applicant should establish the desired quality
136 target product profile (QTPP). The QTPP is a prospective summary of the quality characteristics
137 of a drug product, and in this case, the combination product, that ideally will be achieved to
138 ensure the desired quality, taking into account safety and efficacy of the MDI or DPI (ICH
139 Q8(R2)).⁹ Examples of QTPP elements for MDIs and DPIs include: proposed dosage form and
140 delivery system, strength (e.g., targeted metered dose for DPIs, targeted delivered dose for
141 MDIs), purity, stability, and aerodynamic performance.
142

143

B. Critical Quality Attributes (CQAs)

144

1. MDI and DPI Products

146

147 Early in the development process of an MDI or DPI, the applicant should develop a list of
148 potential CQAs for the combination product. A CQA is a physical, chemical, biological, or
149 microbiological property or characteristic that should be within an appropriate limit, range, or
150 distribution to ensure the desired product quality (ICH Q8(R2)). Those aspects of the design of
151 the combination product that are essential for proper functioning of the product are also
152 considered part of the required design output (21 CFR 820.30(d)). Knowledge of the QTPP for
153 the product, in combination with information from prior knowledge, risk assessments, and/or
154 experimentation, can be used to develop the list of product CQAs. The list of product CQAs can
155 be modified as product development progresses and new knowledge is gained. CQAs for the

⁸ Additional requirements for design control include preparation of a design plan (21 CFR 820.30(b)) and holding review meetings with specified personnel in attendance (21 CFR 820.30(e)). See Current Good Manufacturing Requirements for Combination Products for additional information regarding design control requirements for combination products and other CGMP requirements for combination products that include a device constituent part.

⁹ See FDA guidance for industry *Q8(R2) Pharmaceutical Development*, ICH.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

156 drug substance(s), excipients, and container closure system (including the device constituent
157 part) should also be developed (see below).

158
159 For MDIs, potential product CQAs typically include assay, impurities and degradants, delivered
160 dose, aerodynamic particle size distribution (APSD), spray pattern, leachables, alcohol/excipient
161 content, foreign particulate matter, moisture content, net content (drug substance and excipients),
162 microbial load and device constituent part characteristics such as component dimensions and
163 valve delivery (shot weight). The force and distance necessary to advance the dose counter¹⁰ and
164 the product actuation force (force to deliver the drug from the device constituent part) are CQAs.
165 If the MDI product is actuated by the patient's inhalation, the air flow necessary to actuate the
166 device for drug release can be considered a CQA.

167
168 For DPIs, potential product CQAs typically include assay, impurities and degradants, delivered
169 dose, APSD, volatile/semi-volatile leachables content, foreign particulate matter, moisture
170 content, net content, microbial load, and device constituent part characteristics such as specific
171 resistance to air flow.

172
173 Each CQA, either alone or in concert with other CQAs, should relate to one or more elements of
174 the product QTPP. Some of the elements of the QTPP can be related to CQAs of the device
175 constituent part as well as to CQAs of the product formulation. For example:

- 176
177
- 178 • Delivered drug purity is usually related to the following CQAs: impurities and
179 degradants of the drug substance and excipients, foreign particulate matter, and
180 amount of leachables (e.g., from the device constituent part, container components, or
181 manufacturing environment).
 - 182 • Targeted delivered dose (product strength) for MDIs is usually related to the
183 following CQAs: assay, metered dose, and net content.
 - 184
 - 185 • Aerodynamic performance for MDIs is usually related to the following CQAs:
186 delivered dose, APSD, spray pattern, moisture content, net content, device constituent
187 part CQAs, and drug substance CQAs.
 - 188
 - 189 • Targeted metered dose in a device-metered DPI is usually related to the following
190 CQAs: the device constituent part CQAs (e.g., dimensions of metering components)
191 and the physicochemical properties of the formulation.
- 192

193 Additional relationships between QTPP elements and CQAs for MDIs and DPIs are shown in
194 Table A, Table B, and Table C in the Appendix, section V.A.

195 196 2. *Drug Substance*

197
198 The physical, chemical, and microbiological properties of the drug substance that should be
199 within an appropriate limit, range, or distribution to ensure the desired product quality are

¹⁰ See FDA guidance for industry *Integration of Dose-Counting Mechanisms into MDI Drug Products*.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

200 considered CQAs of the drug substance. These should be identified and characterized early in
201 development. Additional characterization can take place throughout the development and life
202 cycle of the product.

203
204 For drug substances used in MDIs or DPIs, potential CQAs can include assay, particle size
205 distribution (PSD), moisture content, bulk density, flow properties, morphic form (e.g.,
206 amorphous, crystalline, hydrate), morphology of drug particles (e.g., shape, crystal habit, texture,
207 surface area, rugosity), residual solvent content, and impurities.

208 209 3. *Excipients*

210
211 The physical, chemical, and microbiological properties of the excipients that should be within an
212 appropriate limit, range, or distribution to ensure the desired product quality are considered
213 CQAs of the excipients. These should be identified and characterized early in development.
214 Prior knowledge can be particularly useful in identifying the CQAs of excipients since many
215 excipients are already used in similar products.

216
217 The potential impact of an excipient on product quality can depend on the intrinsic
218 characteristics and properties of the excipient chosen, and the amount of the excipient used in the
219 formulation. Examples of potential CQAs for excipients used in MDIs or DPIs can include:
220 assay, boiling point and vapor pressure, moisture content, density, impurity profile, particle
221 morphology (e.g., shape, crystal habit, texture, surface area, rugosity), flow properties,
222 amorphous content, microbial limits, pyrogens or bacterial endotoxins, and PSD.

223 224 4. *Container Closure System (Including the Device Constituent Part) for MDIs*

225
226 The container closure system for an MDI consists of the device constituent part (i.e., canister, the
227 actuator, the metering valve), including any additional features (e.g., integrated spacer, integrated
228 dose counter). It can also include protective secondary packaging. Critical device constituent
229 part components are those that may come into contact with the formulation or the patient, or are
230 necessary for device function.

231
232 The materials used to fabricate the MDI device constituent part may come into direct contact
233 with either the formulation or the patient, thereby potentially affecting product safety and
234 performance. For example, due to the presence of organic propellant/vehicle in MDI
235 formulations, leaching of compounds from the valve and/or canister components of the container
236 closure system into the formulation can occur, which is a potential safety or effectiveness
237 concern. Device constituent part materials also have the potential to affect the aerodynamic
238 performance of the MDI product. For example, delivered dose and APSD of the MDI product
239 can be affected by the surface properties of the device constituent part and/or its components.
240 Therefore, the properties of materials used in the fabrication of the device constituent part and
241 the quantitative compositions after fabrication should be considered CQAs.

242
243 The device constituent part (e.g., actuator orifice, mouthpiece, metering chamber) has an
244 important role in generating aerosol particles, determining the aerosol characteristics, and
245 controlling the amount of medication available to the patient. For instance, the actuator orifice

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

246 size can affect the APSD, spray velocity, plume geometry, and spray pattern. Thus, the
247 dimensions of the device constituent part can be considered CQAs.

248
249 The secondary packaging (e.g., foil pouch) for MDIs can provide additional protection to the
250 product from humidity. Therefore, when such additional protection is important, the material
251 properties of the secondary packaging can be considered CQAs.

252 5. *Container Closure System (Including the Device Constituent Part) for DPIs*

253
254
255 The container closure system for a DPI consists of the device constituent part and protective
256 secondary packaging (e.g., overwrap, carton).

257
258 As with MDIs, the materials used to fabricate the DPI device constituent part may come in direct
259 contact with either the formulation or the patient, thereby potentially affecting product safety and
260 performance. For instance, drug particle-surface interactions, such as adhesion of drug onto
261 mouthpiece surfaces, can affect the delivered dose and APSD. Therefore, the properties of
262 materials used in the critical device constituent part components are important and the
263 quantitative compositions of the critical device constituent part components after molding should
264 be considered CQAs. Critical device constituent part components are those that may come into
265 contact with the formulation or the patient or are necessary for device function.

266
267 A DPI device constituent part acts as the delivery system of the drug. The design, geometry, and
268 dimensions of the device constituent part can influence the device resistance, air flow, shear, and
269 turbulence generated within the device constituent part, and thus the drug delivery of a DPI
270 product. Therefore, these device constituent part attributes can be considered CQAs.

271
272 The secondary packaging (e.g., foil pouch) for DPIs can provide additional protection to the
273 product from humidity. Therefore, when such additional protection is important, the material
274 properties of the secondary packaging can be considered CQAs.

275 **C. Product and Process Development**

276
277
278 Development of an MDI or DPI product should involve consideration of aspects such as aerosol
279 delivery characteristics, portability, ease of use, device constituent part robustness, inclusion of a
280 dose counter, appropriateness of a lockout, cleaning needs, and suitability to the patient
281 population.

282
283 The Agency recommends that applicants use prior knowledge specific to their formulation,
284 manufacturing process, and device constituent part design to identify QTPP, CQAs, and potential
285 risks to the product, and then initiate product and process development to define a control
286 strategy that eliminates or mitigates the risks. Applicants should consider using risk assessment
287 tools such as those listed in ICH Q9¹¹ or ISO 14971 *Risk Management – Medical Devices*¹² (e.g.,
288 Failure Modes and Effects Analysis (FMEA), Failure Modes, Effects, and Criticality Analysis

¹¹ See FDA guidance for industry *Q9 Quality Risk Management*, ICH.

¹² For additional information on risk management for combination products, see Current Good Manufacturing Requirements for Combination Products. See also ISO 14971 *Risk Management – Medical Devices*.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

289 (FEMCA), Fault Tree Analysis (FTA), Ishikawa diagram) starting from early product
290 development to identify factors (e.g., material attributes, process parameters) which have the
291 potential to impact product quality. The identified factors can be further studied (e.g.,
292 experimentally, by modeling) to define an appropriate control strategy that assures that the
293 manufacturing process consistently produces product of the desired quality.
294

295 Examples of some of the factors the applicant should consider, to understand potential impacts
296 on MDI or DPI product CQAs, include the following:
297

- 298 • Physiochemical properties of the drug substance(s) and excipients and their
299 interactions (e.g., densities, amorphous or crystalline forms, flow properties, adhesive
300 and cohesive properties).
301
- 302 • Lot-to-lot variability of drug substance and excipient properties (e.g., PSD, moisture
303 content, impurity profiles, surface morphology) and device constituent part
304 composition and properties (e.g., surface contamination, leachables content).
305
- 306 • Interaction of two or more drug substances when co-formulated.
307
- 308 • Potential for microbial growth.
309

310 Risk assessment and process development experiments can lead to an understanding of
311 univariate and multivariate relationships between material attributes and process parameters and
312 how they affect MDI or DPI CQAs. Experimentation and modeling can also help identify
313 appropriate ranges for these variables, within which consistent product quality can be achieved.
314 Identification of appropriate ranges can facilitate scale-up and technology transfer. Multivariate
315 combinations of appropriate ranges for material attributes and process parameters also can be
316 included in a design space.
317

318 Another factor to consider concerns the stage of development when pivotal clinical trials (i.e.,
319 phase 2b, phase 3) are conducted. Dose-ranging studies are considered pivotal trials, and the to-
320 be-marketed MDI should be used during dose-ranging studies to avoid potential therapeutic
321 differences. If an applicant completes optimization of the MDI or DPI product and
322 manufacturing process only after the pivotal clinical trials have been completed, the applicant
323 should consider establishing a relationship between the in vitro characterization of the product
324 and its in vivo performance. In the absence of such a relationship, additional in vivo studies
325 (e.g., clinical studies) might be warranted to determine whether the product manufactured for
326 clinical trials and the product proposed for commercial distribution have the same therapeutic
327 effect.
328

329 1. *Product Development*

330 a. MDIs

331
332
333 The following are examples of potential design and development issues that should typically be
334 considered during the development of an MDI:

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380

- The selection or design of the device constituent part (canister, valve components, actuator, and dose counter) is generally informed by prior knowledge or experience, and can be optimized during development as early as feasible and should be completed prior to phase III study of the combination product if possible.
- The target fill volume of an MDI is usually established based on the number of actuations required from the product, delivered dose, concentration of the drug substance in the formulation, and metered volume. Unavoidable leakage of the propellant over the shelf-life and the number of actuations required for priming during testing and use should also be factored into the fill volume. Fill volume, formulation homogeneity (for suspensions) and concentration, and fill weight are likely to have a significant impact on the delivered dose of the product throughout the life of the unit. The internal pressure of the device constituent part and vaporization rate of the aerosol produced upon actuation are determined primarily by the properties and amount of propellant(s) and cosolvent(s), because these constitute the majority of the MDI formulation.
- For suspension based MDIs, the potential for settling, creaming, or aggregation of the drug substance can be minimized if the drug substance and the propellants have similar densities.
- A non-uniform dispersion of drug substance can also result from adhesion of the suspended drug particles to various components of the device constituent part (e.g., valves, canister). This adhesion can contribute to changes in delivered dose and APSD.
- Solution-based MDIs generally have better delivered dose uniformity (DDU) compared to suspension based MDIs, but they may have more degradants, since the drug substance is completely dissolved and is more susceptible to degradation reactions.
- Organic cosolvents, which are often used to enhance the solubility of the drug substance, may have the potential to solubilize the components of the device constituent part. Thus, it is prudent to employ materials of construction in the device constituent part that reduce the possibility of leachables in the product (e.g., plastics and coatings less likely to be solubilized in the liquid phase of the formulation, pre-extracted elastomers).

b. DPIs

The following are examples of potential design and development issues that should typically be considered during the selection and development of a DPI:

- Carriers such as lactose can promote uniformity and flowability of a blend during manufacturing. Carriers can also enhance the reproducibility of the metered,

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

381 delivered, and fine particle dose of the DPI product (by reducing agglomeration of the
382 drug substance).
383

- 384 • Properties that can be important to consider for selection of carriers during product
385 development include: ratio of drug substance to excipient, physical and chemical
386 compatibility, and PSD. Interparticulate interactions between the drug substance and
387 excipients and with the container closure/device constituent part at a microscopic
388 level (e.g., cohesive and adhesive properties, surface activity, specific surface area,
389 static charge properties of the formulation) can also be important. These properties
390 and interactions can affect, for example, blend uniformity, powder flow, and
391 delivered dose.
392
- 393 • The stability of the formulation can be affected by ambient humidity. For example,
394 exposing hygroscopic excipients to moisture can result in a decrease in the fine
395 particle dose of the drug substance. If moisture ingress into the device constituent
396 part affects product performance, additional protective container closure components
397 (e.g., desiccants, foil overwraps) can be used.
398

399 2. *Process Development*

400
401 Process development should include the following:
402

- 403 • Selection of an appropriate manufacturing process (including manufacturing
404 equipment).
405
- 406 • Identification of factors or process variables that have a potential to impact MDI or
407 DPI product CQAs.
408
- 409 • Process optimization (which includes determination of appropriate ranges for the
410 process variables).
411
- 412 • Determination of in-process controls.
413
- 414 • Identification of an approach for scale-up (if applicable).
415

416 The crystallinity of the drug substance in MDIs and DPIs can be affected by mechanical
417 processing, including micronization. This can lead to the generation of amorphous particles that
418 are thermodynamically unstable, with a tendency to convert to a more stable crystalline state
419 with time. This recrystallization of micronized material could lead to uncontrolled particle
420 growth, thereby affecting the MDI or DPI product CQAs (e.g., APSD, DDU). Therefore, a
421 conditioning step should be considered following micronization to allow conversion of
422 amorphous to crystalline form under controlled conditions of temperature and humidity.
423

424 Evaluation of process monitoring data during the development of the manufacturing process can
425 enhance process understanding and support continual process development over the product life
426 cycle.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472

a. MDIs

Typical manufacturing operations for an MDI are sequential mixing of the drug substance(s), propellants and cosolvents, filling, device constituent part assembly, and packaging.

- For a suspension formulation, adequate mixing and circulation within the formulation tank, filling tank, and filling heads is necessary during the filling process to achieve uniformity of product fill into individual units.
- Filling processes are usually pressure fill, cold fill, or a combination of these depending on the formulation characteristics, type of equipment available, and manufacturing expertise and experience. MDI canisters can be filled with a pre-specified calibrated amount of formulation in single or multiple steps.
- A better understanding of the filling process can be obtained by designing experiments to study the impact of deliberate variations in the process parameters on the MDI product assay, consistency of filling of both the drug substance and the propellant, valve crimp measurements, weight checking, spray testing, etc. These experiments could be used to optimize the filling operation and define an appropriate design space for the MDI filling operation. For example, the filling operation of an MDI can be optimized by evaluating the change in concentration of the drug substance in the formulation tank during the filling process (due to the volatility of the propellants) and determining the amount of propellant to be added to maintain the concentration of the drug substance.
- Results from testing of product from trial runs can form the basis for further optimization of the formulation or manufacturing process.

b. DPIs

Typical manufacturing operations for a DPI are dry powder blending or spray drying of the drug substance(s) and excipients (carrier), blister or capsule filling (reservoir filling for device-metered DPIs), device constituent part assembly, and packaging.

- The physical properties of the drug substance(s) and/or excipient(s) are usually modified before they are used in the formulation. Particle generation or modification processes can include spheronization, spray drying, and micronization.
- Increased drug substance particle cohesiveness resulting from the presence of very small particles can adversely affect flowability, fillability, and dispersibility. Because the concentration of the drug substance in the formulation is usually low, it can be difficult to achieve a uniform distribution of the drug substance by direct blending. These problems are typically minimized by blending drug particles with larger carrier particles (e.g., lactose).

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

- 473
- 474
- 475
- 476
- 477
- 478
- 479
- 480
- 481
- 482
- 483
- 484
- 485
- 486
- 487
- 488
- 489
- 490
- 491
- 492
- 493
- 494
- 495
- 496
- Blend uniformity can be measured using traditional methods such as High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC), where samples are obtained at the end of blending using a sampling thief. Also, online technologies such as near infrared-based procedures can be used to monitor blending in real time and ensure a homogenous blend. The equipment (e.g., blender type), process parameters associated with blending (e.g., blending time, blender speed, blender fill level), and environmental conditions can impact blend uniformity and therefore the dose content uniformity of the drug product. Final blend properties (e.g., bulk density, particle size, flowability) can impact the process parameters for filling capsules, blisters, and reservoirs.
 - Other approaches to achieving a uniform distribution of the drug substance in the formulation can include the use of spray drying or supercritical fluid technology.
 - Typical filling methods for DPIs include dosator or tamp filling. Process parameters can include blister/capsule filling speed, powder bed height in auger, and encapsulator speed (for capsules). The capsules, blisters, reservoirs, or disks are normally sealed to protect the formulation from environmental factors (e.g., humidity) which can affect product performance. Process parameters for sealing can include the sealing temperature, dwell time, and machine speed. The effect of the sealing process parameters on DPI product CQAs (e.g., impurities and degradants, delivered dose, and APSD) should be investigated since the sealing process normally involves heating.

D. Development of Control Strategy

497

498

499 As defined in ICH Q10,¹³ a control strategy is a “planned set of controls, derived from current product and process understanding that assures process performance and product quality.” For MDIs and DPIs, the overall purpose of the control strategy is to ensure that the CQAs are within the appropriate range, limit, or distribution to assure drug substance and product quality.

500

501

502

503

504 The control strategy can include controls for incoming materials, in-process controls, and release testing.

1. Controls for Incoming Materials

505

506

507

508

509 Appropriate controls for the drug substance(s), excipients, device constituent part(s), and packaging materials should be established.¹⁴ If more than one drug substance is used in the product formulation, controls should be in place for each of them, irrespective of the amount present. If PSD of the drug substance or an excipient can affect the CQAs (e.g., APSD) of the product, the PSD should be controlled. Similarly, other CQAs such as polymorphic form or moisture content should be controlled if they can affect the quality of the product. If PSD of the drug substance or an excipient is further modified by the product manufacturer as part of the

¹³ See FDA guidance for industry *Q10 Pharmaceutical Quality System*, ICH.

¹⁴ These controls must satisfy purchasing control requirements as described at 21 CFR 820.50. See Current Good Manufacturing Requirements for Combination Products for additional information regarding this requirement.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

516 product manufacturing process, appropriate in-process controls and monitoring should be
517 established (see next section on in-process measurements and monitoring).

518
519 Excipients used in MDIs and DPIs are typically referenced to Drug Master Files (DMFs) and
520 have compendial monographs. For excipient CQAs that can impact the performance of the
521 finished MDI or DPI product, but are not included in a compendial monograph, appropriate
522 controls should be established.

523
524 Performance testing of the device constituent part (e.g., dimensions, valve functionality, dose
525 counter, actuator-orifice, extractables) is typically done by the vendors or fabricators of the
526 device constituent part and verified initially and on an annual basis by the applicant under their
527 internal quality system. The appropriateness of these tests and acceptance criteria should be
528 evaluated. For device constituent part components that will be in contact with the formulation or
529 the patient's mouth, appropriate testing for extractables can be used as a substitute for leachables
530 testing in the product if a valid extractables-leachables correlation is established. Suitability of
531 the materials used for the device constituent part components can be addressed by their
532 compliance to biocompatibility testing standards (e.g., United States Pharmacopoeia (USP)
533 <87>, USP <88>, ISO 10993).

534 2. *In-process Measurements and Monitoring*

535
536
537 The in-process controls typically used for the manufacturing processes of MDIs can include:
538 formulation homogeneity, valve performance testing, heat stress testing, and weight checking.
539 Similarly, in-process controls for the manufacturing processes of DPIs can include: blend
540 uniformity, moisture content, fill weight, and sealing integrity, where applicable. Additional
541 monitoring of content uniformity using a stratified sampling approach during manufacturing¹⁵
542 should be used for pre-metered DPIs with low drug loading.

543
544 Typically, drug substance or excipient manufacturers control the PSD of these materials before
545 they are provided for further manufacture. Alternatively, the manufacturer producing the
546 formulation for inclusion in the MDI or DPI can choose to adjust the PSD of these materials to
547 an appropriate range or distribution prior to using them. If micronization is used to adjust the
548 PSD, the in-process controls can include: total duration of micronization, PSD of the incoming
549 materials, feed rate, inlet air flow rate, air pressure, physical and mechanical properties of input
550 materials, number of times a lot is micronized, and re-introduction of carry-overs from previous
551 micronized lots. If a spray drying process is used, the in-process controls can include: solution
552 or suspension feed rate, inlet air and product temperatures, and air flow rate. If supercritical
553 fluid extraction is used, the in-process controls can include: concentration of solution, pressure,
554 temperature, and flow rates of carbon dioxide and drug solutions. For any of these three
555 technologies, it may be possible to develop mathematical models to predict PSD as a function of
556 process parameters or material attributes. In such cases, predictions from these models can be
557 used in lieu of actual measurement of PSD. Such models should be verified and updated.¹⁶

¹⁵ The Use of Stratified Sampling of Blend and Dosage Units to Demonstrate Adequacy of Mix for Powder Blends, *PDA J Pharm Sci and Tech*, 57, 64-74 (2003).

¹⁶ See FDA guidance for industry *Q8, Q9, & Q10: Questions and Answers: Appendix: Q&As from Training Sessions; (Q8, Q9, & Q10 Points to Consider)*, ICH.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

558

559 In situations where PSD cannot be directly measured (e.g., samples may not be accessible from
560 the formulation tank of an MDI until the canisters are filled), controls should be established to
561 ensure consistent manufacture of product with the desired PSD (e.g., monitoring and trending of
562 manufacturing process parameters that impact PSD).

563

564 When blister units or protective secondary packaging are used, controls should be established to
565 ensure that the seal area functions properly in terms of adhesion (e.g., heat seal, adhesive) and
566 mechanical seal. Appropriate integrity testing and acceptance criteria for seal completeness
567 (e.g., vacuum leak test) and seal strength (e.g., peel strength test) should be established to ensure
568 acceptable sealing properties within a batch and between batches.

569

3. *Release Testing of the MDI and DPI Product*

570

571 Release testing is performed on each batch of MDI or DPI product as part of the overall control
572 strategy. Each of the product attributes listed on the MDI or DPI product specification, most of
573 which are related to product CQAs, are normally tested at release. In some cases, if upstream
574 controls can be used to confirm that a batch of product meets a CQA related to an attribute on the
575 specification, that attribute does not need to be tested at release for every batch.

576

577 DDU and APSD should be included on the specifications for all MDIs and DPIs. Testing of
578 these attributes is performed on the assembled product using appropriate analytical procedures
579 (e.g., USP <601>). For DDU, the Agency also supports alternative statistical approaches using
580 parametric tolerance interval testing (PTIT),^{17,18} because these approaches are more relevant for
581 assuring the overall quality of the entire batch of an MDI or DPI.

582

583 APSD testing for an MDI or DPI confirms that the APSD profile of the product remains
584 consistent from the beginning of device constituent part use to the end. APSD testing is also
585 used to confirm that the product used in the clinical trials has similar drug delivery
586 characteristics to the to-be-marketed product. APSD is typically tested using an appropriate
587 cascade impactor and is dependent on both the formulation and the container closure system.
588 The measurement of the APSD is influenced by the characteristics of the MDI or DPI product
589 aerosol and is not solely determined by the size of the individual drug substance particles present
590 in the formulation. The impactor should have enough sizing stages to measure the total
591 distribution. The Agency recommends that all of the cascade impactors used to test the MDI or
592 DPI product throughout development should have the same design (e.g., Andersen Cascade
593 Impactor or Next Generation Impactor) and configuration. DPIs with low flow resistance require
594 high flow rates to achieve optimal pressure drop across the device constituent part. These device
595 constituent parts should be tested using impactors with alternative validated stage configurations.
596 It can be appropriate to refer to the current USP chapter for APSD procedures.

597

598

¹⁷ Presentation on “Parametric Tolerance Interval Test for Dose Content Uniformity (PTIT)” to the Advisory Committee for Pharmaceutical Science (ACPS) on October 25, 2005. See http://www.fda.gov/ohrms/dockets/ac/05/slides/2005-4187s1_Slide%20Index%20Day%201.htm.

¹⁸ Parametric Two-Tier Sequential Quality Assurance Test of Delivery Dose Uniformity of Multiple-Dose Inhaler and Dry Powder Inhaler Drug Products, *Journal of Biopharmaceutical Statistics*, 18: 976-984, 2008.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

599 During development, the formulation and device constituent part components should be
600 examined microscopically. If the results indicate the formation of agglomerates, crystal growth,
601 the presence of large particles or foreign particulates, or changes in morphology of the drug
602 substance, appropriate controls for release and stability should be developed. In addition, if the
603 formulation supports microbial growth, appropriate controls for release and stability should be
604 considered.

605

606

607 IV. INFORMATION TO BE SUBMITTED IN AN APPLICATION

608

609 An applicant must provide technical data and information in sufficient detail to permit the
610 Agency to make a knowledgeable judgment about whether to approve the application or whether
611 grounds exist under section 505(d) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FD&C Act) to
612 refuse to approve the application.¹⁹ This includes information about the drug substance²⁰ and
613 information about the MDI or DPI product.^{21, 22}

614

615 The recommendations below are particularly relevant to MDIs and DPIs developed by following
616 traditional developmental approaches and are based on Agency experience with these products.
617 Information for more enhanced development could be different, although an applicant would be
618 expected to demonstrate enhanced knowledge and understanding. For example, alternative
619 control strategies to ensure product quality could be proposed. Applicants are encouraged to
620 discuss such proposals and their justification with the appropriate review division during
621 development.

622

623 The focus of this section is on aspects of MDIs and DPIs that are unique to these products. The
624 format of the submitted information should be based along the lines described in ICH M4Q.²³

625

626 A. Information on the Drug Substance

627

628 As described in section 3.2.S of ICH M4Q, the information submitted about the drug substance
629 should include information on General Properties, Manufacturer, Description of the
630 Manufacturing Process and Process Controls, Control of Materials, Controls of Critical Steps and
631 Intermediates, Manufacturing Process Development, Characterization, Control of Drug
632 Substance, Reference Standards, Container Closure System, and Stability.

633

634 Attributes typically included on the specifications for drug substances used in MDIs and DPIs
635 are listed in Table 1, below. Additional recommendations can be found in ICH Q6A,²⁴ and other
636 applicable guidances.

¹⁹ See 21 CFR 314.50(d).

²⁰ See 21 CFR 314.50(d)(1)(i).

²¹ See 21 CFR 314.50(d)(1)(ii).

²² For additional assistance on where to provide device constituent information using the eCTD format see eCTD Technical Conformance Guide: Technical Specifications Document: guidance for industry *Providing Regulatory Submissions in Electronic Format — Certain Human Pharmaceutical Product Applications and Related Submissions Using the eCTD Specifications*.

²³ See FDA guidance for industry *M4Q: The CTD — Quality*, ICH.

²⁴ See FDA guidance for industry *Q1A(R2) Stability Testing of New Drug Substances and Products*, ICH.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

637
638
639
640

Table 1. Attributes Usually Tested at Release and on Stability for Drug Substances Used in MDIs and DPIs

Attribute	Release	Stability
Color	X	
Appearance (visual and microscopic)	X	X
Identity	X	
Moisture Content	X	X
Residue on Ignition	X	
Specific Rotation	X	
Assay	X	X
Impurities	X	X
Microbial Limits	X	X
Melting Range	X	X
PSD	X	X
Morphology*	X	X
Amorphous Content	X	X
Individual Residual Solvents	X	
Heavy Metals**	X	

* Examples include shape, crystal habit, texture, surface area, and rugosity.

** Can be replaced with elemental impurities.²⁵

641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659

B. Description and Composition (P1)

As described in ICH M4Q, section 3.2.P.1 of the application should include a list of all components (i.e., ingredients) used in the manufacture of the MDI or DPI drug constituent part.

1. MDIs

The amount of each component in the final formulation should be expressed in terms of concentration (i.e., amount per unit volume or weight), as well as amount per container and amount delivered from the valve per actuation. The amount of drug delivered from the mouthpiece and any associated features (e.g., integrated spacers) per actuation should be provided. The mass of drug delivered from the mouthpiece per actuation is the specified target delivered dose (TDD) and is used to denote the strength. In addition, for suspension formulations, the density of the individual formulation components should be included. The reported densities should be measured at the product storage temperature.

²⁵ See FDA guidance for industry *Q3D Elemental Impurities*, ICH, USP General Chapter <232> *Elemental Impurities-Limits*, USP General Chapter <233> *Elemental Impurities-Procedures*, and FDA guidance for industry *Elemental Impurities in Drug Products*. When final, this guidance will represent the FDA's current thinking on this topic.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

660 2. *DPIs*

661
662 The amount of each drug should be expressed in terms of concentration (i.e., amount per unit
663 weight (e.g., micrograms per gram)) and as net content (in micrograms) per capsule or blister.
664 The metered amount and the mass of the drug delivered from the mouthpiece under defined test
665 conditions (i.e., flow rate, duration) should both be provided. The mass of drug delivered from
666 the mouthpiece is the specified target delivered dose (TDD). The metered amount of the drug
667 from a DPI is used to denote its strength, not the specified TDD.

668
669 For device-metered DPIs, the TDD, metered dose, and net formulation content should be
670 provided.

671 672 **C. Pharmaceutical Development (P2)**

673
674 As described in ICH M4Q, section 3.2.P.2 of the application should contain information on
675 studies conducted to establish that the dosage form, formulation, manufacturing process,
676 container closure system, microbiological attributes, and usage instructions specified in the
677 application are appropriate for the intended use of the MDI or DPI product. Because an MDI or
678 DPI is a combination product, this section should address the developmental process for the
679 entire product including the device constituent part. The applicant should consider including the
680 following:

- 681 • A description of the QTPP.
- 682
683 • A list of the CQAs of the MDI or DPI product, along with the limit, range, or
684 distribution associated with each CQA and appropriate justification.
- 685
686 • Identification of those aspects of drug substances, excipients, container closure
687 system (including the device constituent part), and manufacturing processes important
688 to attaining product quality.
- 689
690 • Rationale for the selection or design of the proposed container closure system
691 (including the device constituent part) and storage conditions, including a summary of
692 the changes in container closure components used throughout the development (e.g.,
693 in tabular form).
- 694
695 • Pilot scale or larger scale process development studies used to support the proposed
696 commercial scale control strategy. This could include:
 - 697 ○ Summary of prior knowledge and risk assessment methodologies used to identify
698 the process parameters and material attributes that have the potential to impact
699 product CQAs.
 - 700 ○ Summary of experimental studies used to identify operating ranges or design
701 space. If design of experiments (DOE) was used, a summary table should be
702 provided that includes input factors, ranges studied, results, and conclusions.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

- 706
- 707 ○ Appropriate scale-up correlations should be provided to justify proposed ranges at
- 708 commercial scale.
- 709
- 710 ○ Rationale for the selection of input materials and their proposed acceptance
- 711 criteria.
- 712
- 713 ○ Rationale for the selection of the manufacturing process, including in-process
- 714 controls.
- 715
- 716 ○ Justification for the formulation overfill per unit, needed to maintain the
- 717 performance of the MDI or DPI product throughout the labeled number of
- 718 actuations, as applicable.
- 719
- 720 • Summary data from MDI or DPI product characterization studies. These are used to
- 721 demonstrate the robustness and performance of the product and support labeling.
- 722 Studies recommended for MDIs and DPIs are listed in Table 2, below. The
- 723 applicability of each of the characterization studies outlined below for a given product
- 724 can be discussed with the responsible review division. Additional information on the
- 725 purpose and design of these characterization studies can be found in the Appendix,
- 726 section V.B.2.

727 **Table 2. Characterization Studies**

728

Studies	MDI	DPI
In-Use Period	X	X
Temperature Cycling	X	X
Priming and Repriming	X	
Effect of Patient Use	X	X
Effect of Storage and Shaking (suspension formulated MDIs only)	X	
Effect of Orientation of the Device on Delivered Dose		X
Drug Deposition on Mouthpiece and/or Accessories	X	X
Cleaning Instructions	X	X
Profiling of Actuations Near Device Exhaustion	X	X
Effect of Varying Flow Rate on DPI Performance		X
Effect of Flow Rate and Inhalation Delay on MDIs with Spacers	X	
Robustness	X	X

- 729
- 730 **D. Manufacture (P3)**
- 731
- 732 As described in ICH M4Q, section 3.2.P.3 of the application should contain information about
- 733 where and how the MDI or DPI product will be manufactured. This should include information
- 734 on the drug and device constituent parts and the final combination product assembly. In
- 735 addition, the application should contain information necessary to demonstrate compliance with

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

736 21 CFR part 4. See the FDA guidance for industry and staff *Current Good Manufacturing*
737 *Practice Requirements for Combination Products* for more information on these requirements.
738 In addition, the FDA guidance for industry and staff *Quality System Information for Certain*
739 *Premarket Application Reviews* provides information regarding the quality system information
740 that should be included in a regulatory submission.

741
742 The complete street address and contact information (e.g., email, phone and fax numbers) should
743 be listed in the application form 356h for each facility involved in the manufacturing or testing of
744 the MDI or DPI product, including the testing of components of the product. If manufacturing
745 information is provided in a DMF, all sites that are described in the DMF should also be listed in
746 the application form 356h.

747
748 The batch formula and a description of the manufacturing process and process controls should be
749 provided. A detailed schematic diagram of the proposed production process, including
750 descriptions of the equipment, operating conditions, and process controls, should also be
751 provided.²⁶

752
753 If a drug substance or excipient is micronized after being received from a supplier, the process
754 parameters for micronization should be described as part of the product manufacturing process.
755 If a conditioning step follows micronization, the conditioning parameters and process controls
756 should also be described.

757
758 If the MDI manufacturing process involves filling a suspension into a canister, either by pressure
759 fill or cold fill, appropriate process parameters and in-process controls to assure the formulation
760 homogeneity should be provided in the application.

761
762 If the manufacturing process involves blending of drug or excipient particles, the process
763 parameters associated with blending (e.g., blender size, blending time, blender speed, blender
764 loading configurations, environmental conditions) and in-process controls for assuring blend
765 uniformity should be described.

766
767 Filling and packaging procedures (primary and protective secondary packaging) for the MDI or
768 DPI product should be described in the application, including relevant process controls for these
769 operations.

770 771 **E. Control of Excipients (P4)**

772
773 As described in ICH M4Q, section 3.2.P.4 of the application should provide the following
774 information on control of excipients:

- 775
- 776 • Manufacturer, supplier, characterization studies, certificate of analysis and other
777 specific information should be provided as appropriate, for all excipients.
 - 778
 - 779 • Specifications for excipients.

²⁶ See 21 CFR 314.50(d)(1)(ii)(c).

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793

- Analytical procedures used for testing the excipients, when appropriate.
- Analytical validation information, when appropriate.

DMFs can also be referenced in the application for quality and toxicological information. For additional guidance on pharmacological and toxicological considerations, the applicant should consult available CDER guidance,²⁷ or contact the responsible review division.

For certain compendial excipients, the specifications should include tests in addition to those stated in the monograph. Typical examples are shown in Table 3, below.

Table 3. Examples of Tests in Addition to Compendial Excipient Tests

Dosage Form	Excipient	Function	Tests
MDI	Dehydrated Alcohol, USP	Cosolvent	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Water content (e.g., Karl Fischer)• Impurity profile
	Lecithin, NF	Surfactant	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Tests that define the compositional profile in detail
	Oleic Acid, NF	Surfactant	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Impurity profile in detail
DPI	Lactose Monohydrate, NF	Carrier	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Quantitative color and clarity• Anomeric purity• Elemental impurities• Amorphous content• Microbial limits• Organic volatile impurities• Related impurities• Particle size distribution• pH• Assay• Particle size and morphology• Pyrogens and bacterial endotoxins
	Anhydrous Lactose, NF	Carrier	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Quantitative color and clarity• Anomeric purity• Elemental impurities• Amorphous content• Microbial limits• Organic volatile impurities• Related impurities

²⁷ See FDA guidance for industry *Nonclinical Studies for the Safety Evaluation of Pharmaceutical Excipients*.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

Dosage Form	Excipient	Function	Tests
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Particle size distribution • pH • Assay • Monohydrate lactose content • Particle size and morphology • Pyrogens and bacterial endotoxins

794
795 For propellants (e.g., HFA-134a, HFA-227) specifications should include the following tests:
796 identity, appearance, assay, acidity, total residue, moisture content, related impurities, and
797 unrelated impurities (e.g., CO, N₂, O₂ gases). Generally, the assay acceptance criterion should
798 not be less than 99.99 percent for propellants. The related impurities acceptance criteria for
799 HFA-134a and HFA-227, shown in Table 4 and Table 5, are typical of the limits that are
800 considered acceptable.

Table 4. Examples of Acceptance Criteria for Impurities in HFA-134a

Impurity	Acceptance Criteria (ppm)	Impurity	Acceptance Criteria (ppm)
HCC-40	5	HCFC-133a	5
HFC-23	5	HCFC-161	30
HFC-32	5	HCFC-1121	5
HFC-125	5	HCFC-1122	5
HFC-134	1000	HCFC-1122a	5
HFC-143a	20	CFC-11	5
HFC-152	5	CFC-12	100
HFC-152a	300	CFC-12B1	5
HFC-245cb	5	CFC-13	5
HFC-1123	5	CFC-113	5
HFC-1132	5	CFC-114	5
HFC-1225ye	5	CFC-114a	25
HFC-1234yf	5	CFC-115	5
HFC-1243zf	5	CFC-1112a	5
HFC-1336mzz	5	FC-1318my-T	5
HCFC-22	50	FC-1318my-C	5
HCFC-31	5	Total unsaturates (including HCFC-1122)	5
HCFC-123	5	Individual unidentified impurities	5
HCFC-123a	5	Total unidentified impurities	10
HCFC-124	100	Other organic impurities	50
HCFC-124a	5	Any other identified saturated impurity	5
HCFC-132b	5	Total impurities	1000

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

804
805
806

Table 5. Examples of Acceptance Criteria for Impurities in HFA-227

Impurity	Acceptance Criteria (ppm)
P124	3
P227 ca (Saturated)	3
Unsaturated related impurities	
P1216 hexafluoropropene	3
P1225ye 1,1,1,2,3, pentafluoropropene	3
P1225zc 1,1,1,3,3,pentafluoropropene	3
P245cb 1,1,1,2,2-Pentafluoropropene	
Hexafluorocyclopropane	2
1 chloro-1,2,2,2,tetrafluoroethane	10
Octafluoropropane	2
Chloropentafluoroethane	2
4-methylperfluoropentene-2 (isomer 1)	2
2-methylperfluoropentene-2 (isomer 2)	2
2-chloroheptafluoropropane	2
Hexafluoropropane	2
Heptafluorobutene	2
2-H-2-methyperfluoropentane	2
Water content	10
Acidity as Hydrogen Chloride	0.1
Non-volatile residue	20
Total unsaturated	5
Individual unidentified impurities	3
Other organic impurities	50
Any other identified saturated impurity	5
Total impurities	20
Purity by GC Assay	>99.99

807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816

F. Control of MDI and DPI Product (P5)

As described in ICH M4Q, section 3.2.P.5 of the application should contain the following information on control of MDI or DPI product:

- Specification.
- Analytical procedures.
- Validation of analytical procedures.
- Characterization of impurities.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

- 817
- Batch analyses.
 - Justification for the proposed specification.
- 818
- 819

820 Typical release tests for MDIs and DPIs are provided in Table 6, below.

821

822 **Table 6. Attributes Typically Included on Specifications for MDIs and DPIs**

823

Attribute	MDI	DPI
Description	X	X
Identification	X	X
Assay	X	X
Impurities and Degradation Products	X	X
Valve Delivery (Shot Weight)	X	
Delivered Dose Uniformity (DDU)	X	X
Uniformity of Dosage Units		X
Aerodynamic Particle Size Distribution (APSD)	X	X
Spray Pattern	X	
Foreign Particulate Matter	X	X
Microbial Limits	X	X
Water or Moisture Content	X	X
Alcohol/Antioxidants/Preservatives Content*	X	
Net Content (Fill) Weight	X	X
Leachables (Stability)	X	X

* When present

824

825

826 The proposed analytical procedures should be documented in sufficient detail²⁸ that they can be
827 reviewed and reproduced in FDA laboratories. If any attribute is tested in-process during
828 manufacturing in lieu of release testing, it should be indicated as such on the specification.

829

830 The following information for specific attributes and criteria should be provided:

831

832 1. *Description*

833

834 MDIs and DPIs: The appearance of the contents of the container (i.e., formulation) and the
835 appearance of components of the container closure system should conform to their respective
836 descriptions as an indication of product integrity. For example, there should be no visible
837 evidence of drug substance surface deposition or corrosion of container closure system
838 components of an MDI, such as pitting or discoloration. If any color is associated with the
839 formulation (either present initially or from a known degradative process occurring during shelf
840 life), a quantitative color test with appropriate acceptance criteria should be established, unless
841 the impurity causing the color has been identified and its concentration will be monitored by
842 another analytical procedure.

²⁸ See USP General Chapter <5> *Inhalational and Nasal Drug Products-General Information and Product Quality Tests*, and USP General Chapter <601> *Aerosols, Nasal Sprays, Metered-Dose Inhalers, and Dry Powder Inhalers*, for additional information, including analytical procedures for some of the attributes.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888

2. Valve Delivery

MDIs: Valve delivery (amount of formulation released per actuation, shot weight) should be measured for 10 units. The acceptance criteria should be not more than (NMT) ± 15 percent for individual actuations and NMT ± 10 percent for the mean of the actuations relative to the target. Acceptance testing for valve delivery on incoming valve lots can be substituted for the release testing of valve delivery for the MDI product, if justified. However, the acceptance criteria for valve delivery should be included in the MDI product specification.

3. Delivered Dosage Uniformity (DDU)

MDIs and DPIs: The test for DDU measures the amount of drug discharged from the mouthpiece of the MDI or DPI and compares that measurement to the TDD.

Not more than two actuations per determination should be used for DDU. Where the number of actuations per minimum dose specified in the product labeling is one, the number of actuations per determination should be limited to one. The amount of drug substance discharged should be expressed both as the actual weight and as a percent of the label claim from the actuator. The USP Unit Spray <601> sampling apparatus can be used and containers should be primed according to the instructions in the labeling (as appropriate). Testing should be carried out under optimized conditions of air flow rate and total air volume (drawn through the device during the test). For DPIs, inhalation aerosols, and inhalation aerosols with integrated spacers or similar accessories, the volume of collection should not exceed 2 L at a constant flow rate.

Testing for each batch should be conducted on an appropriate number of representative units (at least 10). For MDIs and device-metered DPIs, each MDI or device-metered DPI is considered a unit and both the initial dose and the last of the labeled number of doses should be tested. For pre-metered DPIs, each container (capsule, single blister, or single cartridge) is considered a unit. The sampling approach (including the number of samples tested and the number of replicate analyses performed per sample) should be included as part of the analytical procedure and acceptance criteria.

The Agency recommends that applicants adopt a PTIT approach to measuring DDU. However, alternative approaches can be used if appropriately justified. The Appendix (section V.C.) includes two examples of approaches to measuring DDU, including the PTIT approach. MDIs and DPIs: The test for DDU measures the amount of drug discharged from the mouthpiece of the MDI or DPI and compares that measurement to the TDD.

4. Uniformity of Dosage Units

Pre-metered DPIs (i.e., each dose is separately packaged or segregated within a package): The DPI product specification should include a test and acceptance criteria for the content uniformity of pre-metered dosage units (e.g., as described in USP General Chapter <905> Uniformity of Dosage Units).

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

5. *Aerodynamic Particle Size Distribution (APSD)*

MDIs and DPIs: The equipment (e.g., multistage cascade impactor, vacuum source, valve, timing system) used to characterize the APSD of the MDI or DPI product should be described. Any accessories or modifications to the equipment (e.g., stage substitution, expansion chamber, inlet stem, adaptors, collection plate surface coating) should be included in the description. The qualification criteria for the equipment should be included in the description of the analytical procedure.

Testing should be carried out under the same optimized conditions of air flow rate as is used in the DDU test. Other important test parameters (e.g., air flow duration, relative humidity, temperature) and information (e.g., cleaning of the equipment between runs, frequency of mensuration) should be specified in the procedure. For DPIs, the volume per measurement should not exceed 4 L.

APSD should be determined separately for each MDI or DPI. An appropriate minimum number of MDI or DPI products (e.g., 5) should be tested individually and the determination for each unit should be performed with the minimum number of actuations justified by the sensitivity of the analytical procedure used to quantitate the deposited drug. The amount of drug deposited on the critical stages of the cascade impactor should be sufficient for reliable assay, but not so excessive as to bias the results by masking individual actuation variability. For MDIs, device-metered DPIs, and pre-metered DPIs that contain enclosed ordered assemblies of individual dose units, the APSD should usually be measured for the initial dose and also for the last of the labeled number of doses. However, if there is no discernible APSD trend from beginning- to end-of-unit life in the data from submission batches, routine testing for post-approval batches can be performed only at the beginning-of-unit life.

It is not considered adequate to characterize the APSD in terms of the mass median aerodynamic diameter (MMAD) and geometric standard deviation (GSD) alone, or to limit the characterization only to fine particle mass or fine particle fraction. Acceptance criteria should be proposed based on the amount of drug deposited on various stages of the equipment. Applicants should propose acceptance criteria for groupings of consecutive stages rather than proposing an acceptance criterion for each individual stage. In most cases, three or four groupings should be sufficient to characterize the APSD adequately.

The mass balance (i.e., the amount of drug substance deposited on all surfaces from the valve to the equipment filter) should be measured for each run. If the mass balance is not between 85 and 115 percent of TDD, the test result should be investigated under the applicant's quality system. The investigation should include evaluation of the suitability of the analytical procedure and dose delivery testing of the units that failed APSD mass balance.

6. *Spray Pattern*

MDIs: The test procedure for spray pattern of the MDI product should include the following information: collection distances between the mouthpiece and the measurement plane (preferably at least two), number of actuations per spray pattern (preferably $n = 1$), position and

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

935 orientation of the measurement plane relative to the mouthpiece, and visualization method. The
936 collection distances should provide adequate discriminatory capability. The acceptance criteria
937 at different distances should include the shape and size of the spray pattern with the ratio of the
938 longest to the shortest axes stated (e.g., 1.00 – 1.20).

939
940 Acceptance testing for spray pattern on incoming actuator lots with the specified valve can
941 substitute for the release testing of spray pattern for the MDI product, if justified. However, the
942 acceptance criteria for the spray pattern should be included in the MDI product specification.

943 944 7. *Foreign Particulates*

945
946 MDIs and DPIs: The MDI or DPI product specification should include tests and acceptance
947 criteria for foreign particulates. The acceptance criteria should include limits for less than 10
948 micrometers, 10 to 25 micrometers, and greater than 25 micrometers.

949 950 8. *Microbial Limits*

951
952 MDIs and DPIs: The MDI or DPI product specification should include tests and acceptance
953 criteria for total microbial count and specified indicator organisms. USP compendial methods
954 and criteria in General Chapters <610> Alternative Microbiological Sampling Methods for
955 Nonsterile Inhaled and Nasal Products and <1111> Microbiological Examination of Nonsterile
956 Products Acceptance Criteria for Pharmaceutical Preparations and Substances for
957 Pharmaceutical Use can be referenced.

958 959 9. *Leachables*

960
961 MDIs and DPIs: Additional information related to leachables and extractables can be found in
962 the following documents: USP Chapters <1663>, <1664>, and <1664.1>, and PQRI
963 Recommendations to FDA.²⁹

964 965 **G. Reference Standards or Materials (P6)**

966
967 As described in ICH M4Q, section 3.2.P.6 of the application should contain information on
968 reference standards or reference materials used for testing of the MDI or DPI product, if not
969 previously provided in 3.2.S.5, Reference Standards or Materials.

970 971 **H. Container and Closure System (P7)**

972
973 As described in ICH M4Q, section 3.2.P.7 of the application should contain the information for
974 the container closure system (which includes the device constituent part and the primary and
975 secondary packaging). The application for MDIs and DPIs should also include the following
976 information, as provided in Table 7, below.

977

²⁹ See http://pqri.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/08/pdf/LE_Recommendations_to_FDA_09-29-06.pdf.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

978
979
980

Table 7. Information to be Submitted in Support of an Application for an MDI or DPI Container Closure System (Including the Device Constituent Part)

	MDI			MDI and DPI	DPI
	Canister	Valves and Components	Actuator/Mouthpiece and Additional Accessories	Protective Packaging	Device Constituent Part and Components
Fabricator(s) of Device Constituent Part and Components	•	•	•	•	•
Unique Identifier(s)	•	•	•	•	•
Composition and Control of Materials for Critical Components	•	•	•	•	•
Engineering Drawings with Precise Dimensions and Tolerances	•	•	•		•
Cleaning procedures and reagents used	•				
Control Extraction Procedures and Data	•	•			
Control Procedures for Residues ¹	•				
Qualitative and Quantitative Extractable Profile(s)	•	•			
Toxicological Evaluation of Extracted Materials (and Residues ¹)	•	•			
Specification and Analytical Sampling Plans ²	•	•	•	•	•
CoA or Representative Test Data	•	•	•	•	•
Functional and Performance Characteristics ³		•			
Identity, Composition, and Treatment Procedures of Elastomeric Components		•			
Flow Resistance ⁴					•
USP Biological Reactivity Testing <87> and <88> and Food Additive Regulation ⁵			•		•

981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990

¹ Process contaminants (where appropriate)

² Can include for example, dimensions, qualitative and quantitative extractables and residues, physicochemical parameters, compositional controls, and/or performance characteristics

³ For example: valve actuation force, stroke length, valve delivery, and valve leakage of the assembled valve/canister combination containing placebo formulation

⁴ Supportive information and data should be provided to characterize any dependence of the drug delivery and formulation deagglomeration on the flow resistance of the device constituent part

⁵ If the components are not recognized as safe for food contact under appropriate regulations, extractables (e.g., organic solvent(s), water), obtained under defined experimental conditions, should be established analytically both qualitatively and quantitatively. In addition to in vitro and in vivo tests and other safety data for these components

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

991 not recognized as safe for food contact, extractables profiles with multiple solvents should be assessed
992 toxicologically and a rationale provided to support limits for extractables that can be applied on a routine basis.
993

994 Identity and concentration profiles of the leachables in the MDI or DPI product or placebo
995 formulation (i.e., MDI or DPI product formulation without drug substance) should be determined
996 for the primary stability batches and should include testing at multiple time-points to the end of
997 the proposed shelf life. These data should be correlated, if possible, with the extractables
998 profile(s) of the container closure system determined under the various control extraction study
999 conditions.

1000

1001 For ANDAs, the applicant can compare the extraction profiles of the container closure system
1002 with the leachables profile(s) of the MDI or DPI product (or placebo) after storage under
1003 accelerated stability conditions as long as the applicant confirms that post-approval verification
1004 activities will include an assessment of initial production stability batches to confirm the results
1005 for the MDI or DPI product (or placebo) through the expiration dating period. If equilibrium is
1006 not reached by six months, real-time long-term data should be used to establish an appropriate
1007 expiration dating period. If the compared results are within the applicant's acceptance criteria
1008 but there are qualitative differences, the results should be discussed with the responsible review
1009 division.

1010

1011 For additional information on container closure systems, refer to appropriate Agency guidance
1012 and available standards.

1013

1014 **I. Stability (P8)**

1015

1016 Stability studies should be conducted as recommended in ICH Q1A(R2), Q1C, Q1D, and
1017 Q1E.^{30,31} The MDI or DPI product should be packaged as intended for commercialization,
1018 including secondary packaging. Stability data collected during the clinical investigations phase
1019 on the MDI or DPI product packaged in a different container closure system configuration can be
1020 provided as supporting data, with appropriate justification.

1021

1022 If protective secondary packaging is used, the routine stability test storage conditions for the
1023 product in the presentation intended for distribution should include both long-term storage at
1024 25°C/60 percent relative humidity (RH) and at 30°C/65 percent RH for one-half of the proposed
1025 expiration dating period.

1026

1027 Table 8 below describes the attributes that should be tested during stability studies. During the
1028 conduct of stability studies, the MDI or DPI product should be stored in upright, horizontal, and
1029 inverted orientations. If sufficient data demonstrate that orientation does not affect the product
1030 quality, routine stability studies can be conducted on product stored in only one orientation.

1031

Alternatively, if data demonstrate a certain orientation is detrimental to product stability, that

³⁰ See FDA guidance for industry *Q1A(R2) Stability Testing of New Drug Substances and Products*, ICH, FDA guidance for industry *Q1C Stability Testing for New Dosage Forms*, ICH, FDA guidance for industry *Q1D Bracketing and Matrixing Designs for Stability Testing of New Drug Substances and Products*, ICH, and FDA guidance for industry *Q1E Evaluation of Stability Data*, ICH.

³¹ See FDA guidance for industry *ANDAs: Stability Testing of Drug Substances and Products* and FDA guidance for industry *ANDAs: Stability Testing of Drug Substances and Products: Questions and Answers*.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

1032 orientation should be used in routine stability studies. However, shipping and storage of the
1033 marketed product should utilize the most favorable orientation.

1034
1035
1036

Table 7. Attributes Normally Tested During Stability Studies

Attribute	MDI	DPI
Description	X	X
Assay	X	X
Impurities and Degradation Products	X	X
Valve Delivery (Shot Weight)	X	
Delivered Dose Uniformity (DDU)	X	X
Aerodynamic Particle Size Distribution (APSD)*	X	X
Spray Pattern	X	
Particulate Matter	X	X
Microbial Limits	X	X
Leachables	X	
Alcohol Content**	X	
Water or Moisture Content***	X	X
Leak Rate	X	

1037 * For suspension-based MDIs, device-metered DPIs, and multi-dose DPIs that contain enclosed
1038 ordered assemblies of individual pre-metered dose units, the stability studies on the primary stability
1039 batches should determine the effect of storage time and conditions on the APSD through unit life
1040 (determinations from the initial actuations and also for the last of the labeled number of actuations).
1041 If APSD changes through unit life, the proposed stability protocol should include APSD testing at the
1042 beginning and end of unit life.

1043 ** When present

1044 *** In addition to moisture present in the excipient

1045
1046
1047

J. Labeling

1048 The following information is recommended for the labeling of MDIs and DPIs, to help achieve
1049 consistency and uniformity in the content, product title, and format.³² In this section, the term
1050 “drug product” refers to the combination product (i.e., the MDI or DPI product) and is used for
1051 clarity because pertinent labeling regulations and requirements use the term “drug product.”
1052 These comments are directed mainly at labeling issues unique to prescription MDI and DPI
1053 products. Additional information regarding the labeling of drug products can be found in 21
1054 CFR part 201. See also FDA guidance for industry on *Naming of Drug Products Containing*
1055 *Salt Drug Substances*.³³

³² As a general matter, ANDAs are required to include information to show that the labeling proposed for the generic drug is the “same” as the RLD, with certain limited exceptions, such as for changes required because of differences approved under a suitability petition (see section 505(j)(2)(c) of the FD & C Act and 21 CFR 314.93), or because the generic drug and the RLD are produced or distributed by different manufacturers the (see section 505(j)(2)(A)(v) of the FD & C Act). Applicants intending to submit an ANDA covering an MDI or DPI may also refer to FDA’s draft guidance entitled *Comparative Analyses and Related Comparative Use Human Factors Studies for a Drug-Device Combination Product Submitted in an ANDA* for additional information. When final, this guidance will reflect FDA’s current thinking on this topic.

³³ In addition, see USP General Chapter <1121> *Nomenclature* for the *Monograph Naming Policy for Salt Drug Substances in Drug Products and Compounded Preparations*.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

1056
1057
1058
1059
1060
1061
1062
1063
1064
1065
1066
1067
1068
1069
1070
1071
1072
1073
1074
1075
1076
1077
1078
1079
1080
1081
1082
1083
1084
1085
1086
1087
1088
1089
1090
1091
1092
1093
1094
1095
1096
1097
1098
1099
1100

1. *MDIs*

The labeling of oral MDIs should state the established name of the product as *(Drug) Inhalation Aerosol* and provide the strength as the amount delivered per actuation under defined in vitro conditions. For nasal MDIs, the product labeling should state the established name of the product as *(Drug) Nasal Aerosol* and provide the strength as the amount delivered per actuation. The established name and strength should be followed by a phrase such as “For oral inhalation only” or “For nasal inhalation only,” as appropriate.

In addition to the information typically required under Title 21 for the description of the drug substance and formulation (21 CFR part 201), the product labeling should include the following information specific to MDI products:

a. DESCRIPTION Section of the Prescribing Information

- A description of the appearance of the actuator and cap.
- The specified TDD from the mouthpiece per actuation should be expressed:
 - For example: “Each actuation meters ‘x’ mcg of drug in ‘w’ mg of suspension (solution) from the valve and delivers ‘y’ mcg of drug, equivalent to ‘z’ mcg of drug substance (if applicable) from the actuator (i.e., mouthpiece or nasal adapter).”
 - The term “approximately” should not be used to modify the medication amount delivered. If special circumstances warrant additional statements regarding the metered amount, this should be discussed with the appropriate review division.
- A statement should be included that the amount of drug delivered to the lung will depend on patient coordination of device actuation with the inhalation maneuver, as well as on patient factors such as inspiratory flow and peak inspiratory flow (PIF) through the delivery system, which may vary for asthma, COPD, and other patient populations.
- A list of all excipients should be included. Substances should be identified by their established names.
- If the drug substance that exits the mouthpiece is a hydrate, solvate, or complex, this information should be clearly specified with proper strength conversion for the active moiety.
- The number of usable actuations per container.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

- 1101 • A statement should be included that the canister should be discarded when the
1102 labeled number of actuations has been used.
1103
- 1104 b. HOW SUPPLIED/STORAGE AND HANDLING Section of the
1105 Prescribing Information
1106
- 1107 • The net content (fill) weight of the container should be stated.
1108
- 1109 • The medication amount delivered (TDD) from the actuator.
1110
- 1111 • The number of actuations for each canister fill weight should be included.
1112 Qualifying terms such as “at least” and “approximately” should not be used.
1113
- 1114 • A description of the actuator and protective cap to be used with the container
1115 and valve, including the color and appearance, should be included.
1116
- 1117 • A statement should be provided that the canister should only be used with the
1118 accompanying actuator and that the actuator should not be used with any other
1119 inhalation drug product.
1120
- 1121 • A statement should be provided that the correct amount of medication in each
1122 inhalation cannot be ensured after the labeled number of actuations from the
1123 canister has been used, even though the canister may not be completely
1124 empty. Additionally, a statement should be included that the canister should
1125 be discarded when the labeled number of actuations has been used.
1126
- 1127 • Storage conditions should be clearly stated, including any warning statements
1128 regarding temperature and humidity.
1129
- 1130 • Any preferred storage orientation should be indicated.
1131
- 1132 • A statement should be included regarding the appropriate temperature of the
1133 MDI before use, as well as any requirements for shaking, if necessary. In
1134 addition, the impact of the cooling effect from multiple successive actuations
1135 on product performance should be described, if applicable.
1136
- 1137 • If protective secondary packaging (e.g., foil overwrap) is used, this should be
1138 clearly stated. In addition, appropriate statements should be included that the
1139 contents enclosed in the protective secondary packaging should not be used
1140 after a specified number of days (e.g., 2 weeks, 30 days) from the date the
1141 protective package was compromised (in-use period).
1142
- 1143 • Any warning statements required under 21 CFR 369.21 (e.g., storage above
1144 120°F may cause bursting, keep out of reach of children, do not puncture, do
1145 not use or store near heat or open flame, never throw container into fire or
1146 incinerator, do not spray into eyes).

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

- 1147
- 1148
- 1149
- 1150
- 1151
- 1152
- 1153
- 1154
- 1155
- 1156
- 1157
- 1158
- 1159
- 1160
- 1161
- 1162
- 1163
- 1164
- 1165
- 1166
- 1167
- 1168
- 1169
- 1170
- 1171
- 1172
- 1173
- 1174
- 1175
- 1176
- 1177
- 1178
- 1179
- 1180
- 1181
- 1182
- 1183
- 1184
- 1185
- 1186
- Information about shaking, priming, and repriming should be provided, and should be supported by data in the pharmaceutical development section of the application.
 - c. Instructions for Use³⁴.
 - Detailed, step-by-step, appropriately illustrated instructions for patient use should be included. FDA recommends that the following information be incorporated into the instructions:
 - A figure that displays the various elements of the MDI (e.g., actuator, cap, canister, sleeve, counter).
 - A statement should be included that the canister should only be used with the specified accompanying actuator and that the actuator should not be used with any other inhalation drug product.
 - A statement instructing the patient to confirm that the canister is fully seated in the actuator (i.e., mouthpiece or nasal adapter).
 - A statement instructing the patient to confirm the absence of foreign objects in the mouthpiece before using the MDI and after removing the protective mouthpiece cap.
 - Instructions for initial priming and repriming of the MDI units.
 - Instructions to provide assurance of coordination of device actuation with patient inhalation.
 - A statement cautioning against spraying the eyes with the formulation.
 - Storage conditions should be stated, including any warning statements regarding temperature and humidity. A statement should be included regarding the appropriate temperature of the MDI at the time of use, as well as any requirements for shaking, if necessary (i.e., for suspension products). Any preferred storage orientation should be noted.
 - If protective secondary packaging was used for the MDI product, appropriate statements should be included that the content of the protective secondary

³⁴ Instructions for Use: Typically these are developed as part of the Human Factors Engineering Design and Risk Mitigation analysis. For additional information, see: FDA guidance for industry and staff, *Applying Human Factors and Usability Engineering to Optimize Medical Device Design*, and FDA draft guidance for industry, *Human Factors Studies and Related Clinical Study Considerations in Combination Product Design and Development*. When final, this guidance will represent the FDA's current thinking on this topic.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

1187 packaging should not be used after a specified number of days (e.g., 2 weeks,
1188 30 days) from the date the protective package was opened (in-use period).

- 1189
- 1190 • Cleaning instructions should be included, if appropriate.
 - 1191
 - 1192 • A statement should be included that the canister should be discarded when the
 - 1193 labeled number of actuations has been used.³⁵ Also, a statement should be
 - 1194 included that the correct amount of medication in each inhalation cannot be
 - 1195 ensured after the labeled number of actuations even though the canister may
 - 1196 not be completely empty.
 - 1197
 - 1198 • Warning statements required under 21 CFR 369.21 (e.g., storage above 120°F
 - 1199 may cause bursting, keep out of reach of children, do not puncture, do not use
 - 1200 or store near heat or open flame, never throw container into fire or incinerator,
 - 1201 do not spray into eyes).
 - 1202

d. Container Labels and Carton Labeling

1203 In addition to the information typically required to be included on the container label and/or
1204 carton labeling under Title 21, the container label should include the following information
1205 specific to MDI products:
1206

- 1207
- 1208 • Amount of the drug delivered per actuation from the mouthpiece/nosepiece
 - 1209 and the valve.
 - 1210
 - 1211 • Number of usable actuations per container.
 - 1212
 - 1213 • Recommended storage conditions including any warning statements regarding
 - 1214 temperature and humidity.
 - 1215
 - 1216 • Use period once the MDI product is removed from protective packaging (if
 - 1217 applicable).
 - 1218
 - 1219 • The instruction “Shake well before using” for suspension formulations.
 - 1220
 - 1221 • A statement that the MDI product should only be used with the mouthpiece
 - 1222 provided (e.g., “For oral inhalation with (*Drug Product Name*) actuator
 - 1223 only”).
 - 1224
 - 1225 • Reference to the patient’s Instructions for Use and additional instructional
 - 1226 statements (e.g., instructions for initial priming and repriming the MDI unit,
 - 1227 inhalation instructions, instructions pertaining to protective caps, etc.).
 - 1228
 - 1229

³⁵ See FDA guidance for industry *Integration of Dose-Counting Mechanisms into MDI Drug Products*.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

- 1230
- 1231
- 1232
- 1233
- 1234
- Warning statements required under 21 CFR 369.21 (e.g., storage above 120°F may cause bursting, keep out of reach of children, do not puncture, do not use or store near heat or open flame, never throw container into fire or incinerator, do not spray into eyes).

1235 In the case of small labels, only some of the information listed above must be included on the
1236 label (21 CFR 201.10(i)). However, all labeling information required by the FD&C Act and the
1237 regulations in Title 21 of the Code of Federal Regulations must be included on the carton, outer
1238 container, wrapper, and leaflet as appropriate.

1239

1240 2. *DPIs*

1241

1242 The labeling of oral DPIs should state the established name of the product as *(Drug) Inhalation*
1243 *Powder* and provide the strength as the amount per metered dose unit. For nasal DPIs, the
1244 product labeling should state the established name of the product as *(Drug) Nasal Powder* and
1245 provide the strength as the metered dose. The established name and strength should be followed
1246 by a phrase such as “For oral inhalation only” or “For nasal inhalation only,” as appropriate.

1247

1248 In addition to the information typically required under Title 21 for the description of the drug
1249 substance and formulation (21 CFR part 201), the product labeling should include the following
1250 information specific to DPI products:

1251

1252 a. DESCRIPTION Section of the Prescribing Information

1253

- 1254
- A description of the appearance of the actuator and cap.
 - The metered amount of medication to be delivered to the patient should be expressed:
 - For example: “Each metered amount of ‘x’ mg of formulation contains ‘y’ mcg of drug equivalent to ‘w’ mcg of drug substance (if applicable) and ‘z’ mg of carrier excipient(s).”
 - If special circumstances require additional statements regarding the metered amount, this should be discussed with the appropriate review division.
 - Specified TDD from the mouthpiece under defined *in vitro* conditions should be stated:
 - For example: “The drug product delivers ‘y’ mcg of drug with an *in vitro* flow rate of 60 L/min for a collection time of 2 seconds (2 L total volume).”
 - A statement should be included that the amount of drug delivered to the lungs will depend on patient factors, such as inspiratory flow and PIF through the
- 1275

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

- 1276 delivery system, which may vary for asthma, COPD, and other patient
1277 populations.
1278
- 1279 • A list of all excipients should be included. Substances should be identified by
1280 their established names.
1281
 - 1282 • If the drug substance that exits the mouthpiece is a hydrate, solvate, or
1283 complex, this information should be clearly specified with proper strength
1284 conversion for the active moiety.
1285
 - 1286 • For DPIs that contain lactose, a statement should be included that the
1287 formulation may contain residual amounts of milk-related proteins.
1288
 - 1289 • The number of usable actuations per container if appropriate.
1290
- 1291 b. HOW SUPPLIED Section of the Prescribing Information
1292
- 1293 • The net weight of the container contents should be stated for device-metered
1294 DPIs.
1295
 - 1296 • The number of medication actuations expected throughout the shelf life of the
1297 drug product should be indicated. Qualifying terms such as “at least” and
1298 “approximately” should not be used.
1299
 - 1300 • Protective secondary packaging (e.g., foil overwrap) should be described. In
1301 addition, appropriate statements should be included that the content of the
1302 secondary protective packaging should not be used after a specified number of
1303 days (e.g., 2 weeks, 30 days) from the date the protective package was
1304 compromised (in-use period).
1305
 - 1306 • Storage conditions should be stated, including any warning statements
1307 regarding temperature, humidity, and light.
1308
 - 1309 • A brief description of the appearance and color of the body, cap, and other
1310 markers of the device constituent part should be provided, particularly for ease
1311 of identification of different strengths of drugs delivered by the same device
1312 constituent part.
1313
 - 1314 • A statement should be included that the DPI unit should be discarded when
1315 the labeled number of actuations has been used, if appropriate.
1316

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

- 1317 c. Instructions for Use³⁶
1318
1319 • Detailed, step-by-step, appropriately illustrated instructions for patient use
1320 should be included. Important elements of the DPI (e.g., body, cap, other
1321 instructive markings such as arrows depicting direction or alignment, etc.)
1322 should be clearly identified with illustrations.
1323
1324 • Storage conditions should be stated, including any warning statements
1325 regarding temperature, humidity, and light.
1326
1327 • If secondary protective packaging (e.g., foil overwrap) is used for the DPI
1328 product, device constituent part, or unit dose container, this should be stated.
1329 Appropriate statements should be included that the content of the secondary
1330 protective packaging (e.g., device-metered DPIs, pre-metered DPIs) should
1331 not be used after a specified number of days (e.g., 2 weeks, 30 days) from the
1332 date the secondary protective packaging was opened (in-use period).
1333
1334 • For device-metered DPIs without a locking mechanism, a statement should be
1335 included stating that the correct amount of medication in each inhalation
1336 cannot be ensured after the labeled number of doses, even though the device-
1337 metered DPI may not be completely empty. A statement recommending that
1338 the device-metered DPI be discarded after the labeled number of doses has
1339 been delivered should be included as well.
1340
1341 • Cleaning instructions should be included, if appropriate.
1342
1343 d. Container Labels and Carton Labeling
1344

1345 In addition to the information typically required to be included on the container label and/or
1346 carton labeling under Title 21, the container label should include the following information
1347 specific to DPI products:
1348

- 1349 • Amount of the drug per metered unit.
1350
1351 • Number of usable actuations per container or device-metered DPI or for the
1352 device constituent part (if re-used), as appropriate.
1353
1354 • Recommended storage conditions including any warning statements regarding
1355 temperature, humidity, or light.
1356
1357 • Use period once the DPI product is removed from protective packaging, if
1358 applicable.
1359

³⁶ Ibid

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

- 1360 • A statement that the DPI product should only be used with the device
1361 constituent part provided, where applicable (e.g., “For oral inhalation with
1362 *(Drug Product Name)* actuator only”).
- 1363
- 1364 • Any special dispensing instructions for the pharmacist and additional
1365 statements for the physician, if applicable.
- 1366
- 1367 • Reference to the patient’s Instructions for Use and additional instructional
1368 statements (e.g., loading instructions for pre-metered DPIs, inhalation
1369 instructions, instructions pertaining to protective caps, etc.).
- 1370

1371 In the case of small labels, only some of the information listed above must be included on the
1372 label (21 CFR 201.10(i)). However, all labeling information required by the FD&C Act and the
1373 regulations in Title 21 of the Code of Federal Regulations must be included on the carton, outer
1374 container, wrapper, and leaflet as appropriate.

1375

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

1376 **V. APPENDIX**

1377

1378 **A. Tables**

1379

1380 **Table A. General Relationship Between QTPP Elements and CQAs for MDIs**

1381

CQA	QTPP Elements			
	Strength (Emitted Dose)	Purity	Aerodynamic Performance	Stability
Assay	X		X	X
Purity Profile		X		X
Delivered Dose	X	X	X	X
Aerodynamic Particle Size Distribution (APSD)			X	X
Spray Pattern/Plume Geometry				X
Leachables		X		X
Amount of Excipients/Formulation			X	X
Foreign Particulate Matter		X		X
Moisture Content			X	X
Net Contents	X		X	X
Device Constituent Part (dimensions, etc.)			X	X

1382

1383 **Table B. General Relationship Between QTPP Elements and CQAs for DPIs**

1384

CQA	QTPP Elements			
	Strength (Metered Dose)	Purity	Aerodynamic Performance	Stability
Assay	X		X	X
Purity Profile		X		X
Delivered Dose	X	X	X	X
Aerodynamic Particle Size Distribution (APSD)			X	X
Spray Pattern/Plume Geometry				X
Volatile/Semi-volatile Leachables		X		X
Amount of Excipients/Formulation			X	X

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

CQA	QTPP Elements			
	Strength (Metered Dose)	Purity	Aerodynamic Performance	Stability
Foreign Particulate Matter		X		X
Moisture Content			X	X
Net Contents	X		X	X
Device Constituent Part (dimensions, etc.)			X	X

1385
1386
1387
1388

Table C. Typical MDI and DPI Product Specifications, CQAs and Stability Attributes.

Attribute	MDI	CQA for MDIs	DPI	CQAs for DPIs	Stability
Description	X		X		X
Identification	X		X		X
Assay	X	X	X	X	X
Impurities and Degradation Products	X	X	X	X	X
Valve Delivery (Shot Weight)	X				X
Delivered Dose Uniformity (DDU)	X	X	X	X	X
Aerodynamic Particle Size Distribution (APSD)	X	X	X	X	X
Spray Pattern	X	X			X
Particulate Matter	X	X	X		X
Microbial Limits	X	X	X	X	X
Leachables (Stability)	X	X			X
Water or Moisture Content	X	X	X	X	X
Alcohol Content*	X	X			X
Net Content (Fill) Weight	X	X	X	X	X

1389 * When present

1390
1391
1392
1393
1394
1395
1396
1397
1398
1399
1400
1401
1402

B. MDI and DPI Product Characterization Studies (P2)

As stated in section IV.C. (Pharmaceutical Development (P2)), summary data from various MDI or DPI product characterization studies should be provided in the application. Table 2. Characterization Studies lists the recommended studies. Some detail for each of these studies is provided below in section B.2. Unless otherwise indicated, the studies should be conducted on the to-be-marketed configurations and versions of MDI and DPI products. A minimum of three batches using the formulation and device constituent part of the to-be-marketed configuration and version should be studied to support the reliability of the manufacturing processes and the reproducibility of product performance.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

1403 1. *General Considerations for Significant Change*

1404

1405 For any of the characterization studies described in this section that involve stability testing,
1406 significant change should be considered:

1407

1408 • In general, failure to meet the acceptance criteria for any attribute normally tested
1409 during stability studies.

1410

1411 • For assay, a change from the initial value of five percent or more.

1412

1413 • For DDU, a change in the mass of the mean dose of 10 percent or more (determined
1414 separately on samples taken from the beginning and end of product life), or a failure
1415 to meet the acceptance criteria for first tier testing.

1416

1417 • For APSD, a change in the total mass of fine particles (e.g., particles less than five
1418 micrometers) more than 10 percent.

1419

1420 • For the description, changes such as: discoloration or other changes in the
1421 appearance of the contents, distortion of valve components, valve clogging or
1422 malfunction, canister corrosion, and adherence of the drug to the walls of the
1423 container or valve components.

1424

1425 2. *Recommendations for Specific Characterization Studies*

1426

1427 a. *In-Use Period*

1428

1429 The purpose of these studies is to demonstrate, for MDI or DPI products marketed in protective
1430 secondary packaging, that the product will perform in accordance with its specification for the
1431 entire length of the proposed in-use period after the protective secondary packaging is opened.

1432

1433 Study Design: Conduct stability studies under intermediate conditions (e.g., 30°C/65 percent
1434 RH) on samples of the MDI or DPI product with the protective secondary packaging opened.
1435 Measure appropriate parameters (e.g., DDU, APSD, water content). Include samples of product
1436 at the beginning and near the end of its proposed shelf life. It is recommended that the study
1437 duration period be twice the proposed in-use period.

1438

1439 b. *Temperature Cycling*

1440

1441 The purpose of these studies is to demonstrate that fluctuating changes in temperature and
1442 humidity (such as those encountered during shipping and handling) will not have an adverse
1443 effect on the quality and performance of the MDI or DPI product. This information, in
1444 conjunction with stability data, should support the proposed storage conditions in the product
1445 labeling.

1446

1447 Study Design: Conduct cycling studies for 3-4 weeks using two different storage conditions, one
1448 subzero (–10 to –20°C) and the other above room temperature (40°C). Cycle between these

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

1449 conditions every 12 hours. (Alternative conditions and durations can be used, if they can be
1450 justified.) Compare test results to results from control samples (stored under the proposed long-
1451 term storage conditions as opposed to the temperature cycling conditions) tested at the same
1452 intervals.

1453

1454 c. Priming and Repriming

1455

1456 The purpose of these studies is to support the instructions in the product labeling for priming
1457 (how many times a patient should actuate a unit before initial use) and repriming (how many
1458 times a patient should actuate a unit before using it again after defined periods of rest).

1459

1460 Study Design: Measure the delivered amount of drug substance from consecutive actuations of
1461 individual units after defined resting intervals (e.g., 0, 6, 12, 24, 48 hours, 3 days). After each
1462 resting interval, repeat actuations until the delivered amount of drug substance per actuation
1463 consistently meets the acceptance criteria for DDU. Test units at the beginning and near the end
1464 of the proposed shelf life. If resting orientation affects the results, test units in various resting
1465 orientations (upright and inverted, or upright and horizontal). Testing can be performed
1466 concurrently on separate samples with progressively longer resting periods.

1467

1468 d. Effect of Patient Use

1469

1470 The purpose of these studies is to confirm that the MDI or DPI product functions properly after
1471 repeated patient uses of the product.

1472

1473 Study Design: Collect a number (e.g., 50-100) of partially used product units (including units
1474 near the labeled number of actuations) from clinical studies and measure appropriate parameters
1475 (e.g., DDU and APSD) and dose counter function. Also collect and investigate any MDI or DPI
1476 products that were reported as malfunctioning.

1477

1478 e. Effect of Storage and Shaking (suspension formulated MDIs only)

1479

1480 The purpose of these studies is to confirm that shaking instructions in the product labeling for
1481 suspension formulated MDIs are adequate to assure satisfactory dose delivery performance.

1482

1483 Study Design: Measure appropriate parameters (e.g., DDU and APSD) on the MDI product
1484 stored for increasing periods of time subsequent to shaking. From the results, determine the
1485 maximum allowable use time after shaking. Include the effects of shaking duration and storage
1486 orientation if these factors affect the results.

1487

1488 f. Effect of Orientation of the DPI Product on Delivered Dose

1489

1490 The purpose of these studies is to support any statements made in the product labeling about the
1491 DPI product orientation during use.

1492

1493 Study Design: Measure appropriate parameters (e.g., DDU and APSD) for DPI products
1494 actuated while oriented at various angles.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

1495
1496
1497
1498
1499
1500
1501
1502
1503
1504
1505
1506
1507
1508
1509
1510
1511
1512
1513
1514
1515
1516
1517
1518
1519
1520
1521
1522
1523
1524
1525
1526
1527
1528
1529
1530
1531
1532
1533
1534
1535
1536
1537

g. Drug Deposition on Mouthpiece and/or Accessories

The purpose of these studies is to determine the amount of drug deposited within the device constituent part during use, which can relate to cleaning requirements.

Study Design: Measure the mean amount of drug deposited per actuation on the mouthpiece or other device constituent part components (e.g., spacers or valved holding chambers).

h. Cleaning Instructions

The purpose of these studies is to confirm that any cleaning instructions (method and frequency) included in the product labeling for the device constituent part components (e.g., actuator or mouthpiece) will assure that the product maintains its ability to deliver the labeled dose of drug upon use.

Study Design: Measure appropriate parameters (e.g., DDU and APSD) for product actuated according to a schedule that simulates patient use, including cleaning (if required). Include units both at the beginning and near the end of shelf life.

i. Profiling of Actuators Near Device Exhaustion

The purpose of these studies is to confirm that the product delivers the labeled number of doses, and to characterize delivery performance if the product is used beyond the labeled number of actuations (for MDIs and device-metered DPIs that do not lock after the labeled number of actuations).

Study Design: Measure appropriate parameters (e.g., DDU and APSD) for product units that have already delivered the number of doses listed on the product labeling until no more drug is delivered upon actuation. Include units at both the beginning and end of shelf life. Include a graphical presentation of the findings as part of the study results.

j. Effect of Varying Flow Rate on DPI Performance

The purpose of these studies is to characterize the sensitivity of the delivery performance of DPIs to variation in inspirational flow rates that can be achieved by the patient population that is to use the product. This information is used to confirm the chosen design of the device constituent part.

Study Design: Using a flow rate range and volume consistent with the intended patient population, measure appropriate parameters (e.g., DDU and APSD) as a function of flow rate at the recommended constant volumes.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

1538 k. Effect of Flow Rate and Inhalation Delay on MDIs with Spacers

1539
1540 The purpose of these studies is to characterize the sensitivity of the delivery performance to
1541 variation in inspirational flow rates and inhalation delay on MDI products used with a spacer or
1542 holding chamber.

1543
1544 Study Design: Using a flow rate range and volume consistent with the intended patient
1545 population, measure appropriate parameters (e.g., DDU and APSD) as a function of flow rate at
1546 the recommended constant volumes. Also assess the effect of increasing waiting periods (e.g., 0,
1547 5, 10 seconds) between actuation and initiation of in-flow. For breath-activated MDI products,
1548 determine the ranges of flow rates that generate actuations containing the label claim amount of
1549 delivered dose and the corresponding acceptable APSD.

1550
1551 l. Robustness

1552
1553 The purpose of these studies is to confirm that the MDI or DPI product is of sufficiently robust
1554 design to withstand shipping conditions and typical patient usage.

1555
1556 Study Design: Subject a number of units to actions (e.g., dropping, agitation, shipping) that will
1557 simulate conditions the product could be exposed to after it is released, including during patient
1558 use. Determine the effect of these actions on MDI or DPI product performance by measuring
1559 DDU, APSD, and dose counter function.

1560
1561 **C. Approaches to Evaluating Delivered Dose Uniformity (DDU)**

1562
1563 1. *Parametric Tolerance Interval Testing (PTIT)*

1564
1565 FDA recommends that applicants establish test parameters (e.g., sample size, tolerance interval
1566 factor (k factor)) and acceptance criteria that will ensure, to a confidence level of 95 percent, that
1567 at least 90 percent of the units in a batch (i.e., the coverage) will meet the established upper and
1568 lower limits (i.e., 80-120 percent of TDD).

1569
1570 FDA recommends the use of a two one-sided tolerance interval (TOSTI) test and a two-tiered
1571 approach to setting acceptance criteria:

1572
1573 First tier acceptance criteria:

1574
1575 • For pre-metered DPIs, measure the amount of drug substance discharged from the
1576 mouthpiece as a percentage of TDD (X) from n units and calculate the mean (\bar{X}) and
1577 standard deviation(s). For MDIs and device-metered DPIs, measure the initial dose
1578 and the last of the labeled doses for each of the n units for a total of $2*n$
1579 measurements. The batch passes if:

1580

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

$$\bar{X} + (k_1 \cdot s) \leq 120$$

and

$$\bar{X} - (k_1 \cdot s) \geq 80$$

where k_1 is the one-sided tolerance interval factor, which depends on the sample size (n for pre-metered DPIs and $2 \cdot n$ for MDIs and device-metered DPIs), coverage, and confidence level.

1581 Second tier acceptance criteria:

1582

- 1583 • If the batch does not pass tier one testing, measure the amount of drug substance
1584 discharged from the mouthpiece as a percentage of TDD (X) from an additional m
1585 units for pre-metered DPIs (or the initial dose and the last of the labeled doses for
1586 each of the additional m units for MDIs and device-metered DPIs), combine the
1587 results with those from the first tier, and calculate the mean (\bar{X}) and standard
1588 deviation(s). The batch passes if:

$$\bar{X} + (k_1 \cdot s) \leq 120$$

and

$$\bar{X} - (k_1 \cdot s) \geq 80$$

where k_1 is the one-sided tolerance interval factor, which depends on the sample size ($n+m$ for pre-metered DPIs and $2 \cdot (n+m)$ for MDIs and device-metered DPIs), coverage, and confidence level.

1589 Table D below shows k_1 factors specific to a confidence level of 95 percent and 90 percent
1590 coverage for a range of sample sizes.

1591

1592 **Table D. One-sided Tolerance Interval Factors (k_1) for 95**
1593 **Percent Confidence Level and 90 Percent Coverage³⁷**

1594

Sample Size	k_1 Factor
10	2.911
15	2.566
20	2.396
25	2.292
30	2.220
35	2.167
40	2.125
50	2.065
60	2.022
90	1.940
120	1.899
240	1.819
480	1.766

1595

1596 FDA recommends that applicants adopt a 1:3 ratio for the change in sample size from tier 1 to
1597 tier 2 testing (i.e., if $n = 10$, then $n+m = 30$). Furthermore, FDA recommends that the tier 1
1598 sample size should not be smaller than ten units. Applicants can set sample sizes much larger
1599 than $n = 10$ and $n+m = 30$, but should consider the cost/benefit ratio before setting sample sizes

³⁷ For example, see Hahn and Meeker, *Statistical Intervals – A Guide for Practitioners*, John Wiley and Sons, Inc. 1991.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

1600 that are very large. FDA believes that tier 2 sample sizes larger than about 90 (i.e., $n+m = 90$)
1601 will provide very little additional benefit.

1602

1603 2. *Counting Test*

1604

1605 The application of a counting test for evaluating DDU was in use before other approaches such
1606 as PTIT were developed. If an applicant chooses to evaluate DDU using a counting test, the
1607 Agency recommends a two-tiered approach to acceptance criteria, as described below:

1608

1609 First tier acceptance criteria:

1610

1611 • For pre-metered DPIs, the amount of drug substance measured by the test is not
1612 outside 80-120 percent of TDD for more than 1 determination (out of 10). For MDIs
1613 and device-metered DPIs, for each of 10 units, the initial dose and the last of the
1614 labeled doses are measured. The amount of drug substance is not outside 80-120
1615 percent of TDD for more than 2 determinations (out of 20).

1616

1617 • The amount of drug substance measured by the test is not outside 75-125 percent of
1618 TDD for any determination.

1619

1620 • For pre-metered DPIs, the mean is not outside 85-115 percent of TDD.

1621

1622 • For MDIs and device-metered DPIs, the mean of separate determinations made for
1623 the initial dose from each unit and the mean of separate determinations made for the
1624 last of the labeled number of doses for each unit are not outside 85-115 percent of
1625 TDD.

1626

1627 If the above acceptance criteria are met, the batch passes the test for DDU.

1628

1629 If the amount of drug substance is outside 80-120 percent of TDD in more determinations than
1630 are permitted by the first criterion, testing can be performed on 20 additional units to determine
1631 if the batch meets second tier acceptance criteria, provided that the other two criteria described
1632 above are met.

1633

1634 Second tier acceptance criteria:

1635

1636 • The amount of drug substance measured by the test is not outside 80-120 percent of
1637 TDD for more than 3 of 30 determinations for pre-metered DPIs or for more than 6 of
1638 60 determinations for MDIs and device-metered DPIs (30 for the initial dose from
1639 each unit and 30 for the last of the labeled number of doses for each unit).

1640

1641 • The amount of drug substance measured by the test is not outside 75-125 percent of
1642 TDD for any determination.

1643

1644 • For pre-metered DPIs, the mean is not outside 85-115 percent of TDD.

1645

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

1646
1647
1648
1649

- For MDIs and device-metered DPIs, the mean of separate determinations made for the initial dose from each unit and the mean of separate determinations made for the last of the labeled number of doses for each unit are not outside 85-115 percent of TDD.