## CENTER FOR DRUG EVALUATION AND RESEARCH

Acceptability of Standards from Alternative Compendia (BP/EP/JP)

## **CONTENTS**

PURPOSE BACKGROUND DEFINITION POLICY RESPONSIBILITIES AND PROCEDURES EFFECTIVE DATE

## PURPOSE

- This MAPP provides clarification to Office of New Drug Quality Assessment (ONDQA) reviewers on the appropriate use of quality standards for excipients, drug substances, and drug products found in alternative compendia, specifically the British Pharmacopoeia (BP), the European Pharmacopoeia (EP), and the Japanese Pharmacopoeia (JP), during chemistry, manufacturing, and controls (CMC) review of new drug applications (i.e., investigational new drug applications (INDs) and new drug applications (NDAs)).
- This MAPP is not intended to establish the BP, EP, and/or JP as official compendia in place of or in addition to the *United States Pharmacopeia/National Formulary* (USP/NF).
- This MAPP is not intended to preclude any current efforts to establish a process for evaluation and regulatory acceptance of harmonized analytical procedures and/or acceptance criteria.

### BACKGROUND

• It is not uncommon for drug sponsors and applicants to propose specifications (i.e., attributes, analytical procedures, and acceptance criteria) for the excipients, drug substances, and drug products in their applications based on quality standards in the British Pharmacopoeia (BP), the European Pharmacopoeia (EP), or the Japanese Pharmacopoeia (JP). However, because the *United States Pharmacopeia/National Formulary* (USP/NF) is a drug compendium officially recognized in the United States, reviewers have been reluctant to accept BP, EP, or JP quality standards as part of the drug application review process, even when the standards in the BP, EP, or JP are equivalent to or better than the corresponding USP/NF standards. In the past, ONDQA reviewers gave varying advice to the pharmaceutical industry about the use of these standards in applications; others have said that the standards in the BP/EP/JP monographs could be used, but the USP/NF monographs would be considered the official standards.

### CENTER FOR DRUG EVALUATION AND RESEARCH

## DEFINITIONS

- **Official Compendium:** The Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (the Act) uses this term to mean the official USP, the official NF, or the official Homeopathic Pharmacopeia of the United States or any supplement to them.
- **Pharmacopeia:** A book containing the official standards for drug quality, published by the authority of a government or a medical or pharmaceutical society. The most referenced pharmacopeias are the British Pharmacopoeia (BP), the European Pharmacopoeia (EP), the Japanese Pharmacopoeia (JP), and the United States Pharmacopeia (USP).
- **British Pharmacopoeia (BP):** The official standards for medicinal and pharmaceutical substances in the United Kingdom. The standards are legally enforceable in the United Kingdom and in most of the Commonwealth (http://www.pharmacopoeia.co.uk/).
- **European Pharmacopoeia (EP):** The official standards for medicines in Europe, including bulk drug substances, chemical and biological analytical methods, and reagents. It is maintained and distributed by the European Directorate for the Quality of Medicines (http://www.pheur.org).
- Japanese Pharmacopoeia (JP): The official Japanese standards for the description and quality of drug substances and products. It is maintained and distributed by the Society of Japanese Pharmacopoeia (http://www.sjp.jp/index\_e.htm), a nonprofit organization authorized by the Japanese Ministry of Health, Labour, and Welfare.
- United States Pharmacopeia/National Formulary (USP/NF): The official compendia of the United States of America for excipients, drug substances, and drug products. It is published every year by the United States Pharmacopeial Convention (<u>http://www.usp.org/</u>).

## POLICY

- It is reasonable to accept an applicant's proposal to use a quality standard from the BP, EP, or JP as part of the specifications for an excipient, drug substance, or drug product in the drug application, if the standard in the BP, EP, or JP is equivalent to or better than the corresponding standard in the USP/NF. Equivalent standards have the same acceptance criteria and make use of analytical procedures based on similar principles (e.g., chromatographic, spectroscopic, titration) and performance characteristics (e.g., specificity, accuracy, precision). A standard can be considered better than a corresponding standard for a number of reasons, including narrower ranges for acceptance criteria or superior performance of the analytical procedure (e.g, improved specificity, greater accuracy).
- If there is no USP/NF monograph for an excipient, drug substance, or drug product, and the applicant proposes to use an analytical procedure from the BP, EP, or JP in a specification in lieu of the corresponding analytical procedure in the General Chapters of the USP/NF, the BP, EP, or JP procedure is considered an alternative analytical procedure and may be used provided the analytical procedure in the BP, EP, or JP is equivalent to or better than the corresponding analytical procedure in the USP/NF.
- The USP/NF monographs are the official standards when excipients, drug substances, or drug products are tested for compliance with the USP/NF monograph.

#### MANUAL OF POLICIES AND PROCEDURES

#### CENTER FOR DRUG EVALUATION AND RESEARCH

• This MAPP applies to the CMC evaluation of new drug applications performed by the CDER/OPS/Office of New Drug Quality Assessment.

## **RESPONSIBILITIES AND PROCEDURES**

- It is the responsibility of the applicant to justify the use of a standard from the BP, EP, or JP in lieu of the USP/NF standard in the application. The applicant should also provide a copy of the referenced BP, EP, or JP monograph or analytical procedure and a statement acknowledging the corresponding USP/NF monograph as the official standard or the corresponding analytical procedure as the regulatory analytical procedure.
- The ONDQA CMC reviewer should assess the proposed specification to determine whether it can be considered to be equivalent to or better than the corresponding USP/NF standard.
- The respective ONDQA Branch Chief and Division Director are responsible for the appropriate application of this MAPP.

# EFFECTIVE DATE

This MAPP is effective upon publication.