Guidance for Industry

Analytical Procedures and Methods Validation

Chemistry, Manufacturing, and Controls Documentation

DRAFT GUIDANCE

This guidance document is being distributed for comment purposes only.

Comments and suggestions regarding this draft document should be submitted within 90 days of publication in the *Federal Register* of the notice announcing the availability of the draft guidance. Submit comments to Dockets Management Branch (HFA-305), Food and Drug Administration, 5630 Fishers Lane, rm. 1061, Rockville, MD 20852. All comments should be identified with the docket number listed in the notice of availability that publishes in the *Federal Register*.

For questions on the contents of this draft document contact (CDER) Radhika Rajagopalan, 301-827-5849 or (CBER) Alfred Del Grosso, 301-435-4988.

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Food and Drug Administration Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER) Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER)

> August 2000 CMC #

Guidance for Industry

Analytical Procedures and Methods Validation

Chemistry, Manufacturing, and Controls Documentation

Additional copies are available from:

Office of Training and Communications Division of Communications Management Drug Information Branch, HFD-210 Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER) 5600 Fishers Lane Rockville, Maryland 20857 (Tel) 301-827-4573 (Internet) http://www.fda.gov/cder/guidance/index.htm

or

Office of Communications Training and Manufacturers Assistance, HFM-40 Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER) 1401 Rockville Pike Rockville, Maryland 20852-1448 (Fax) 888-CBERFAX or 301-827-3844 (Voice Information) 800-835-4709 or 301-827-1800 (Internet) http://www.fda.gov/cber/guidelines.htm

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Food and Drug Administration Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER) Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER)

> August 2000 CMC #

Table of Contents

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	BACKGROUND	2
Ш.	TYPES OF ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES	3
A B		
C D		
IV.	REFERENCE STANDARDS	4
Α	• TYPES OF STANDARDS	4
B	•	
С	. CHARACTERIZATION OF A REFERENCE STANDARD	4
V.	METHODS VALIDATION FOR INDS	6
VI.	CONTENT AND FORMAT OF ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES FOR NDAS, ANDAS, BLAS, AND PL	\S 6
Α	PRINCIPLE	6
В		
C		
D		
E		
F.		
G		
H		
L J.		
J		
VII.	METHODS VALIDATION FOR NDAS, ANDAS, BLAS, AND PLAS	9
Α	NONCOMPENDIAL ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES	9
	1. Validation Characteristics	9
	2. Other Methods Validation Information	
	a. Robustness	
	b. Stress Studies	
	c. Instrument Output/Raw Data	
_	3. Recommended Validation Characteristics for Types of Tests	
В	. Compendial Analytical Procedures	15
VIII.	STATISTICAL ANALYSIS	15
Α	. General	15
B	. Comparative Studies	16
С	. Statistics	16
IX.	REVALIDATION	16
X.	METHODS VALIDATION PACKAGE: CONTENTS AND PROCESSING	17
Α	. Methods Validation Package	17
В	. SELECTION AND SHIPMENT OF SAMPLES	18
С	. Responsibilities of the Various Parties	19

XI.	METHODOLOGY	20
Α	. HIGH-PRESSURE LIQUID CHROMATOGRAPHY (HPLC)	
B	. GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY (GC)	
С		THODOLOGIES 23
D		
E	OPTICAL ROTATION	
F.		
G		
Н		
ATT	ACHMENT ANDA, ANDA, BLA, AND PLA SUBMISSION CONTENTS	
ATT	ACHMENT BMETHODS VALIDATION PROBLEMS AND DELAY	
REF	ERENCES	
GLC	DSSARY	

Guidance for Industry¹

Analytical Procedures and Methods Validation

This draft guidance, when finalized, will represent the Food and Drug Administration-s current thinking on this topic. It does not create or confer any rights for or on any person and does not operate to bind FDA or the public. An alternative approach may be used if such approach satisfies the requirements of the applicable statutes, regulations, or both.

If you plan to submit comments on this draft guidance, to expedite FDA review of your comments, please:

i	Clearly explain each issue/concern and, when appropriate, include a proposed revision and
	the rationale and/or justification for the proposed change.
i	Identify specific comments by line numbers; use the pdf version of the document whenever possible.
i	If possible, e-mail an electronic copy (Word or WordPerfect) of the comments you have submitted to the docket to cunninghamp@cder.fda.gov.

I. INTRODUCTION

22 23

21

1 2

3 4 5

6

7

8

This guidance provides recommendations to applicants on submitting analytical procedures.² validation 24 25 data, and samples to support the documentation of the identity, strength, quality, purity, and potency of drug substances and drug products.³ This guidance is intended to assist applicants in assembling 26 information, submitting samples, and presenting data to support analytical methodologies. The 27 28 recommendations apply to drug substances and drug products covered in new drug applications 29 (NDAs), abbreviated new drug applications (ANDAs), biologics license applications (BLAs), product license applications (PLAs), and supplements to these applications.⁴ The principles also apply to drug 30 31 substances and drug products covered in Type II drug master files (DMFs). If a different approach is

³ The terms *drug substance* and *drug product*, as used in this guidance, refer to human drugs and biologics.

¹ This guidance has been prepared by the Analytical Methods Technical Committee of the Chemistry, Manufacturing, and Controls Coordinating Committee (CMC CC) in the Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER) and the Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER) at the Food and Drug Administration (FDA).

² Analytical procedure is interchangeable with method or test procedure.

⁴ Sponsors preparing investigational new drug applications (INDs) should also consider the recommendations in this guidance. However, the amount and depth of the information that should be submitted to support an IND depends in large part on the phase of the investigation and the specific testing proposed in humans (see section V).

32 chosen, the applicant is encouraged to discuss the matter in advance with the center with product

- 33 jurisdiction to prevent the expenditure of resources on preparing a submission that may later be
- 34 determined to be unacceptable.
- 35

36 The principles of methods validation described in this guidance apply to all types of analytical 37 procedures. However, the specific recommendations in this guidance may not be applicable to certain 38 unique analytical procedures for products such as biological, biotechnological, botanical, or 39 radiopharmaceutical drugs. For example, many bioassays are based on animal challenge models, 40 immunogenicity assessments, or other immunoassays that have unique features that should be 41 considered when submitting analytical procedure and methods validation information. Furthermore, 42 specific recommendations for biological and immunochemical tests that may be necessary for 43 characterization and quality control of many drug substances and drug products are beyond the scope 44 of this guidance document. Although this guidance does not specifically address the submission of 45 analytical procedures and validation data for raw materials, intermediates, excipients, container closure 46 components, and other materials used in the production of drug substances and drug products, 47 validated analytical procedures should be used to analyze these materials. For questions on 48 appropriate validation approaches for analytical procedures or submission of information not 49 addressed in this guidance, applicants should consult with the appropriate chemistry review staff at 50 FDA. 51 52 This guidance, when finalized, will replace the FDA guidance for industry on Submitting Samples and 53 Analytical Data for Methods Validation (February 1987). 54 55 56 II. BACKGROUND 57 58 Each NDA and ANDA must include the analytical procedures necessary to ensure the identity, 59 strength, quality, purity, and potency of the drug substance and drug product, including bioavailability 60 of the drug product (21 CFR 314.50(d)(1) and 314.94(a)(9)(i)). Data must be available to establish 61 that the analytical procedures used in testing meet proper standards of accuracy and reliability (21 62 CFR 211.165(e) and 211.194(a)(2)).

63

64 Methods validation is the process of demonstrating that analytical procedures are suitable for their 65 intended use. The methods validation process for analytical procedures begins with the planned and 66 systematic collection by the applicant of the validation data to support the analytical procedures. The review chemist evaluates the analytical procedures and validation data submitted in the NDA or 67 68 ANDA. On request from FDA, an NDA or ANDA applicant must submit samples of drug product, 69 drug substance, noncompendial reference standards, and blanks so that the applicant=s drug substance 70 and drug product analytical procedures can be evaluated by FDA laboratories (21 CFR 314.50(e) 71 and 314.94(a)(10)). The FDA laboratory analysis demonstrates that the analytical procedures are 72 reproducible by laboratory testing. The review chemists and laboratory analysts determine the 73 suitability of the analytical procedures for regulatory purposes. FDA investigators inspect the 74 analytical laboratory testing sites to ensure that the analytical procedures used for release and stability

- testing comply with current good manufacturing practices (CGMPs) (21 CFR part 211) or good
- 76 laboratory practices (GLPs) (21 CFR part 58), as appropriate.
- 77
- 78 Each BLA and PLA must include a full description of the manufacturing methods, including analytical
- 79 procedures, that demonstrate that the manufactured product meets prescribed standards of safety,
- 80 purity, and potency (21 CFR 601.2(a) and 601.2(c)(1)(iv)). Data must be available to establish that
- 81 the analytical procedures used in testing meet proper standards of accuracy and reliability (21 CFR
- 82 211.194(a)(2)). For BLAs, PLAs, and their supplements, the analytical procedures and their
- validation are submitted as part of the license application or supplement and are evaluated by the
- 84 review committee. Representative samples of the product must be submitted and summaries of results
- 85 of tests performed on the lots represented by the submitted sample must be provided (21 CFR (21 CFR)
- 86 601.2(a) and 601.2(c)(1)(vi)). The review committee chair may request analytical testing by CBER
- 87 laboratory analysts to evaluate the applicant=s analytical procedures and verify the test results.
- 88
- 89 All analytical procedures are of equal importance from a validation perspective. In general, validated
 - analytical procedures should be used, irrespective of whether they are for in-process, release,
- acceptance, or stability testing. Each quantitative analytical procedure should be designed to minimizeassay variation.
- 93

94 Analytical procedures and validation data are submitted in the sections of the application on analytical

- 95 procedures and controls. Recommendations on information to be submitted are included in sections
- 96 III through IX and XI of this guidance. Information on submission of the *methods validation* 97 *package* to the NDA or ANDA and samples to the FDA laboratories is provided in section X.
- *package* to the NDA or ANDA and samples to the FDA laboratories is provided in section X.
- 90 99

100

III. TYPES OF ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES

101 102

103 104

105

106

107

108

A. Regulatory Analytical Procedure

A regulatory analytical procedure is the analytical procedure used to evaluate a defined characteristic of the drug substance or drug product. The analytical procedures in the *U.S. Pharmacopeia/National Formulary* (USP/NF) are those legally recognized under section 501(b) of the Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (the Act) as the regulatory analytical procedures for compendial items. For purposes of determining compliance with the Act, the regulatory analytical procedure is used.

109 110 111

112

B. Alternative Analytical Procedure

An *alternative analytical procedure* is an analytical procedure proposed by the applicant for use instead of the regulatory analytical procedure. A validated alternative analytical procedure should be submitted only if it is shown to perform equal to or better than the regulatory analytical procedure. If an alternative analytical procedure is submitted, the applicant should provide a rationale for its inclusion and identify its use (e.g., release, stability testing), validation

118 data, and comparative data to the regulatory analytical procedure. 119 120 C. **Stability-Indicating Assay** 121 122 A stability-indicating assay is a validated quantitative analytical procedure that can detect 123 the changes with time in the pertinent properties of the drug substance and drug product. A 124 stability-indicating assay accurately measures the active ingredients, without interference from 125 degradation products, process impurities, excipients, or other potential impurities. If an 126 applicant submits a non-stability-indicating analytical procedure for release testing, then an 127 analytical procedure capable of qualitatively and quantitatively monitoring the impurities, 128 including degradation products, should complement it. Assay analytical procedures for 129 stability studies should be stability-indicating, unless scientifically justified. 130 131 132 IV. **REFERENCE STANDARDS** 133 134 A. **Types of Standards** 135 136 A reference standard (i.e., primary standard) may be obtained from the USP/NF or other 137 official sources (e.g., CBER, 21 CFR 610.20). If there are questions on whether a source of 138 a standard would be considered by FDA to be an official source, applicants should contact 139 the appropriate chemistry review staff. When there is no official source, a reference standard 140 should be of the highest possible purity and be fully characterized. 141 142 A working standard (i.e., in-house or secondary standard) is a standard that is qualified 143 against and used instead of the reference standard. 144 145 B. **Certificate of Analysis** 146 147 A certificate of analysis (COA) for reference standards from non-official sources should be 148 submitted in the section of the application on analytical procedures and controls. For 149 standards from official sources, the user should ensure the suitability of the reference standard. 150 The standard should be stored correctly and used within the established use interval. 151 C. 152 **Characterization of a Reference Standard** 153 154 Reference standards from USP/NF and other official sources do not require further 155 characterization. A reference standard that is not obtained from an official source should be of the highest purity that can be obtained by reasonable effort, and it should be thoroughly 156 157 characterized to ensure its identity, strength, quality, purity, and potency. The qualitative and 158 quantitative analytical procedures used to characterize a reference standard are expected to 159 be different from, and more extensive than, those used to control the identity, strength, quality, 160 purity, and potency of the drug substance or the drug product. Analytical procedures used to

161	charae	cterize a reference standard should not rely solely on comparison testing to a previously		
162	designated reference standard.			
163				
164	Gener	rally, this characterization information should include:		
165				
166	ļ	A brief description of the manufacture of the reference standard, if the manufacturing		
167		process differs from that of the drug substance. Any additional purification		
168		procedures used in the preparation of the reference standard should be described.		
169				
170	ļ	Legible reproductions of the relevant spectra, chromatograms, thin-layer		
171		chromatogram (TLC) photographs or reproductions, and other appropriate		
172		instrumental recordings.		
173				
174	i	Data establishing purity. The data should be obtained by using appropriate tests, such		
175		as TLC, gas chromatography (GC), high-pressure liquid chromatography (HPLC),		
176		phase solubility analysis, appropriate thermometric analytical procedures, and others		
177		as necessary.		
178				
179	i	Appropriate chemical attribute information, such as structural formula, empirical		
180		formula, and molecular weight. Information to substantiate the proof of structure		
181		should include appropriate analytical tests, such as elemental analysis, infrared		
182		spectrophotometry (IR), ultraviolet spectrophotometry (UV), nuclear magnetic		
183		resonance spectroscopy (NMR), and mass spectrometry (MS), as well as applicable		
184		functional group analysis. Detailed interpretation of the test data in support of the		
185		claimed structure should be provided.		
186				
187	i	A physical description of the material, including its color and physical form.		
188				
189	!	Appropriate physical constants such as melting range, boiling range, refractive index,		
190		dissociation constants (pK values), and optical rotation.		
191				
192	!	A detailed description of the analytical procedures used to characterize the reference		
193		standard.		
194				
195	For bi	iotechnological/biological product reference standards, the recommendations on		
196	charae	cterization information above may apply and should be considered. However, additional		
197	and/or	r different tests would be important to assess physicochemical characteristics, structural		
198	charac	cteristics, biological activity, and/or immunochemical activity. Physicochemical		
199	deterr	ninations may include isoform, electrophoretic, and liquid chromatographic patterns, as		
200	well a	as spectroscopic profiles. Structural characterization may include a determination of		
201	amino	o acid sequence, amino acid composition, peptide map, and carbohydrate structure.		
202	Biolog	gical and/or immunochemical activity should be assessed using the same analytical		
203	proce	dures used to determine product potency. These can include animal-based, cell culture-		

based, biochemical, or ligand/receptor-binding assays. While these tests may be needed for

205 complete characterization of certain reference standards, specific recommendations for 206 validation of biological and immunochemical tests are not contained in this guidance document. 207 208 209 V. **METHODS VALIDATION FOR INDs** 210 211 For an investigational new drug, sufficient information is required in each phase of an investigation to 212 ensure proper identification, quality, purity, strength, and/or potency. The amount of information on 213 analytical procedures and methods validation necessary will vary with the phase of the investigation 214 (21 CFR 312.23(a)(7)). 215 216 For general guidance on analytical procedures and methods validation information to be submitted for 217 phase 1 studies, sponsors should refer to the FDA guidance for industry on Content and Format of 218 Investigational New Drug Applications (INDs) for Phase 1 Studies of Drugs, Including Well-219 Characterized, Therapeutic, Biotechnology-Derived Products (November 1995). General 220 guidance regarding analytical procedures and methods validation information to be submitted for phase 221 2 or phase 3 studies will be provided in the FDA guidance for industry INDs for Phase 2 and 3 222 Studies of Drugs, Including Specified Therapeutic Biotechnology-Derived Products, Chemistry, 223 Manufacturing, and Controls Content and Format, when finalized (draft guidance published April 224 1999). 225 226 All analytical procedures should be fully developed and validation completed when the NDA, ANDA, 227 BLA, or PLA is submitted. 228 229 230 VI. CONTENT AND FORMAT OF ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES FOR NDAS, 231 ANDAS, BLAS, AND PLAS 232 233 Any analytical procedure submitted in an NDA, ANDA, BLA, or PLA should be described in 234 sufficient detail to allow a competent analyst to reproduce the necessary conditions and obtain results 235 comparable to the applicant-s. Aspects of the analytical procedure that require special attention 236 should be described. If the analytical procedure used is in the current revision of the USP/NF or other 237 FDA recognized standard references (e.g., AOAC International Book Of Methods) and the 238 referenced analytical procedure is not modified, a statement indicating the analytical procedure and 239 reference may be provided rather than a description of the method (21 CFR 211.194). A description 240 of analytical procedures from any other published sources should be provided, because the referenced 241 sources may not be readily accessible to the reviewer. 242 243 The following is a list of information that should typically be included in a description of an analytical 244 procedure. 245 246 **Principle** A.

J:/guidance/2396dft.doc 08/29/00

204

6

A statement of the principle of the analytical procedure should be included. For example, separation is based on isocratic reversed phase HPLC with detection by UV.

B. Sampling

The number of samples (e.g., vials, tablets) selected, how they are used (i.e., as individual or composite samples), and the number of replicate analyses per sample should be described.

C. Equipment and Equipment Parameters

A listing of all equipment (e.g., instrument type, detector, column type, dimensions) should be included, as well as a list of equipment parameters (e.g., flow rate, temperatures, run time, wavelength settings). A drawing representing the experimental configuration (e.g., illustrating positions for a spray pattern analytical procedure) should be provided, when appropriate.

D. Reagents

A list of reagents and their grades (e.g., USP/NF, American Chemical Society (ACS) Analytical Reagent) should be included. If in-house or modified commercial reagents are used, directions for their preparation should be included. Unstable or potentially hazardous reagents should be identified, and storage conditions, directions for safe use, and usable shelf life for these reagents should be specified.

E. System Suitability Testing

System suitability test parameters and acceptance criteria are based on the concept that the equipment, electronics, analytical operations, and samples to be analyzed constitute an integrated system. System suitability testing ensures that the system is working properly at the time of analysis. Appropriate system suitability criteria should be defined and included in the analytical procedure.

All chromatographic analytical procedures should include system suitability testing and criteria.
Parameters typically used in system suitability evaluations are defined and discussed in the
CDER reviewer guidance on *Validation of Chromatographic Methods* (November 1994).

System suitability testing is recommended as a component of any analytical procedure, not just
those that involve chromatographic techniques. Regardless of the type of analytical
procedure, testing should be used to confirm that the system will function correctly
independent of the environmental conditions. For example, titration analytical procedures
should always include the evaluation of a blank (commonly referred to as a *blank titration*).

F. Preparation of Standards

291 Procedures for the preparation of all standard solutions (e.g., stock, working standard292 solutions, internal standards) should be included.

G. Preparation of Samples

Sample preparation for individual tests should be clearly described. Specific details should be provided for unusual sample preparations (e.g., solid-phase extraction, derivatization).

H. Procedure

A step-by-step description of the procedure should be provided. The description should include, where appropriate, equilibration times, injection sampling sequence, and system suitability or start-up parameters. Unusual hazards should be identified.

I. Calculations

Representative calculations, with a tabulation defining all symbols and numerical factors, and specific instructions for the calculation of degradation products and impurities should be included. Any mathematical transformations or formulas used in data analysis should be described in detail. These may include logarithmic transformations used to obtain a linear relationship from exponential data, or the use of multiple order regression analyses.

313 J. Re

Reporting of Results

1. General

The format used to report results (e.g., percent label claim, weight/weight, weight/volume, parts per million (ppm)) including the specific number of significant figures to be reported should be provided.

2. Impurities Analytical Procedures

The name and location/identifier (e.g., retention time (RT), relative retention time (RRT)) of impurities and the type of impurity (e.g., process, degradant, excipient degradant) should be included in the analytical procedures for impurities in the drug substance and drug product. The detection limit (DL) or quantitation limit (QL) should be stated, as appropriate. The DL or QL can be set using the drug substance's detection response.

Reporting of organic impurities should cover (1) specified identified impurities by
name, (2) specified unidentified impurities by location/identifier, (3) any unspecified
impurities, and (4) total impurities. The total organic impurities for the drug product or

333		drug substance is the sum of all impurities equal to or greater than their individual QL.
334		See recommendations regarding appropriate QLs in FDA impurities guidances (see
335		references). Inorganic impurities and residual solvents should also be addressed.
336		
337		For the drug product, drug substance process impurities may be excluded from
338		reporting if an acceptable rationale is provided in the sections on analytical procedures
339		and controls. Drug product impurities from the drug product manufacturing process,
340		packaging, and labeling should be addressed.
341		
342		The above reporting information may not be strictly applicable to all products (e.g.,
343		biological, biotechnological, botanical, radiopharmaceutical drugs), but any significant
344		process and product-related impurities should be determined and reported.
345		
346		
347	VII.	METHODS VALIDATION FOR NDAs, ANDAs, BLAs, AND PLAs
348		
349		A. Noncompendial Analytical Procedures
350		
351		In an NDA, ANDA, BLA, or PLA, data must be submitted to establish that the analytical
352		procedures used in testing meet proper standards of accuracy and reliability (21 CFR
353		211.194(a)(2)). <i>Methods validation</i> is the process of demonstrating that analytical
354		procedures are suitable for their intended use. At the time of submission, the NDA, ANDA,
355		BLA, or PLA should contain methods validation information to support the adequacy of the
356		analytical procedures.
357		
358		The International Conference on Harmonisation (ICH) guidance Q2A Text on Validation of
359		Analytical Procedures (March 1995) and Q2B Validation of Analytical Procedures:
360		Methodology (November 1996) provide recommendations on validation of analytical
361		procedures. Analytical procedures outside the scope of the ICH guidances should still be
362		validated.
363		
364		1. Validation Characteristics
365		
366		Applicants should submit information on the validation characteristics of their
367		proposed analytical procedures (see ICH Q2A and ICH Q2B). Although not all of
368		the validation characteristics are needed for all types of tests (see section VII.A.3),
369		typical validation characteristics are:
370		
371		! Accuracy
372		Precision (repeatability and intermediate precision)
373		! Specificity
374		! Detection limit
375		! Quantitation limit

376	!	Linearit	ty
377	i	Range	-
378	i	Robust	ness
379			
380	2.	Other l	Methods Validation Information
381			
382	Method	ls validat	tion information should also include:
383			
384	i	Data to	demonstrate the stability of all analytical sample preparations through
385		the time	e required to complete the analysis.
386			
387	i	Legible	e reproductions of representative instrument output or recordings (e.g.,
388		chroma	tograms) and raw data output (e.g., integrated areas), as appropriate.
389		Instrum	nent output for placebo, standard, and sample should also be provided
390		(see sec	ction VII.A.2.c).
391			
392	i	Represe	entative calculations using submitted raw data, to show how the
393		impurit	ies in drug substance are calculated.
394			
395	i	Informa	ation from stress studies (see section VII.A.2.b).
396			
397	i	Impurit	ies labeled with their names and location identifiers (e.g., RRT for
398		chroma	tographic data) for the impurity analytical procedure.
399			
400	i	For dru	g substances:
401			
402		С	A discussion of the possible formation and control of polymorphic and
403			enantiomeric substances.
404			
405		С	Identification and characterization of each organic impurity, as
406			appropriate. This information may not be needed for all products
407			(e.g., botanicals). Other impurities (e.g., inorganics, residual solvents)
408			should be addressed and quantitated.
409			
410			Recommendations on submitting information on impurities is provided
411			in various FDA guidances such as the ICH guidance Q3A Impurities
412			in New Drug Substances (January 1996).
413			
414		C	A list of known impurities, with structure if available, including process
415			impurities, degradants, and possible isomers.
416			
417	!	For dru	g products:
418			

419	C	A degradation pathway for the drug substance in the dosage form,
420		where possible.
421		
422	С	Data demonstrating recovery from the sample matrix as illustrated by
423		the accuracy studies.
424		
425	С	Data demonstrating that neither the freshly prepared nor the degraded
426		placebo interferes with the quantitation of the active ingredient.
427		
428	ICH Q2A and	Q2B address almost all of the validation parameters. Areas that should
429	be provided in	more detail are described below.
430		
431	a. Robust	ness
432		
433	Robustness, a r	neasure of the analytical procedure's capability to remain unaffected by
434	small but delibe	erate variations, is described in ICH Q2A and Q2B. Such testing
435	should be perfo	ormed during development of the analytical procedure and the data
436	discussed and/o	or submitted. In cases where an effect is observed, representative
437	instrument outp	out (e.g., chromatograms) should be submitted.
438		
439	b. Stress S	Studies
440		
441	Degradation inf	formation obtained from <i>stress studies</i> (e.g., products of acid and base
442	hydrolysis, ther	mal degradation, photolysis, oxidation) for the drug substance and for
443	the active ingre	dient in the drug product should be provided to demonstrate the
444	specificity of th	e assay and analytical procedures for impurities. The stress studies
445	should demonst	trate that impurities and degradants from the active ingredient and drug
446	product excipie	nts do not interfere with the quantitation of the active ingredient. Stress
447	studies are desc	ribed in various FDA guidances relating to the stability of drug
448	products (see r	eferences).
449		
450	The design of t	he stress studies and the results should be submitted to the stability
451	section of the a	pplication. Representative instrument output (e.g., chromatograms)
452	and/or other ap	propriate data (e.g., degradation information obtained from stress
453	studies) should	be submitted in the sections on analytical procedures and controls.
454		
455	c. Instrum	nent Output/Raw Data
456		
457	i.	Organic Impurities
458		
459	Represe	entative data should be submitted to support an assessment of the
460	organic	impurities. Representative data for residual solvents are generally not
	•	

462	with appropriate identification and labeling (e.g., RT for chromatographic
463	peaks, chemical shift (δ) and coupling constant (J) for NMR) should be
464	provided. The impurity profile should be assessed at the quantitation limit and
465	the instrument output provided. Additional information should be provided to
466	confirm that the impurity profile is adequately characterized. For example, a
467	representative chromatogram using detection at a low wavelength, such as
468	205 nm, and double the proposed total run time could be submitted to
469	support the specificity of the analytical procedure.
470	
471	For quantitation purposes, the response factor of the drug substance may be
472	used for impurities without a reference standard. In cases where the response
473	factors are not close, this practice may still be acceptable, provided a
474	correction factor is applied or the impurities are, in fact, being overestimated.
475	Acceptance criteria and analytical procedures used to estimate identified or
476	unidentified impurities often are based on analytical assumptions (e.g.,
477	equivalent detector response). Assumptions should be discussed and justified.
478	
479	ii. Drug Substance
480	
481	Data should be submitted showing the separation and detection of impurities
482	using spiked or stress samples. Complete impurity profiles as graphic output
483	(e.g., chromatograms) and raw data (e.g, integrated peak areas) of
484	representative batches should be submitted in the sections on analytical
485	procedures and controls for the drug substance. For ANDAs and related
486	submissions, appropriate information for the batches used in the biobatch or
487	submission batch should be provided. All responses (e.g., peaks) should be
488	labeled.
489	
490	The analytical procedure used should be capable of differentiating changes, if
491	any, between past and present batches. The quantitation limit and the type of
492	organic impurity (e.g., degradant, process impurity) should be stated. The
493	analytical procedure number, batch number, manufacturing date and site, and
494	date of analysis should be provided.
495	
496	iii. Drug Product
497	
498	Information such as instrument output (e.g., chromatograms) and raw data
499	(e.g., integrated peak areas) from representative batches under long-term and
500	accelerated stability conditions, and stressed samples should be submitted in
501	the sections on analytical procedures and controls of the drug product. For
502	ANDAs and related submissions, appropriate information for the biobatch or
503	submission batch should be provided. References to the raw data (e.g.,
504	chromatograms) should be included in the stability section of the application.

505	
506	At a minimum, the submission should include instrument output and raw data
507	for release testing and at the latest available time point for the same batch. All
508	responses (e.g., peaks) should be labeled and identified. In addition, the
509	analytical procedure number, batch number of the drug product,
510	manufacturing date, date of analysis, source and batch number of drug
511	substance, manufacturing site, and container/closure information should be
512	provided. The analytical procedures used should be capable of differentiating
513	changes, if any, between past and present batches. The quantitation limit and
514	the type (e.g., degradant, leachables from packaging) should be reported.
515	Multiple methodologies can be used.
516	
517	If process impurities from the drug substance and excipients with their related
518	impurities are not reported in the impurities analytical procedure, the potential
519	locations/identifier (e.g., RT, RRT) of these compounds should be described
520	and listed in the analytical procedure.
521	
522	<i>3. Recommended Validation Characteristics for Types of Tests</i>
523	
524	Table 1 is a summary of the validation characteristics that should be addressed during
525	validation of different types of analytical procedures. The same methodology can be
526	used for several purposes. The validation information should support the intended
527	purpose of the test. For example, if Raman spectroscopy is the methodology selected
528	to quantitate polymorphic forms as impurities, or chiral HPLC for enantiomeric
529	impurities, the recommended validation characteristics in Table 1 under quantitative
530	testing for impurities would apply. However, if Raman spectroscopy or chiral
531	HPLC are used for the purpose of identification or as specific tests, the recommended
532	validation characteristics listed for those types of tests would apply.

533

534

525	
555	

Table 1. Recommended Validation Characteristics of the Various Types of Tests.

Type of Tests / Characteristics	Identification	Testing for Impurities		Assay Dissolution (Measurement Only), Content/Potency	Specific Tests
		Quantitative	Limit		
Accuracy	-	+	-	+	$+^{4}$
Precision-Repeatability	-	+	-	+	$+^{4}$
Precision-Intermediate Precision	-	$+^1$	-	$+^{1}$	+4
Specificity	$+^{2}$	+	+	+5	$+^{4}$
Detection Limit	-	_3	+	-	-
Quantitation Limit	-	+	-	-	-
Linearity	-	+	_	+	-
Range	-	+	-	+	-
Robustness	-	+	_3	+	+4

536		
537	NOTE:	
538	-	Signifies that this characteristic is not normally evaluated.
539	+	Signifies that this characteristic is normally evaluated.
540	1	In cases where reproducibility has been performed, intermediate precision is not needed.
541	2	Lack of specificity for an analytical procedure may be compensated for by the addition of a second
542		analytical procedure.
543	3	May be needed in some cases.
544	4	May not be needed in some cases.
545	5	Lack of specificity for an assay for release may be compensated for by impurities testing.
546		
547		a. Identification
548		
549		Identification analytical procedures may include tests such as IR, differential scanning
550		calorimetry (DSC), X-ray diffraction (XRD), UV, and HPLC retention time. A
551		specific identification test should be included for the active ingredient whenever
552		possible. In cases where a nonspecific identification analytical procedure is proposed
553		for the active ingredient, two independent analytical procedures are generally
554		sufficient, if justified. For other identification tests (e.g., a chiral HPLC retention time
555		as confirmation for the presence of an enantiomer, chloride test for a counterion) a
556		single test is acceptable. This concept of the number of identification tests is

557		applicable to both the drug substance and drug product.
558		
559		b. Impurities
560		
561		The validation characteristics under quantitative testing for impurities, as described
562		in Table 1, apply, regardless of which methodology is used to quantitate impurities. If
563		the same analytical procedure is proposed as a limit test, validation characteristics
564		under <i>limit testing for impurities</i> will apply.
565		
566		c. Assay
567		
568		Assay includes the content of the active ingredient, preservative (if used), and
569		measurement of content in dissolution and content uniformity samples.
570		
571		d. Specific Tests
572		
573		Specific tests to control the drug substance, excipient, or drug product can include
574		tests such as particle size analysis, droplet distribution, spray pattern, dissolution
575		(excludes measurement), optical rotation, and methodologies such as DSC, XRD, and
576		Raman spectroscopy. The validation characteristics may differ for the various
577		analytical procedures. For example, accuracy, repeatability, intermediate precision
578		and robustness should be evaluated for molecular size distribution gel permeation
579		chromatography (GPC).
0.7		
580		
		B. Compendial Analytical Procedures
580		B. Compendial Analytical Procedures
580 581		 B. Compendial Analytical Procedures The suitability of a compendial analytical procedure must be verified under actual conditions of
580 581 582		
580 581 582 583		The suitability of a compendial analytical procedure must be verified under actual conditions of
580 581 582 583 584		The suitability of a compendial analytical procedure must be verified under actual conditions of use (21 CFR 211.194(a)(2)). Information to demonstrate that USP/NF analytical procedures
580 581 582 583 584 585		The suitability of a compendial analytical procedure must be verified under actual conditions of use (21 CFR 211.194(a)(2)). Information to demonstrate that USP/NF analytical procedures are suitable for the drug product or drug substance should be included in the submission.
580 581 582 583 584 585 586		The suitability of a compendial analytical procedure must be verified under actual conditions of use (21 CFR 211.194(a)(2)). Information to demonstrate that USP/NF analytical procedures are suitable for the drug product or drug substance should be included in the submission. Information on the specificity, intermediate precision, and stability of the sample solution
580 581 582 583 584 585 585 586 587		The suitability of a compendial analytical procedure must be verified under actual conditions of use (21 CFR 211.194(a)(2)). Information to demonstrate that USP/NF analytical procedures are suitable for the drug product or drug substance should be included in the submission. Information on the specificity, intermediate precision, and stability of the sample solution should be included. Compendial assay analytical procedures may not be stability-indicating,
580 581 582 583 584 585 586 586 587 588		The suitability of a compendial analytical procedure must be verified under actual conditions of use (21 CFR 211.194(a)(2)). Information to demonstrate that USP/NF analytical procedures are suitable for the drug product or drug substance should be included in the submission. Information on the specificity, intermediate precision, and stability of the sample solution should be included. Compendial assay analytical procedures may not be stability-indicating, and this should be considered when developing the specification (see section III.C). For
580 581 582 583 584 585 585 586 587 588 589		The suitability of a compendial analytical procedure must be verified under actual conditions of use (21 CFR 211.194(a)(2)). Information to demonstrate that USP/NF analytical procedures are suitable for the drug product or drug substance should be included in the submission. Information on the specificity, intermediate precision, and stability of the sample solution should be included. Compendial assay analytical procedures may not be stability-indicating, and this should be considered when developing the specification (see section III.C). For compendial items, additional analytical procedures, such as impurities or osmolality, may be
580 581 582 583 584 585 586 587 588 589 590		The suitability of a compendial analytical procedure must be verified under actual conditions of use (21 CFR 211.194(a)(2)). Information to demonstrate that USP/NF analytical procedures are suitable for the drug product or drug substance should be included in the submission. Information on the specificity, intermediate precision, and stability of the sample solution should be included. Compendial assay analytical procedures may not be stability-indicating, and this should be considered when developing the specification (see section III.C). For compendial items, additional analytical procedures, such as impurities or osmolality, may be requested to support the quality of the drug product or drug substance. These additional
580 581 582 583 584 585 586 587 588 589 590 591		The suitability of a compendial analytical procedure must be verified under actual conditions of use (21 CFR 211.194(a)(2)). Information to demonstrate that USP/NF analytical procedures are suitable for the drug product or drug substance should be included in the submission. Information on the specificity, intermediate precision, and stability of the sample solution should be included. Compendial assay analytical procedures may not be stability-indicating, and this should be considered when developing the specification (see section III.C). For compendial items, additional analytical procedures, such as impurities or osmolality, may be requested to support the quality of the drug product or drug substance. These additional
580 581 582 583 584 585 586 587 588 589 590 591 592	VIII.	The suitability of a compendial analytical procedure must be verified under actual conditions of use (21 CFR 211.194(a)(2)). Information to demonstrate that USP/NF analytical procedures are suitable for the drug product or drug substance should be included in the submission. Information on the specificity, intermediate precision, and stability of the sample solution should be included. Compendial assay analytical procedures may not be stability-indicating, and this should be considered when developing the specification (see section III.C). For compendial items, additional analytical procedures, such as impurities or osmolality, may be requested to support the quality of the drug product or drug substance. These additional
580 581 582 583 584 585 586 587 588 589 590 591 592 593	VIII.	The suitability of a compendial analytical procedure must be verified under actual conditions of use (21 CFR 211.194(a)(2)). Information to demonstrate that USP/NF analytical procedures are suitable for the drug product or drug substance should be included in the submission. Information on the specificity, intermediate precision, and stability of the sample solution should be included. Compendial assay analytical procedures may not be stability-indicating, and this should be considered when developing the specification (see section III.C). For compendial items, additional analytical procedures, such as impurities or osmolality, may be requested to support the quality of the drug product or drug substance. These additional analytical procedures should be validated (see section VII.A).
580 581 582 583 584 585 586 587 588 589 590 591 592 593 594	VIII.	The suitability of a compendial analytical procedure must be verified under actual conditions of use (21 CFR 211.194(a)(2)). Information to demonstrate that USP/NF analytical procedures are suitable for the drug product or drug substance should be included in the submission. Information on the specificity, intermediate precision, and stability of the sample solution should be included. Compendial assay analytical procedures may not be stability-indicating, and this should be considered when developing the specification (see section III.C). For compendial items, additional analytical procedures, such as impurities or osmolality, may be requested to support the quality of the drug product or drug substance. These additional analytical procedures should be validated (see section VII.A).
580 581 582 583 584 585 586 587 588 589 590 591 592 593 594 595	VIII.	The suitability of a compendial analytical procedure must be verified under actual conditions of use (21 CFR 211.194(a)(2)). Information to demonstrate that USP/NF analytical procedures are suitable for the drug product or drug substance should be included in the submission. Information on the specificity, intermediate precision, and stability of the sample solution should be included. Compendial assay analytical procedures may not be stability-indicating, and this should be considered when developing the specification (see section III.C). For compendial items, additional analytical procedures, such as impurities or osmolality, may be requested to support the quality of the drug product or drug substance. These additional analytical procedures should be validated (see section VII.A).
580 581 582 583 584 585 586 587 588 589 590 591 592 593 594 595 596	VIII.	The suitability of a compendial analytical procedure must be verified under actual conditions of use (21 CFR 211.194(a)(2)). Information to demonstrate that USP/NF analytical procedures are suitable for the drug product or drug substance should be included in the submission. Information on the specificity, intermediate precision, and stability of the sample solution should be included. Compendial assay analytical procedures may not be stability-indicating, and this should be considered when developing the specification (see section III.C). For compendial items, additional analytical procedures, such as impurities or osmolality, may be requested to support the quality of the drug product or drug substance. These additional analytical procedures should be validated (see section VII.A).
580 581 582 583 584 585 586 587 588 589 590 591 592 593 594 595 596 597	VIII.	 The suitability of a compendial analytical procedure must be verified under actual conditions of use (21 CFR 211.194(a)(2)). Information to demonstrate that USP/NF analytical procedures are suitable for the drug product or drug substance should be included in the submission. Information on the specificity, intermediate precision, and stability of the sample solution should be included. Compendial assay analytical procedures may not be stability-indicating, and this should be considered when developing the specification (see section III.C). For compendial items, additional analytical procedures, such as impurities or osmolality, may be requested to support the quality of the drug product or drug substance. These additional analytical procedures should be validated (see section VII.A). STATISTICAL ANALYSIS A. General

validation data is often used to demonstrate the validity of the method. The statistical
procedures for the analysis of the validation data should be determined prior to the start of any
validation study. The procedure followed, including the amount of data to collect and the
criteria used in determining the acceptability of the analytical procedure, should be specified.

605The raw methods validation data and statistical procedures used to analyze the raw data606should be provided and discussed in the sections on analytical procedures and controls. All607statistical procedures used in the analysis of the data should be based on sound principles and608be suitable for evaluating the dataset.

609 610

611

604

B. Comparative Studies

Comparative studies are performed to evaluate intermediate precision (e.g., different 612 613 equipment, analysts, days). Comparative studies are also used to evaluate between 614 *laboratory* variability (i.e., reproducibility) when an analytical procedure is used in more than 615 one laboratory or to compare and evaluate the precision and accuracy of two analytical procedures (e.g., regulatory analytical procedure and an alternative analytical procedure). 616 617 When comparative studies are performed, homogeneous samples from the same batch should 618 be used, if feasible. Comparative results should be statistically analyzed and discussed and 619 any bias explained.

621 C. Statistics

For information on statistical techniques used in making comparisons, as well as other general information on the interpretation and treatment of analytical data, appropriate literature or texts should be consulted (see references).

626 627

629

620

622 623

624

625

628 IX. REVALIDATION

630 When sponsors make changes in the analytical procedure, drug substance (e.g., route of synthesis), or 631 drug product (e.g., composition), the changes may necessitate revalidation of the analytical 632 procedures. Revalidation should be performed to ensure that the analytical procedure maintains its 633 characteristics (e.g., specificity) and to demonstrate that the analytical procedure continues to ensure 634 the identity, strength, quality, purity, and potency of the drug substance and drug product, and the 635 bioavailability of the drug product. The degree of revalidation depends on the nature of the change. 636 When a different regulatory analytical procedure is substituted (e.g., HPLC for titration), the new 637 procedure should be validated (see section VII). 638

639 If during each use an analytical procedure can meet the established system suitability requirements only

640 with repeated adjustments to the operating conditions stated in the analytical procedure, the analytical

641 procedure should be reevaluated, amended, and revalidated, as appropriate.

642

643	FDA i	intends to	provide guidance in the future on postapproval changes in analytical procedures.	
644				
645				
646	Х.	METH	HODS VALIDATION PACKAGE: CONTENTS AND PROCESSING	
647				
648			hods validation process may include FDA laboratory analysis to demonstrate that an	
649	analytical procedure is reproducible by laboratory testing. A methods validation package (see X.A)			
650	and sa	imples (s	ee X.B) will be needed for this process.	
651				
652		А.	Methods Validation Package	
653				
654			ethods validation package will usually include information copied from pertinent sections	
655			application. To aid the review chemist, these copies should retain the original pagination	
656 657		of the a	application sections.	
658		For AN	NDA and NDA products, the archival copy and extra copies of the methods validation	
659		packag	es should be submitted with the application. For ANDAs and related supplemental	
660		applica	tions, one archival copy and two extra copies of the methods validation package	
661		should	be submitted. For NDAs and related supplemental applications, one archival copy and	
662		three e	xtra copies should be submitted. For BLAs and PLAs, a separate methods validation	
663		packag	e need not be submitted. Information similar to that specified here should be included	
664	in the BLA or PLA submission.			
665				
666		The me	ethods validation package should include:	
667				
668			1. Tabular List of All Samples to Be Submitted	
669				
670			The list should include the lot number, identity (with chemical name and structure	
671			where required for clarity), package type and size, date of manufacture, and quantity	
672			of the samples.	
673				
674			2. Analytical Procedures	
675				
676			A detailed description of each of the analytical procedures listed in the specifications	
677			should be submitted. The description should be sufficient to allow the FDA laboratory	
678			analysts to perform the analytical procedure (see section VI).	
679				
680			3. Validation Data	
681				
682			Appropriate validation data to support the analytical procedures should be submitted.	
683			Individual values as well as summary tables should be provided. Representative	
684			instrument output and raw data and information regarding stress studies should be	
685			included (see section VII).	

686			
687		4.	Results
688			
689		The res	sults obtained by the applicant for the submitted samples should be provided.
690		Alterna	atively, COAs could be submitted. The dates of analysis should be stated.
691			
692		5.	Composition
693			•
694		The co	mponents and composition of the drug product should be provided.
695			
696		6.	Specifications
697			
698		The sp	ecifications for the drug substance and the drug product should be included.
699		_	
700		7.	Material Safety Data Sheets
701			
702		The ap	plicant should include material safety data sheets (MSDSs) for all samples,
703		standa	rds, and reagents (29 CFR 1910.1200(g)). As appropriate, MSDSs should be
704		provide	ed for other materials used in the analytical procedures listed in the methods
705		validat	ion package. In the case of toxic or hazardous materials, MSDSs should be
706		posted	on the outside of the package to facilitate safe handling.
707			
708	В.	Selecti	ion and Shipment of Samples
709			
710	On req	uest from	m CDER, an NDA or ANDA applicant must submit samples of drug product,
711	1		
/11	-		, noncompendial reference standards, and blanks, so that the suitability of the
711	drug si	ubstance	, noncompendial reference standards, and blanks, so that the suitability of the g substance and drug product analytical procedures can be evaluated by FDA
	drug su applica	ubstance int=s dru	· · · ·
712	drug su applica laborat	ubstance int=s dru tories (2	g substance and drug product analytical procedures can be evaluated by FDA
712 713	drug su applica laborat sample	ubstance int=s dru tories (2 es of the	g substance and drug product analytical procedures can be evaluated by FDA 1 CFR 314.50(e) and 314.94(a)(10)). For BLAs and PLAs, representative
712 713 714	drug su applica laborat sample the lots	ubstance int=s dru tories (2 es of the	g substance and drug product analytical procedures can be evaluated by FDA 1 CFR 314.50(e) and 314.94(a)(10)). For BLAs and PLAs, representative product must be submitted, and summaries of the results of tests performed on ented by the submitted sample must be provided (21 CFR 601.2(a) and
712 713 714 715	drug su applica laborat sample the lots	ubstance int=s dru tories (2 es of the s represe	g substance and drug product analytical procedures can be evaluated by FDA 1 CFR 314.50(e) and 314.94(a)(10)). For BLAs and PLAs, representative product must be submitted, and summaries of the results of tests performed on ented by the submitted sample must be provided (21 CFR 601.2(a) and
 712 713 714 715 716 	drug su applica laborat sample the lots 601.2(ubstance int=s dru tories (2 es of the s represe c)(1)(vi)	g substance and drug product analytical procedures can be evaluated by FDA 1 CFR 314.50(e) and 314.94(a)(10)). For BLAs and PLAs, representative product must be submitted, and summaries of the results of tests performed on ented by the submitted sample must be provided (21 CFR 601.2(a) and
712 713 714 715 716 717	drug su applica laborat sample the lots 601.2(For CI	ubstance int=s dru tories (2 es of the s represe c)(1)(vi) DER pro	g substance and drug product analytical procedures can be evaluated by FDA 1 CFR 314.50(e) and 314.94(a)(10)). For BLAs and PLAs, representative product must be submitted, and summaries of the results of tests performed on ented by the submitted sample must be provided (21 CFR 601.2(a) and)).
 712 713 714 715 716 717 718 	drug su applica laborat sample the lots 601.2(For CI validat	ubstance int=s dru tories (2 es of the s represe c)(1)(vi) DER pro ion will	g substance and drug product analytical procedures can be evaluated by FDA 1 CFR 314.50(e) and 314.94(a)(10)). For BLAs and PLAs, representative product must be submitted, and summaries of the results of tests performed on ented by the submitted sample must be provided (21 CFR 601.2(a) and)).
 712 713 714 715 716 717 718 719 	drug su applica laborat sample the lots 601.2(For CI validat	ubstance int=s dru tories (2 es of the s represe c)(1)(vi) DER pro ion will ory. In	g substance and drug product analytical procedures can be evaluated by FDA 1 CFR 314.50(e) and 314.94(a)(10)). For BLAs and PLAs, representative product must be submitted, and summaries of the results of tests performed on ented by the submitted sample must be provided (21 CFR 601.2(a) and)).
 712 713 714 715 716 717 718 719 720 	drug su applica laborat sample the lots 601.2(d For CI validat laborat needed	ubstance int=s dru tories (2 es of the s represe c)(1)(vi) DER pro ion will ory. In l to carry	g substance and drug product analytical procedures can be evaluated by FDA 1 CFR 314.50(e) and 314.94(a)(10)). For BLAs and PLAs, representative product must be submitted, and summaries of the results of tests performed on ented by the submitted sample must be provided (21 CFR 601.2(a) and)). ducts, the number of sets of samples that should be submitted for methods be identified in the instructions forwarded to the applicant by the FDA general, the quantity of samples in each set should be double the amount
712 713 714 715 716 717 718 719 720 721	drug su applica laborat sample the lots 601.2(For CI validat laborat needed and the	ubstance int=s dru tories (2 es of the s represe c)(1)(vi) DER pro ion will ory. In to carry e drug pr	g substance and drug product analytical procedures can be evaluated by FDA 1 CFR 314.50(e) and 314.94(a)(10)). For BLAs and PLAs, representative product must be submitted, and summaries of the results of tests performed on ented by the submitted sample must be provided (21 CFR 601.2(a) and)). ducts, the number of sets of samples that should be submitted for methods be identified in the instructions forwarded to the applicant by the FDA general, the quantity of samples in each set should be double the amount y out the testing as performed by the applicant. Along with the drug substance
 712 713 714 715 716 717 718 719 720 721 722 	drug su applica laborat sample the lots 601.2(0 For CI validat laborat needed and the referen	ubstance int=s dru tories (2 es of the s represe c)(1)(vi) DER pro ion will ory. In l to carry e drug pro ioce stand	g substance and drug product analytical procedures can be evaluated by FDA 1 CFR 314.50(e) and 314.94(a)(10)). For BLAs and PLAs, representative product must be submitted, and summaries of the results of tests performed on ented by the submitted sample must be provided (21 CFR 601.2(a) and)). ducts, the number of sets of samples that should be submitted for methods be identified in the instructions forwarded to the applicant by the FDA general, the quantity of samples in each set should be double the amount y out the testing as performed by the applicant. Along with the drug substance roduct samples, the applicant should submit internal standards, non-USP
712 713 714 715 716 717 718 719 720 721 722 723 724 725	drug su applica laborat sample the lots 601.2(For CI validat laborat needed and the referen of sam	ubstance int=s dru tories (2 es of the s represe c)(1)(vi) DER pro ion will ory. In l to carry e drug pro ice stand ples will	g substance and drug product analytical procedures can be evaluated by FDA 1 CFR 314.50(e) and 314.94(a)(10)). For BLAs and PLAs, representative product must be submitted, and summaries of the results of tests performed on ented by the submitted sample must be provided (21 CFR 601.2(a) and)). ducts, the number of sets of samples that should be submitted for methods be identified in the instructions forwarded to the applicant by the FDA general, the quantity of samples in each set should be double the amount y out the testing as performed by the applicant. Along with the drug substance roduct samples, the applicant should submit internal standards, non-USP lards, samples of impurities, degradation products, and unusual reagents. A set l be shipped to each assigned laboratory.
 712 713 714 715 716 717 718 719 720 721 722 723 724 725 726 	drug su applica laborat sample the lots 601.2(d For CI validat laborat needed and the referen of sam	bological	g substance and drug product analytical procedures can be evaluated by FDA 1 CFR 314.50(e) and 314.94(a)(10)). For BLAs and PLAs, representative product must be submitted, and summaries of the results of tests performed on ented by the submitted sample must be provided (21 CFR 601.2(a) and)). ducts, the number of sets of samples that should be submitted for methods be identified in the instructions forwarded to the applicant by the FDA general, the quantity of samples in each set should be double the amount y out the testing as performed by the applicant. Along with the drug substance roduct samples, the applicant should submit internal standards, non-USP lards, samples of impurities, degradation products, and unusual reagents. A set l be shipped to each assigned laboratory.
712 713 714 715 716 717 718 719 720 721 722 723 724 725	drug su applica laborat sample the lots 601.2(d For CI validat laborat needed and the referen of sam	ubstance int=s dru tories (2 es of the s represe c)(1)(vi) DER pro ion will ory. In l to carry e drug pro ice stand ples will	g substance and drug product analytical procedures can be evaluated by FDA 1 CFR 314.50(e) and 314.94(a)(10)). For BLAs and PLAs, representative product must be submitted, and summaries of the results of tests performed on ented by the submitted sample must be provided (21 CFR 601.2(a) and)). ducts, the number of sets of samples that should be submitted for methods be identified in the instructions forwarded to the applicant by the FDA general, the quantity of samples in each set should be double the amount y out the testing as performed by the applicant. Along with the drug substance roduct samples, the applicant should submit internal standards, non-USP lards, samples of impurities, degradation products, and unusual reagents. A set l be shipped to each assigned laboratory.

729	Unless specified differently by the reviewer, samples from any batch, preferably samples from
730	an aged batch, may be selected for NDAs and NDA supplemental applications. The
731	submitted drug product samples should be from a batch made with the proposed market
732	formulation. For ANDAs and appropriate supplements, a sample of the finished product from
733	a batch being used to support approval of the submission should be used. If a sample is
734	selected from a batch not described in the application, an amendment containing a copy of the
735	batch record and certificate of analysis should be provided to the ANDA. For supplements
736	that do not require submission and review of an exhibit batch record and associated data, any
737	commercial batch may be submitted. For biological products, samples from several
738	consecutively manufactured batches should be submitted.
739	

The drug product should be supplied in its original packaging. Bulk substances (e.g., drug
substances, impurities, excipients) should be stored in opaque nonreactive containers. To
prevent breakage during shipping, the samples should be adequately packaged in a sturdy
container. Samples shipped from outside the United States should contain the appropriate
customs forms to reduce delay in delivery.

If special storage precautions (e.g., freezing, use of an inert gas blanket) are required to protect sample integrity, arrangements should be made in advance with the validating laboratory for scheduled direct delivery. If a sample is toxic or potentially hazardous, the container should be prominently labeled with an appropriate warning and precautionary handling instructions.

751 752 753

754

755 756

757 758

759

760 761

762

763

764

745 746

747

748

749

750

C. Responsibilities of the Various Parties

1. Applicant

In the sections of the application on analytical procedures and controls, the applicant should provide a name, address, telephone number, and facsimile number so that samples can be requested. If this information is not provided, the contact person and address listed in the NDA, ANDA, BLA, or PLA submission will be used.

The methods validation packages should be compiled and submitted with the NDA or ANDA submission. For BLAs and PLAs, a separate methods validation package need not be submitted.

765When an FDA laboratory contacts the applicant for samples, the applicant should766provide FDA laboratories with the samples within 10 working days. With the767exception of sample delivery arrangements, all communications concerning validation768at the FDA laboratories should be made through or with the knowledge of the review769chemist for CDER applications, or the BLA/PLA committee chair for CBER770applications.771

772 2. Review Chemist

The review chemist will review the application to determine that the analytical procedures are adequate to ensure the identity, strength, quality, purity, and potency of the drug substance and/or drug product. Any changes in the methods resulting from the review of the application may require resubmission of the methods validation package. The review chemist, in coordination with the appropriate FDA laboratories, will decide which analytical procedures are to be validated. Comments from the FDA laboratories, if any, will be forwarded by the review chemist to the applicant on completion of the studies by the laboratories.

3. FDA Laboratory

An FDA laboratory will contact applicants with instructions on the submission of samples and the addresses to which samples should be mailed. The laboratory will test the samples according to the submitted analytical procedures to determine whether the analytical procedures are acceptable for quality control and suitable for regulatory purposes. Results and comments will be forwarded to the review chemist on completion of the studies.

4. Investigator

The investigator inspects the analytical laboratory testing sites where the release and stability testing are performed to ensure that the analytical procedures are performed in compliance with CGMP/GLP.

799 XI. METHODOLOGY

Sections II through IX provide general information on the submission of analytical procedures and
 methods validation information, including validation characteristics. Additional information on certain
 methodologies is provided below.

A. High-Pressure Liquid Chromatography (HPLC)

The widespread use of HPLC analytical procedures and the multitude of commercial sources of columns and packings frequently have created problems in assessing comparability. Many of the following points may also apply to other chromatographic analytical procedures.

810
811 *I. Column*812
813 The following ch
814 should be included

The following characteristics are useful for defining a particular column and, if known, should be included in the analytical procedure description. If method development has

815	indicated that columns from only one commercial source are suitable, this information		
815	should be included as part of the analytical procedure. If more than one column is		
817			
	suitable, a listing of columns found to be equivalent should be included.		
818	Calumn Demonstran		
819	a. Column Parameters		
820			
821	Material: glass, stainless steel, plastic		
822	Dimensions: length, inner diameter		
823	I Frit size		
824	Filter type		
825	Precolumn and/or guard column type, if used		
826			
827	b. Packing Material		
828			
829	Particle type: size, shape, pore diameter		
830	! Surface modification (e.g., bonded surface type, surface coverage, percent		
831	carbon, additional silylation)		
832	! Recommended pH range for column use		
833			
834	2. System Suitability Testing		
835			
836	Each analytical procedure submitted should include an appropriate number of system		
837	suitability tests defining the critical characteristics of that system. Criteria for all system		
838	suitability testing should be provided. The system suitability tests listed below are		
839	defined in CDER-s reviewer guidance on Validation of Chromatographic Methods		
840	(November 1994).		
841			
842	! Tailing factor		
843	Relative retention		
844	Production Resolution		
845	 Relative standard deviation (RSD) 		
846	Capacity factor		
847	Image: Number of theoretical plates		
848			
849	The RSD is normally performed at the beginning of the run. However, for assays with		
850	lengthy run times or as otherwise justified by the applicant, the reported average may		
851	be taken from injections at the beginning and end of the run, or at the beginning,		
852	middle, and end of the run.		
852			
855 854	If an internal standard is used, the minimum acceptable resolution between the		
855	internal standard and one or more active ingredients should be specified. If the		
856 857	analytical procedure is used to control the level of impurities, the minimum resolution		
857	between the active ingredient and the closest eluting impurity, or the two peaks		

050		aluting alcoset to each other should be given	
858 859		eluting closest to each other, should be given.	
		2 Operating Parameters	
860 861		3. Operating Parameters	
861 862		The appropriate of highly and an and highly dealer the start of the	
862		The sequence of injection of blanks, system suitability standards, other standards,	
863		and samples should be defined. Flow rates, temperatures, and gradients should be	
864		described.	
865			
866		Complete details should be provided for the preparation of the mobile phase,	
867		including the order of addition of the reagents and the methods of degassing and	
868		filtration. The effect of adjustments in mobile phase composition on retention times	
869		should be included in the analytical procedure. The rationale for the use of	
870		precolumns and/or guard columns should be provided and justified. Any special	
871		requirements, such as the use of inert tubing or injection valves, should be specified.	
872			
873	В.	Gas Chromatography (GC)	
874			
875		nimum, the following parameters should be included in the description of a GC	
876	-	re. Additional parameters should be specified if required by the analytical procedure.	
877		hod development has indicated that columns from only one commercial source are	
878		, this information should be included as part of the analytical procedure. If more than	
879	one column is suitable, a listing of columns found to be equivalent should be included.		
880			
881		1. Column	
882			
883		! Column dimensions: length, internal diameter, external diameter	
884		! Stationary phase	
885		! Column material (e.g., silica, glass, stainless steel)	
886		! Column conditioning procedure	
887			
888		2. Operating Parameters	
889			
890		! Gases: purity, flow rate, pressure	
891		! Temperatures: column, injector, detector (including temperature program, if	
892		used)	
893		! Injection (e.g., split, splitless, on-column)	
894		! Detector	
895		! Typical retention time and total run time	
896			
897		3. System Suitability Testing	
898			
899		Appropriate system suitability criteria should be defined and included in all analytical	
900		procedures.	
		-	

902If an internal standard is used, the minimum acceptable resolution between the internal903standard and one or more active ingredient should be specified. If the analytical904procedure is used to control the level of impurities, the minimum resolution between905the active ingredient and the closest eluting impurity, or the two peaks eluting closest906to each other, should be given.

The RSD is normally performed at the beginning of the run. However, for assays with lengthy run times or as otherwise justified by the applicant, the reported average may be taken from injections at the beginning and end of the run, or beginning, middle, and end of the run.

C. Spectrophotometry, Spectroscopy, Spectrometry and Related Physical Methodologies

These analytical procedures include, but are not limited to, IR spectrophotometry, near IR spectrophotometry (NIR), UV/visible spectrophotometry (UV/Vis), atomic emission and atomic absorption, NMR, Raman spectroscopy, MS, and XRD.

Spectrometric analytical procedures may not be stability-indicating. The bias of the analytical
procedure should be evaluated by comparing it with a chromatographic procedure, where
appropriate. When manually operated equipment is used, the description of the analytical
procedure should include an acceptance criterion for the amount of time that may elapse
between sampling and reading. Appropriate system suitability and/or calibration testing is
recommended. Validation criteria should include specificity (demonstrating no interference of
placebo), linearity, repeatability, intermediate precision, and robustness.

D. Capillary Electrophoresis (CE)

At a minimum, the parameters listed below should be specified for a capillary electrophoretic analytical procedure. Additional parameters may be included as required by the procedure. If method development has indicated that capillaries from only one commercial source are suitable, this information should be included as part of the analytical procedure. If more than one capillary is suitable, a listing of capillaries found to be equivalent should be included.

936	1.	Capillary
937		
938	ļ	Capillary dimensions: length, length to detector, internal diameter, external
939		diameter
940	ļ	Capillary material
941	ļ	Capillary internal coating (if any)
942		
943	2.	Operating Parameters

944			
944 945		i	Capillary preparation procedure: procedure to be followed before the first
945 946		:	use, before the first run of the day, before each run (e.g., flush with 100
940 947			
			millimolar sodium hydroxide, flush with running buffer)
948	i		Running buffer: composition, including a detailed preparation procedure with
949			the order of addition of the components
950		ļ	Injection: mode (e.g., electrokinetic, hydrodynamic), parameters (e.g.,
951			voltage, pressure, time)
952		!	Detector
953		!	Typical migration time and total run time
954		!	Model of CE equipment used
955		ļ	Voltage (if constant voltage)
956		ļ	Current (if constant current)
957		ļ	Polarity (e.g., polarity of electrode by detector)
958			
959		3.	System Suitability Testing
960			
961			analytical procedure should include the appropriate system suitability tests
962		definir	ng the critical characteristics of that system. Other parameters may be included
963		at the	discretion of the applicant.
964			
965		If an i	nternal standard is used, the minimum acceptable resolution between the internal
966		standa	rd and one or more active ingredient should be specified. If the analytical
967		procee	lure is used to control the level of impurities, the minimum resolution between
968		the act	tive ingredient and the closest eluting impurity, or the two peaks eluting closest
969		to eacl	h other, should be given.
970			
971	E.	Optic	al Rotation
972			
973	Optica	al rotatio	n is used for the measurement of stereochemical purity. Visual polarimeters rely
974	on a n	nonochro	omatic source, which traditionally was sodium D, but has expanded to virtually
975	any wavelength.		
976			
977	If mea	asuremer	nts are to be made at a wavelength other than sodium D, an explanation for
978	selecting the wavelength should be given, along with a comparison of the specific rotation at		
979	solium D and the wavelength to be used. Circular dichroism (CD) spectra may suffice for this		
980	purpo	se. In a	ddition to the provisions of USP <781>, procedures for measurement of
981	specif	ic rotatio	on should include the solvent, concentration, and, for aqueous solutions, the pH
982			olution should be adjusted. The conditions and equipment should be shown to
983			confirm the stereochemical identity of a racemate or an enantiomer.
984			·
985	The e	nantiom	eric purity can be expressed as <i>enantiomeric excess</i> (e.e.), using the following
986			example:
			-

987 988 e.e. = 100% * {{M} - [m]}/{[M] + [m]} 989 990 where [M] and [m] are the concentrations of the major and minor enantiomers, respectively. 991 This yields values of zero for a racemate and 100 percent for a pure enantiomer. An 992 intermediate concentration gives intermediate values; for example, 97:3 would give an e.e. of 993 94 percent. 994 995 Appropriate system suitability and/or calibration testing is recommended. Validation criteria 996 should include specificity, and intermediate precision. 997 998 F. Methodologies Relating to Particle Size Analysis 999 1000 Particle size analysis is an important element for quality control and regulatory evaluation of 1001 certain drug substances and drug products. The normal concepts of validation may differ for 1002 particle size methodologies as compared to other analytical methodologies such as HPLC. 1003 However, a standard mixture may be used for calibration. 1004 1005 Particle size evaluation can include characteristics of size, morphology, surface, and population 1006 of particles. The following parameters are useful for describing particle size analysis for 1007 characterization of drug substances and drug products. 1008 1009 1. Particle Size Methods 1010 1011 Types of particle size methods include, but are not limited to: 1012 1013 Nonfractionation methods that evaluate an entire population of particles a. 1014 1015 Microscopy (optical, electron) ļ 1016 Light scattering (dynamic, photon correlation, laser diffraction) I 1017 Electrozone sensing I. 1018 Photozone sensing 1019 1020 b. Fractionation methods that use physical techniques to separate particles on the 1021 basis of size 1022 I 1023 Sieving 1024 ļ Cascade impactor I Sedimentation 1025 i Size exclusion chromatography 1026 1027 2. 1028 Calibration and Validation Characteristics 1029

1030		To ensure proper instrument operation, the system should be calibrated according to	
1031		the manufacturer's and/or the laboratory's specification, as appropriate.	
1032			
1033		The methods validation usually involves evaluation of intermediate precision and	
1034		robustness. Assurance should be provided that the data generated are reproducible	
1035		and control the product's quality. See additional information in sections V and VII.	
1036			
1037	G.	Dissolution	
1038			
1039	The e	quipment used for dissolution is covered by USP <711> or USP <724>. The	
1040	dissol	ution procedure description and validation should include the following.	
1041			
1042		1. Dissolution Medium	
1043			
1044		A brief discussion of the reasons for selecting the medium.	
1045			
1046		2. Procedure	
1047			
1048		A dissolution test consists of a dissolution procedure and method of analysis	
1049		(automated on-line analysis or manual sampling followed by HPLC analysis). The	
1050		written procedure should cover the following items:	
1051			
1052		! Apparatus	
1053		Preparation of standard	
1054		Preparation of sample	
1055		! Method of analysis (e.g., UV, HPLC)	
1056		! Sampling procedure (e.g., intervals, filtration, handling of samples, dilutions)	
1057			
1058		! Calculations	
1059		! Acceptance criteria	
1060			
1061		Regardless of the method of analysis, system suitability criteria should be described.	
1062		Blank and standard solution spectra or chromatograms should be included.	
1063			
1064		3. Validation Characteristics	
1065			
1066		Both the dissolution procedure and the method of analysis should be validated.	
1067			
1068		The time needed for the completion of the sample analysis should be stated in the	
1069		procedure. Data should be submitted to support the stability of the dissolution sample	
1070		during the procedure. If filters are used on-line or during sample preparation,	
1071		appropriate recovery studies should be performed and documented and any bias	
1072		should be addressed.	

1073	H.	Other Instrumentation
1074		
1075		1. Noncommercial Instrumentation
1076		
1077		FDA encourages the development and use of the most appropriate instrumentation.
1078		However, the use of rare or exotic systems not only places an undue burden on the
1079		regulatory laboratory, but also may delay the validation process.
1080		
1081		When noncommercial instrumentation is used, the instrumentation should be capable
1082		of being constructed from commercially available components at a reasonable cost, if
1083		possible. For unique methodologies or instrumentation requiring contract fabrication,
1084		the applicant's cooperation with the FDA laboratories in helping facilitate duplication
1085		of the analytical procedure is important. In addition to design and equipment
1086		specifications, complete performance assessment procedures should be provided.
1087		Such systems may be found suitable for regulatory use.
1088		
1089		2. Automated Analytical Procedures
1090		
1091		The use of automated analytical procedures, although desirable for control testing,
1092		may lead to delay in regulatory methods validation because FDA laboratories have to
1093		assemble and validate the system before running samples. To avoid this delay,
1094		applicants should demonstrate the equivalence of a manual procedure to the
1095		automated procedure based on the same principle whenever possible.

in

1096		ATTACHMENT A						
1097	NDA, ANDA, BLA, AND PLA SUBMISSION CONTENTS							
1098								
1099		The information relating to analytical procedures and methods validation that should be submitted						
1100	NDAs, ANDAs, BLAs, and PLAs is identified below with a cross-reference to the section of this							
1101	guid	ance that provides recommendations and/or discussion on the top	pics.					
1102	тс		. 1					
1103 1104	Info	mation that should be included in the analytical procedures and c	controls sections					
1104 1105	i	Reference standard information	Section IV					
1106	•	Analytical procedures	Section III, VI					
1107	•	Validation data	Section VII					
1108	•	Stress studies	Section VII.A.2.c					
1109	•	Instrument output/raw data for impurities	Section VII.A.2.b					
1110	•	Statistical analysis	Section VIII					
1111	•	Revalidation, as needed	Section IX					
1112			5					
1113 1114	Infor	mation that should be included in the methods validation package	2					
1115	•	Contents of the MV Package	Section XI					
1116	•	Representative instrument output/data for stress studies Section	ion VII $\Delta 2c$					
1117	ļ	Representative instrument output and raw data for initial	1011 V 11.7 X.2.C					
1118	•	and oldest sample of a batch	Section VII.A.2.b					
1119		1						
1120	Infor	mation that should be included in the stability section						
1121								
1122	ļ	Stress study designs and results	Section VII.A.2.b					
1123	ļ	Reference (volume and page number of submission)						
1124		to instrument output and raw data submitted to the section						
1125		dedicated to analytical procedures and controls	Section VII.A 2.c					

⁵ For BLAs and PLAs, a separate methods validation package need not be submitted. Information similar to what is listed here should be included in the BLA or PLA submission.

1126			ATTACHMENT B		
1127					
1128	METHODS VALIDATION PROBLEMS AND DELAY				
1129					
1130					
1131	Listed below are examples of common problems that can delay successful validation.				
1132					
1133	ļ	Failu	re to provide a sample of a critical impurity, degradation product, internal standard, or		
1134		novel	l reagent		
1135					
1136	ļ	Failu	re to submit well-characterized reference standards for noncompendial drugs		
1137					
1138	!	Failu	re to provide sufficient detail or use of unacceptable analytical procedures. For example:		
1139					
1140		С	Use of arbitrary arithmetic corrections		
1141		С	Failure to provide system suitability tests		
1142		С	Differing content uniformity and assay analytical procedures without showing		
1143			equivalence factors for defining corrections as required by the current USP chapter		
1144			<905> - Uniformity of Dosage Units		
1145	_				
1146	ļ	Failu	re to submit complete or legible data. For example:		
1147		-			
1148		C	Failure to label instrument output to indicate sample identity		
1149		С	Failure to label the axes		
1150	_	_			
1151	i	Inapp	propriate shipping procedures. For example:		
1152		•			
1153		C	Failure to properly label samples		
1154		C	Failure to package samples in accordance with product storage conditions		
1155		С	Inadequate shipping forms (e.g., missing customs form for samples from outside the		
1156			United States)		
1157					
1158	ļ	Failu	re to describe proper storage conditions on shipping containers		

1159	REFERENCES
1160	
1161	FDA Documents ⁶
1162	
1163	Guidance for Industry: ANDAs: Impurities in Drug Products (Draft, December 1998).
1164	
1165	Guidance for Industry: ANDAs: Impurities in Drug Substances (February 2000).
1166	
1167	Guidance for Industry: CMC Content and Format of INDs for Phase 2 and 3 Studies of Drugs,
1168	Including Specified Therapeutic Biotechnology-Derived Products (Draft, December 1997).
1169	
1170	Guidance for Industry: Content and Format of Investigational New Drug Applications (INDs)
1171	for Phase 1 Studies of Drugs, Including Well-Characterized, Therapeutic, Biotechnology-
1172	derived Products (February 1995).
1173	
1174	Guidance for Industry: Investigating Out of Specification (OOS) Test Results for Pharmaceutical
1175	Production (Draft, September 1998).
1176	
1177	Guidance for Industry: Stability Testing of Drug Substances and Drug Products (Draft, June
1178	1998).
1179	
1180	Guidance for Industry: Submission of Chemistry, Manufacturing, and Controls Information for
1181	Synthetic Peptide Substances (November 1994).
1182	
1183	Guidance for Industry: Submitting Documentation for the Stability of Human Drugs and
1184	Biologics (February 1987).
1185	
1186	Reviewer Guidance: Validation of Chromatographic Methods (November 1994).
1187	
1188	FDA CDER MAPP 5221.1 Requesting Methods Validation for ANDAs (November 1998).
1189	
1190	International Conference on Harmonization Guidances
1191	
1192	ICH Q1A: Stability Testing of New Drug Substances and Products (November 1994)
1193	
1194	ICH Q1B: Photostability Testing of New Drug Substances and Products (November 1996)
1195	
1196	ICH Q1C: Stability Testing for New Dosage Forms (May 1997)
1197	

⁶ Draft guidances have been included for completeness only. As draft documents, they are not intended to be implemented until published in final form.

1198 1199	ICH Q2A: Text on Validation of Analytical Procedures (March 1995)
1200 1201	ICH Q2B: Validation of Analytical Procedures: Methodology (May 1997)
1202 1203	ICH Q3A: Impurities in New Drug Substances (January 1996)
1204 1205	ICH Q3B: Impurities in New Drug Products (May 1997)
1206 1207	ICH Q3C: Impurities: Residual Solvents (December 1997)
1208 1209 1210	ICH Q5C: Quality of Biotechnological Products: Stability Testing of Biotechnological/Biological Products (July 1996)
1211 1212 1213	ICH Q6A: Specifications: Test Procedures and Acceptance Criteria for New Drug Substances and New Drug Products: Chemical Substances (Draft (Step 2) November 1997)
1214 1215 1216	ICH Q6B: Specifications: Test Procedures and Acceptance Criteria for Biotechnological/Biological Products (March 1999)
1217 1218	U.S. Pharmacopeia/National Formulary
1219 1220 1221	Chapter <621> Chromatography; US Pharmacopeia 23, United States Pharmacopeial Convention, Inc., Rockville MD: 1994
1222 1223 1224	Chapter <781> Optical Rotation, US Pharmacopea 23, United States Pharmacopeial Convention, Inc., Rockville, MD: 1994
1225 1226 1227	Chapter <1225> Validation of Compendial Methods; US Pharmacopeia 23, United States Pharmacopeial Convention, Inc., Rockville MD: 1994
1228 1229 1230	Interpretation and Treatment of Analytical Data; USP Pharmacopeial Forum, United States Pharmacopeial Convention, Inc., Rockville MD: 1994, Volume 24, Number 5, pp. 7051 - 7056
1231 1232	Other
1233 1234 1235	Miller, J.C., J.N. Miller, and E. Horwood, <i>Statistics for Analytical Chemistry</i> , 3rd edition, Prentice Hall, 1993.
1236 1237	Saunders, B.D., and R.G. Trapp, <i>Basic and Clinical Biostatistics</i> , 2nd edition, Appleton and Lange, 1994.

1238	GLOSSARY
1239	
1240	
1241	Acceptance Criteria: Numerical limits, ranges, or other suitable measures for acceptance of the
1242	results of analytical procedures.
1243	
1244	Active moiety: The molecule or ion, excluding those appended portions of the molecule that cause
1245	the drug to be an ester, salt (including a salt with hydrogen or coordination bonds), or other
1246	noncovalent derivative (such as a complex, chelate, or clathrate) of the molecule, responsible for the
1247	physiological or pharmacological action of the drug substance (21 CFR 314.108(a)). The active
1248	moiety is the entire molecule or ion, not the active site.
1249	
1250	Detection Limit: The detection limit of an individual analytical procedure is the lowest amount of
1251	analyte in a sample that can be detected, but not necessarily quantitated as an exact value.
1252	
1253	Drug Product: A finished dosage form, for example, a tablet, capsule, or solution that contains a
1254	drug substance, generally, but not necessarily, in association with one or more other ingredients (21
1255	CFR 314.3(b)).
1256	
1257	Drug Substance/Active Ingredient: An active ingredient that is intended to furnish pharmacological
1258	activity or other direct effect in the diagnosis, cure, mitigation, treatment, or prevention of disease or to
1259	affect the structure or any function of the human body. The active ingredient does not include
1260	intermediates used in the synthesis of such ingredient. The term includes those components that may
1261	undergo chemical change in the manufacture of the drug product and be present in the drug product in
1262	a modified form intended to furnish the specified activity or effect (21 CFR 210.3(b)(7) and
1263	314.3(b)).
1264	
1265	Placebo (or Blank): A dosage form that is identical to the drug product except that the drug
1266	substance is absent or replaced by an inert ingredient or a mixture of the drug product excipients
1267	quantitatively equivalent to those found in the drug product dosage form.
1268	
1269	Quantitation Limit: The quantitation limit of an individual analytical procedure is the lowest amount
1270	of analyte in a sample that can be quantitatively determined with suitable precision and accuracy. The
1271	quantitation limit is a parameter of quantitative assays for low levels of compounds in sample matrices,
1272	and is used particularly for the determination of impurities and/or degradation products.
1273	
1274	Reagent: For analytical procedures, any substance used in a reaction for the purpose of detecting,
1275	measuring, examining, or analyzing other substances.
1276	
1277	Specification: The quality standards (i.e., tests, analytical procedures, and acceptance criteria)
1278	provided in an approved application to confirm the quality of the drug substances, drug products,
1279	intermediates, raw materials, reagents, and other components including container closure systems, and
1280	in-process materials.

1281

Spiking: The addition of a small known amount of a known compound to a standard, sample, or
 placebo, typically for the purpose of confirming the performance of an analytical procedure or the
 calibration of an instrument.

1285

Stability-Indicating Assay: A validated quantitative analytical procedure that can detect the changes with time in the pertinent properties (e.g., active ingredient, preservative level) of the drug substance and drug product. A stability-indicating assay accurately measures the active ingredients without interference from degradation products, process impurities, excipients, or other potential impurities.

1291

Working Standard: A standard that is qualified against and used instead of the reference standard(also known as *in-house* or *secondary standard*).

- 1294
- 1295
- 1296
- 1297