

EP - USP and other Pharmacopoeias

Dealing with different compendial methods

SPEAKERS:



Dr Raphael Bar Consultant, formerly with Teva, Israel



Dr Bernd Renger Member of the Analytical QC

Member of the Analytical QC
Working Group of the ECA
Foundation



Dr Ulrich Rose *EDQM, France*



14-15 May 2019, Barcelona, Spain

LEARNING OBJECTIVES:

- Structure of EP and USP and their enforcement
- Additional Pharmacopoeias around the world Japan, China, India, Int.Ph. (WHO)
- Harmonisation of EP, USP, JP
- EP, USP Testing for Organic Impurities
- Implementation of ICH Q3D differences and similarities
- Analytical Instrument Qualification according to EP and USP
- Life cycle approach to compendial methods
- Reference Standards similarities and differences
- Life cycle approach to compendial methodss
- Alternative methods to pharmacopoeial methods: Equivalence testing of two methods
- Multicompendial Testing Strategies



EP - USP and other Pharmacopoeias: Dealing with different compendial methods

14-15 May 2019, Barcelona, Spain

Objectives

It is important to understand the structure and the procedures of the different Pharmacopoeias. Some general chapters and the monographs of some widely used excipients have already been harmonised between the most important Pharmacopoeias, USP, EP and JP in the context of the Pharmacopoeial Discussion Group (PDG). But for a large number of general methods differences still exist. Therefore some of the frequently asked questions are:

- How to use alternative procedures and interchangeable methods?
- What are the allowed exceptions to the obligation to perform all tests?
- How can multi-compendial testing strategies look like?
- How to prove equivalence?

In addition, PDG harmonisation does not include upcoming important pharmacopoeias like Indian and Chinese Pharmacopoeias.

This Education Course will discuss these issues and provides support in order to successfully deal with compendial methods and their differences.

Background

Pharmaceutical companies have to follow Pharmacopoeia standards in order to meet regulatory requirements.

However, there is no single Pharmacopoeia which can be applied in all regions. The US FDA may enforce USP monographs which then become manadatory whereas compliance with EP is mandatory in 38 countries and the EU and is applied in over 100 countries worldwide. Moreover, also other Pharmacopoeias exist in the world like the Japanese (included in the PDG harmonisation process), Chinese, Russian or Indian Pharmacopoeias.

But what are the differences and how to deal with quality standards and test methods if products are manufactured and released for different markets?

Target Audience

This Education Course addresses employees and managers from Quality Control Labs. It also addresses colleagues working in Quality Assurance and Regulatory Affairs department.

Programme

► Session 1: Introduction to Pharmacopoeial Testing

Structure, General Methods and challenges of EP and USP and their enforcement

- Structure of EP and USP
- Meeting Pharmacopoeial standards and Pharmacopoeial designation
- The USP approach: Single Testing
- Structure of USP monographs:
 - modern monograph
 - flexible monograph
- Structure of European Pharmacopoeia
- Structure of EP monographs
- What the Pharmacopoeia does not say about a procedure
- Dietary Supplements, API and CEP of EP

Additional Pharmacopoeias around the world - Japan, China, India, Int.Ph. (WHO), BP

- Historical developments
- The development of the International Pharmacopoeia
- JP, ChP, Russian Ph., and IP similarities and differences
- Legal status and enforcement
- WHO Good pharmacopoeial Practices

► Session 2: Important Monographs: Harmonisation, Differences, Solutions

Harmonisation of EP, USP, JP -General methods and excipients

- Mechanisms of harmonisation between Pharmacopoeias
- History of PDG
- Examples for harmonised monographs and general chapters
- Typical obstacles and examples
- New PDG process

Workshop

Testing for Organic Impurities according to Ph.Eur. and USP

Analytical Instrument Qualification according to EP and USP

- USP General Chapter <1058> Analytical Instrument Qualification and EP
- Type of instruments and risk assessment
- Qualification steps: DQ, IQ, OQ and PQ
- Roles and responsibilities
- Computerized data systems in laboratory
- Examples: Qualification of HPLC and analytical balances

Life cycle approach to compendial methods

► Session 3: Dealing with Testing Challenges

General Notices - Definitions and Requirements

- Use of alternative procedures & interchangeable methods
- Waivers to the obligation to perform all tests
- Scope of general monographs
- Uncertainty
- Definitions

Reference Standards - similarities and differences

- Definitions and guidelines
- Legal status of reference standards
- Types of standards
- Establishment and use/Testing and value assignment
- Similarities and differences between pharmacopoeias

Verification of Compendial Procedures

- Difference between Verification and Transfer of an analytical procedure
- Chemical vs microbiological procedures
- Minimal performance characteristics to be verified
- What to verify in procedures for high-level (Assay by HPLC) and low-level analytes (Impurities by HPLC and TLC)
- When procedure verification is not required
- ISO 17025 requirements for method verification
- Documentation of procedure verification

Multicompendial Testing Strategies

- Divergent and conflicting pharmacopoeial requirements
- CDER's MAPP 5310.7 "Acceptability of Standards from Alternative Compendia"
- How to proceed in case of missing harmonization?
- Full Testing, Worst Case Testing, Alternative Testing
- How to proof equivalence?

Alternative methods to pharmacopoeial methods: Equivalence testing of two methods

- Is a compendial procedure equivalent to an in-house validated procedure?
- Critical performance characteristics to be compared
- Plotting the results of comparative testing
- Traditional way of comparison of two procedures
- Equivalence testing with two one-sided t-test (TOST)
- Case studies

Speakers



Dr Raphael Bar, BR Consulting, Israel

Dr Bar headed the Analytical R&D Laboratories at Teva Pharmaceuticals and the analytical QC laboratory at Pharmos. He served in the Scientific Advisory Board of global PDA (USA) and is presently a board member of Israel PDA

Chapter as well as a member of the organizing committee of the Israel Society for Analytical Chemistry. For the lasts ten years, Raphael Bar has been a pharmaceutical consultant for the Pharma and bio-Pharma industries.



Dr Bernd Renger, Member of the Analytical QC Working Group of the ECA Foundation

Dr Bernd Renger started at Hoechst AG. Since then, he has held several quality management positions at Mundipharma, Byk Gulden (now Takeda) and Baxter BioScience in Vienna and

Vetter Pharma-Fertigung. He is a member of the Analytical QC Working Group of the ECA Foundation and is Immediate Past Chair of the European QP Association. Dr Renger is presently working as a consultant, auditor and trainer.



Dr Ulrich Rose, EDQM, France

Dr Rose works since 1991 at the EDQM in Strasbourg. Until 2011 he was responsible for establishment and monitoring of the Ph. Eur. reference standards. Moreover he was involved in the elaboration and revision of mon-

ographs of the European Pharmacopoeia. After that he became coordinator and auditor for EDQM's Mutual Joint Audit Program. Within this function he had to audit the Official Medicines Control Laboratories (OMCLs) in Europe. Since 2014 he is head of division A and deputy head of the European Pharmacopeia Department where he is overlooking the monograph work on chemicals, excipients, herbals and general chapters of Ph. Eur. and is involved in the international harmonisation of pharmacopoeias.

Social Event



In the evening of the first course day, you are cordially invited to a social event. This is an excellent opportunity to share your experiences with colleagues from other companies in a relaxed atmosphere.

Reservation Form: CONCEPT HEIDELBERG P.O. Box 10 17 64 69007 Heidelberg Germany



e-mail: info@concept-heidelberg.de Internet: www.gmp-compliance.org

_	,	٠.	7	П
4	ũ	2	ч	ч
	ą,	Ε.		,
	б	ĸ.	-	•
	v	ы		u

EP - USP and other Pharmacopoeias: Dealing with different compendial methods

14-15 May 2019, Barcelona, Spain

Reservation Form (Please complete in full) If the bill-to-address deviates from the specifications on the ight, please fill out here:

P.O. Number (if applicable) Department Important: Please indicate your company's VAT ID Number MsFitle, first name, surname --Mail (please fill in) Street/P.O. Box Phone/Fax Company ž City

Fax +49 (0) 62 21/84 44 34

P.O. Box 101764

D-69007 Heidelberg

GERMANY

CONCEPT HEIDELBERG

case of cancellation or non-appearance. If you cannot take part, you have to inform us in writing. The cancellation few will then be calculated according to the point of time at which we receive your message. In case you do not appear at the event without having informed us, you will have to pay the full registration fee, even if you have not made the payment yet. Only after we have received your payment, you are entitled to participate in the conference (receipt of payment will not be confirmed)! (As of Januany 2012) German law shall apply. Court of jurisdiction is Heidelberg.

of my Personal Data. Concept Heidelberg will use my data for the processing of this order, for which I hereby declare to agree that my personal data is stored and processed. Concept Heidelberg will only send me information in relation with this order or similar ones. My personal data will not be disclosed to third parties (see also the privacy policy at http://www.gmp-compliance.org/eca_privacy.html). I note that I can ask for the modification, correction or deletion of my data at any time via the contact form on this website.

Date

Tuesday, 14 May 2019, 09:00 h - 18:00 h (Registration and coffee from 08:30 h - 09:00 h) Wednesday, 15 May 2019, 08:30 h - 15:15 h

Venue

Barcelo Sants Hotel Pl. Països Catalans, s/n 08014 Barcelona, Spain Phone: +34 93 503 53 00 Fax +34 93 4906045

email sants@barcelo.com

Fees (per delegate plus VAT)

ECA Members € 1,690 APIC Members € 1,790 Non-ECA Members € 1,890 EU GMP Inspectorates € 945

The conference fee is payable in advance after receipt of invoice and includes conference documentation, dinner on the first day, lunch on both days and all refreshments. VAT is reclaimable.

Accommodation

CONCEPT HEIDELBERG has reserved a limited number of rooms in the conference hotels. You will receive a room reservation form/POG when you have registered for the event. Reservation should be made directly with the hotel. Early reservation is recommended.

Conference Language

The official conference language will be English.

Organisation and Contact

ECA has entrusted Concept Heidelberg with the organisation of this event.

CONCEPT HEIDELBERG

P.O. Box 10 17 64

69007 Heidelberg, Germany Phone +49(0) 62 21/84 44-0,

+49(0) 62 21/84 44 84

info@concept-heidelberg.de www.concept-heidelberg.de

For questions regarding content please contact: Dr Gerhard Becker (Operations Director) at

+49-62 21/84 44 65 or per e-mail at becker@concept-heidelberg.de.

For questions regarding reservation, hotel, organisation etc. please contact:

Mr Ronny Strohwald (Organisation Manager) at +49-62 21/84 44 51, or per e-mail at strohwald@concept-heidelberg.de.

If you cannot attend the conference you have two options:

1. We are happy to welcome a substitute colleague at any time.

2. If you have to cancel entirely we must charge the following processing fees: Cancellation

- until 2 weeks prior to the conference in ** until 2 week prior to the conference 10 % until 1 week prior to the conference 50 % within 1 week prior to the conference 100 %

structures of the property of